Response of the Government of Nepal

to the list of issues and questions in relations to the Sixth Periodic Report of Nepal adopted by the Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women

Annexes

Annex I

List of new Acts enacted by the Federal Parliament of Nepal to implement Fundamental Rights

New Acts

- 1. Consumers Protection Act, 2018
- 2. Right to Safe Motherhood and Reproductive Health Act, 2018
- 3. Protection of Victims of Crimes Act, 2018
- 4. Children's Act, 2018
- 5. Public Health Services Act, 2018
- 6. Right to Housing Act, 2018
- 7. Right to Food and Food Sovereignty Act, 2018
- 8. Personal Privacy Protection Act, 2018
- 9. Compulsory and Free Education Act, 2018
- 10. Social Security Act, 2018
- 11. Right to Employment Act, 2018

Amending Acts:

- 1. Public Security (Third Amendment) Act, 2018
- 2. Environment Protection (First Amendment) Act, 2018
- 3. Land (Seventh Amendment) Act, 2018
- 4. Racial Discrimination and Untouchability (Offences and Punishment) (First Amendment) Act, 2018
- 5. Rights to Persons with Disability (First Amendment) Act, 2018

Annex II

Summary of the Adjudicated Cases

Case 1:Suman Pant Vs. The Ministry of Home Affairs and Others, Case No. 073-WO-1054 (Certiorari/Mandamus), date of decision 23 October 2017 (NKP 2018, Issue 12, Vol. 59, PP 2083-2099).

The Petitioner, Suman Pant is a Nepali citizen belongs to sexual and gender minority community. She married with an American citizen, Leslie Luis Melnic on 18 December 2015 in Sacramenta County, Sacramento, California as court marriage while she was in American for study. They came Nepal in 2016 and Leslie submitted an application for non-tourist visa in Department of Immigration of Nepal on 29 December 2016. The Department of Immigration did not issue non-tourist visa to her without providing explicit reason. Pursuant to Rule 8 (1)(h) of Immigration Rules, 1995, a foreign citizen married with Nepali citizen, having submission of marriage registration certificate, may be issued a non-tourist visa.

The petitioner filed a petition in the Supreme Court of Nepal requesting to quash the decision, if any, of the Department of Immigration in relation to this matter by issuing the writ of certiorari and issue a writ of mandamus to provide non-tourist visa to her spouse Leslie as the act or omission of the Department of Immigration violated her fundamental and legal right to life with dignity and found a family. The Supreme Court cited the provisions of Articles 6, 7, 9, 16, and 17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights as everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law, right to life, live with dignity, found a family, right against torture, inhuman and degrading treatment, etc. The Supreme Court cites specifically refers the Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women, 1979 states that the comprehensive definition of 'discrimination' covers the provisions and practices of 'impairing and nullifying' and 'distinction, exclusion or restriction' in the enjoyment of the right to equality ensured by the Convention. The Supreme Court further states that this definition of discrimination seems to be applicable to the sexual and gender minority people. The Supreme Court also referrers its previous presidencies on Dilu Baduja and Sunil Babu Pant and concludes that under the broader purview of the human rights and the fundamental rights guaranteed by the Constitution of Nepal, discrimination against sexual and gender minority in the form of action or omission are illegal. The Supreme Court finally issued writ of Mandamus to the Department of Immigration and ordered to issue non-tourist visa to the spouse of the applicant upon submission of necessary documents and *sanakhatat* (verification) by the applicant that the visa applicant is the same parson who got married with the applicant.

Case 2:*BhagirathDahal (Rajesh) Vs.The Government of Nepal*, Case No.: 066-CR-0848(Human Trafficking) date of decision: 25 March 2015 (NKP 2017, Vol. 59, Issue 6 D.N. 9824, PP 1022-1043).

The Appellant, Mr. Bhagirath Dahal, sent the victim,...to Saudi Arabia instead of promised destination, Kuwait for foreign employment. The Appellant promised the victim that he will send her in Kuwait for baby care job with monthly salary NRs. 20,000/. Instead of sending Kuwait he sent her Saudi Arabia and she was forcibly employed in prostitution. Sometimes later, she escaped and returned in Nepal and filed a First Information Report against the Appellant in human trafficking. District Court convicted Mr. Dahal for human trafficking and sentenced 12 years imprisonment with NRs. 2,00,000.00. Appellate Court also upheld the decision of District Court. Mr. Dahal filed appeal in the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court, in its final judgment cited Article 6 of the Convention on Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women, Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others, 1949, Article 6(1) of

the International Covenant on the Civil and Political Rights, 1966 to which Nepal is a Party and soft law instruments UN Declaration of Basic Principle of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985), and Draft Convention of Justice and Support for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power, and to the large extent upheld the decision of District and Appellate Courts but the the Supreme Court decided to provide compensation to the victim NRs. 2,00,000/–, based on the above mentioned instruments and principle on victims justice, even though prevailing law at the time of commission of offence had no such compensatory provision.

Case 3: *Narayan Mani LamichhaneVs.Sarita Shrestha*, Case No.: 073-CI-0131 (Maintain Relationship), date of decision: 30 April 2017(NKP 2017, Vol. 59, Issue 6 D.N. 9833 PP 1128-1136).

Mr. Narayanmani Lamichhane and Ms.Sarita Shrestha fell in love and had sexual relationship since February 2001. Mr.Lamichhane has also assured her that he had divorced with his previous wife 5-6 years before. Mr.Lamichhane helped her to get the job where she was working. They stayed as husband and wife but didn't register their marriage. On 31 July 2008, Mr.Lamichhane in order to convince her signed a deed stating that he had married Sarita and she is his wife. But on 18 May 2011 they had had discussion on this matter at Violence against Women Victim Community Service Center, Damauli. At that Meeting he refused to accept her as wife. She filed the case in District Court, Tanahun on 22 May 2011 to establish their relationship as husband and wife. He refused the relationship as husband and wife. However, the District Court established their relationship as husband and wife on 11 July 2013. Mr.Lamichhane appealed against the decision of District Court in the Appeal Court, Pokhara and the Appellate Court upheld the decision of the District Court. Mr.Lamichhane filed application for revision of the case in the Supreme Court. While responding the questions as to why she did not mention her husband's name while applying for job and why she did not obtain her citizenship certificate in her husband's surname, the Supreme Court stated that under Article 16 of the CEDAW, woman has right to choose her family name and surname. The Supreme Court stated that not to mention husband's name in the job application form or not to obtain citizenship certificate based on her husband's surname does not make any significant effect in the establishment of husband and wife relationship. Finally, the Supreme Court upheld the decision of the District and Appellate Court.

Annex III

Representation of Women in Politics

Table 1**Representation of Women in the House of Representatives**

S.N.	Province	First Past the Post (FPTP)				Proportional Representation (PR)			Total		
		Fem ale	Male	Total	Femal e	Male	Total	Femal e	Male	Total	
1.	Province 1	1	27	28	14	3	17	15	30	45	
2.	Province 2	-	32	32	23	3	26	23	35	58	
3.	Province 3	1	32	33	13	6	19	14	38	52	
4.	Gandaki	1	17	18	8	6	14	9	23	32	
5.	Province 5	2	24	26	16	4	20	18	28	46	
6.	Karnali	-	12	12	4	1	5	4	13	17	
7.	Province 7	1	15	16	6	3	9	7	18	25	
	Total	6	159	165	84	26	110	90	185	275	
	Percentage	3.64	96.36	100	76.36	23.64	100	32.73	67.27	100	

Source: Election Commission 2017

Table 2**Representation of Women in the National Assembly**

S.N.	Province	E	lected	Total
		Female	Male	
1.	Province 1	3	5	8
2.	Province 2	3	5	8
3.	Province 3	3	5	8
4.	Gandaki Province	3	5	8
5.	Province 5	3	5	8
6.	Karnali Province	3	5	8
7.	Province 7	3	5	8

Nominated	1	2	3	
Total	22	37	59	
Percentage	37.29	62.71	100	

Source: Election Commission 2017

Table 3Representation of Women in the Province Assembly

S.N.	S.N. Province First Past the Po (FPTP)				Pro Represe	portion entation		Total		
		Fem ale	Male	Total	Femal e	Male	Total	Femal e	Male	Total
1.	Province 1	2	54	56	30	7	37	32	61	93
2.	Province 2	5	59	64	32	11	43	37	70	107
3.	Province 3	5	61	66	32	12	44	37	73	110
4.	Gandaki Province	2	34	36	18	6	24	20	40	60
5.	Province 5	2	50	52	30	5	35	32	55	87
6.	Karnali Province	-	24	24	13	3	16	13	27	40
7.	Province 7	1	31	32	17	4	21	18	35	53
	Total	17	313	330	172	48	220	189	361	550
	Percentage	5.15	94.85	100	78.18	21.82	100	34.36	65.64	100

Source: Election Commission 2017

Table 4**Representation of Women in the Local Executive**

S. N.	Sex	Chair/M ayor	Percen tage	Vice Chair/D eputy Mayo	Percen tage	Ward Chairpe rson	Wom en mem ber	<i>Dalit</i> Wom en Mem ber	Othe rs	Tota l	Percen tage
1	Fem ale	18	2.39	700	92.96	61	6742	6567	264	143 53	40.96
2	Male	735	97.61	53	7.04	6681	0	0	132 20	206 89	59.04

Total	753	100	753	100	6742	6742	6567	134	350	
								84	41	

Source: Election Commission 2017

Annex IV

Representation of Women in Public Sector

Table 5Representation of Women in Civil Service

Gazetted	Male	Percentage	Female	Percentage	Total
	13703	88.71	1744	11.29	15447
1.Special	62	96.9	2	3.13	64
2.Gazetted First	586	93.46	41	6.54	627
3.Gazetted Second	3382	93.45	237	6.55	3619
4.Gazetted third	9672	86.85	1464	13.15	11136
5. Special	1	100	0		1
Non gazetted	24985	82.06	5461	17.94	30446
1. Nongazetted First	15015	84.69	2715	15.3	17730
2. Nongazetted Second	9229	77.5	2677	22.48	11906
3. Nongazetted third	585	90.1	64	9.86	649
4. Nongazetted Fourth	117	96.7	4	3.31	121
5. Nongazetted Fifth	39	97.5	1	2.5	40
Classless	15507	91.45	1450	8.55	16957
Total	68679	76.46	21142	23.54	89821

Source: Department of Civil Personnel Records, 2018

Annex V

The number of girls entering technical and vocational education and training

Table 6

The number of girls entering technical and vocational education and training
and the nature of the course pursed

Academic yea	ar 2014/15		Ac	ademic ye	ar 2015/16	5	
Programme	Male	Fema	le	Total	Male	Female	Total
Health	2,989	6,751	-	9,540	2,701	6,845	9,546
Engineering	3,500	507		4,007	3,632	555	4,187
Agriculture	1,167	724		1,891	1,434	867	2,302
Hospitality	72	36		108	71	29	100
Total	7,532	8,014	Ļ	15,546	7,839	8,295	16135
Special Health	489	391		480	70	170	240
Engineering	441	36		477	144	23	167
Agriculture	211	146		357	14	24	38
Other	0	0		0	20	19	39
Grand Total	8,373	8,587	7	16,960	8,087	8,531	16,619

Source: Council for Technical Education and Vocational Training 2017

Table 7

The number of girls entering technical and vocational education and training under the special scholarship program for the Dalit and Muslim girls for the fiscal year 2016/17

S.N	Name of Diploma	Mountai n and	Two	Ferai Dis	tricts		Far and mid western district		Gran d
•	program	Hill	Jhapa	l	Chitv	van			Total
		District Muslim Girls	Dali t	Musli m	Dali t	Musli m			
		Gins					per distric t quota	tota 1	
1.	Diploma entrepreneursh ip	5	6	2	5	2	5	20	40
2.	Diploma in Agriculture	5	6	2	5	2	5	20	40
3.	Diploma in Civil Engineering	5	6	2	5	2	5	20	40
4.	Diploma in Auto mobile Engineering	5	6	2	5	2	5	20	40
5.	Diploma in Pharmacy	10	12	4	10	4	10	40	80
6.	Diploma in Nursing	15	10	4	8	3	10	40	80
7.	Intermediate level GeneralMedic al Science	15	10	4	8	3	10	40	80
	Grand Total	60	56	20	46	20		200	400

Source: Council for Technical Education and Vocational Training 2017