	CAT 71 Session Country name: Mauritius
	country name. Maannas
JUVENILE JUSTICE ADVOCATES	

Areas of Concern:

The Committee Against Torture (CAT), in General Comment 2, elaborated that there is no clear definitional threshold between other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (hereinafter "ill-treatment") and torture, but each State party obliges to take actions and measures that will prevent torture and to apply them to prevent ill-treatment as well because conditions that give rise to ill-treatment facilitate torture. ¹ The CAT strongly reinforces *jus cogens* norm in absolute and non-derogable prohibition against torture.

International law strictly limits the circumstances in which children can be placed in detention while awaiting trial or while under investigation pre-charge. Pretrial detention should only be used in exceptional circumstances, where it is necessary to ensure the child's appearance at the court proceedings, or where the child is an immediate danger to himself/herself or others.² Pretrial detention is only permitted as a measure of last resort and for "*the shortest appropriate period of time*." However, there is no clarity around what is meant by an "*appropriate period of time*." The Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), in General Comment 10, recommended that children who are detained should be formally charged within 30 days and once charged, that a final decision should be made by the court within six months.⁴ The CRC additionally recommended that any such detention should be strengthened.

Defendants in pretrial detention are more likely to be abused, mistreated and tortured,⁶ and children are particularly vulnerable. In many countries, the lack of adequate facilities, food and sanitation, insufficient access to education and training, and compromised contact with family and friends makes even short periods of time in pretrial detention traumatic for children. Detention has been shown to significantly increase the risk of

https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/sites/default/files/pretrial-detention-and-torture-06222011.pdf.

¹ Comm. Against Torture, General Comment 2: Implementation of article 2 by States parties, U.N. Doc. CAT/C/GC/2 (Jan. 24, 2008) [hereinafter CAT General Comment 2].

² Patrick Webb & William Allen Kritsonis, *Controlling those Kids: Social Control and the Use of Pretrial Detention among Youth in the United States of America: National Implications*, ERIC (Oct. 2006), https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED493565.

³ G.A. Res. 44/25, Convention on the Rights of the Child, art. 37(b) (Nov. 20, 1989) [hereinafter CRC].

⁴ Comm. on the Rights of the Child, General Comment 10: Children's Rights in Juvenile Justice, § 80, U.N. Doc. CRC/C/GC/10 (Apr. 25, 2007) [hereinafter CRC General Comment 10].

⁵ *Id.* ¶ 83 (specifying that regular review is "preferably every two weeks").

⁶ Moritz Birk et. al., *Pretrial Detention and Torture: Why Pretrial Detainees Face the Greatest Risk*, 27, OPEN Soc'Y FOUND. (2011),

depression, suicide, school drop-out, and drug use among children.⁷ Unfortunately, in many countries the majority of children in detention are awaiting trial and may spend months or years behind bars before having their cases resolved. Even in jurisdictions that have successfully implemented alternatives to detention, children still spend months or years in pretrial detention, often longer than any sentence they might receive on conviction. It is reportedly common for children to receive conditional release or diversion after months of pretrial detention⁸. This means that the most significant time spent deprived of liberty is while awaiting trial, when children are ostensibly presumed innocent.

The 2018 report "Children in Pretrial Detention: Promoting Stronger International Time Limits" is the first study of how long countries allow children to be detained awaiting trial. Looking at the legal limits in 118 countries, the report found that 26% of countries have no time limit and 40% of countries allow exceptions to their time limit, risking indefinite detention. Nevertheless, the length of time that children actually spend in detention awaiting trial is data that countries have not been collecting or reporting.

The *Children in Pretrial Detention: Promoting Stronger International Time Limits* report serves as a baseline for the CRC to expand on country practices regarding times of pretrial detention of children.

Methodology:⁹

This alternative report is based on the *Children in Pretrial Detention* report on pretrial detention times of 119 CRC State parties.¹⁰ Such report was conducted by reviewing the respective current statutes or court rules in force at the time that the data was collected. In defining the statutory time period of pretrial detention, when possible, the report applied the statutory maximum for pretrial detention based on the time allowed in detention from arrest until a sentence was established. However, varying jurisdictions defined pretrial detention differently or set limits based on alternative procedural milestones. Where the statute did not provide a length of days or it was unclear, the number of days was based on the national law's definition of pretrial detention.

Most jurisdictions did not indicate any time limit for children in pretrial detention pending appeals. For the sake of uniformity, only limits based on pretrial detention before the first adjudication were used. State practices are unclear when a case is appealed, and

⁸ E.g., Diagnóstico del Sistema de Justicia Para Adolescentes del Estado de Chihuahua: "Buenas Prácticas para las Alternativas a la Detención", 8–10, JUSTICIA JUVENIL INT'L (Sept. 2017)

⁷ Barry Holman & Jason Ziedenberg. *The Dangers of Detention: The Impact of Incarcerating Youth in Detention and Other Secure Facilities*, 3–10, JUST. POL'Y INST. (Nov. 28, 2006), http://www.justicepolicy.org/images/upload/06-11 rep dangersofdetention jj.pdf.

https://jjimexico.org/projects/chihuahua [hereinafter Diagnóstico 2017].

⁹ Additional methodological information available in *Children in Pretrial Detention: Promoting Stronger International Time Limits*, i, May, 2018 (available at https:jjimexico.org/ptd-report/)

the child is in pretrial detention, such as if the clock is suspended or if the time limit still applies.

Mauritius

In Mauritius, a child can be detained in pretrial detention depending on whether the police		
officer believes detention is necessary in the interest of the child or of justice and on the		
type of crime. Ma	uritius has a generally applicable pretrial detention limit of 21 days	
without exceptions. ²		
Statutory Citations	Juvenile Offenders Act	
	9. Bail or detention	
	(1) Where a person apparently under the age of 18 is	
	apprehended with or without warrant and cannot be	
	brought forthwith before a Court, the police officer in	
	charge of the station to which that person is brought shall	
	inquire into the case, and may release him on a	
	recognisance being entered into by him, or his parent or	
	guardian, (with or without sureties), for such an amount as	
	will, in the opinion of the officer, secure his attendance	
	upon the hearing of the charge, and shall release him,	
	unless-	
	a) the offence charged is one of those listed in section 3	
	· · · ·	
	(4);	
	b) it is necessary in the interest of such person to remove	
	him from association with any undesirable person; or	
	c) the officer has reason to believe that the release of	
	such person would defeat the ends of justice. ¹³	
	Bail Act of Mauritius	
	4. Refusal to release on bail	
	(6). A defendant or a detainee whose release on bail is refused	
	under subsection (1) shall be remanded in custody for a	
	period not exceeding 21 days, after which the defendant or	
	detainee shall be brought again before the Court. ¹⁴	
	detanice shan be brought again before the Court.	

¹¹ Juveniles Offenders Act, art. 9(1) (Mauritius).
¹² Bail Act, part II, art. 4(6) (Mauritius).
¹³ Juveniles Offenders Act, art. 9(1).
¹⁴ Bail Act, part II, art. 4(6).

Failure to comply with Convention	Under Mauritian law, pretrial detention of children is not codified as a last resort. Rather, the laws of Mauritius give broad discretionary powers to police officers to decide whether a child should be kept in pretrial detention. Pretrial detainees are extremely vulnerable to torture and abuses because they are entirely in the power of authorities, whose interests are often to gain information and a confession. Children are particularly vulnerable to coercive interrogation practices and susceptible to make false confessions. ¹⁵
JJIA Recommendations	 JJIA recommends that Mauritius invests efforts in promoting non-custodial measures for cases of children accused of criminal offenses. JJIA recommends that Mauritius complies with CRC Article 37 on the use of detention as a last resort and for the shortest possible period of time. Mauritius should ensure that children are separated from adults while in custody. Mauritius should also ensure all fundamental legal safeguards to all children deprived of their liberty, including their rights to access an independent lawyer immediately after the arrest and to contact their families and relatives.
Lines of Inquiry related to torture and ill-treatment	 Data on the actual times children spend in pretrial detention. Disaggregated statistical data by sex, age, and ethnicity of children in confinement, including in pretrial detention. Data on the number of children confined along with adults. Interrogation rules, instructions, methods and practices as well as arrangements for the custody and treatment of children subjected to any form of arrest, detention or imprisonment with a view to preventing any cases of torture. Data on the conditions of detention places for juveniles, including access to rehabilitation and education opportunities. Annual number of juveniles that die in custody and causes of death.
Link to Global Study	• <u>https://jjimexico.org/ptd-report/</u>

¹⁵ Juvenile Justice Advocates, International Human Rights Clinic of American University Washington College of Law, University of Minnesota Human Rights Center, *Children in Pretrial Detention: Promoting Stronger International Limits*, 2018, at 14, <u>https://jjimexico.org/ptd-report/</u>