IMPLEMENTATION OF ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS OBLIGATIONS UNDER ICESCR ARTICLE 11, AFFECTING THE INDIGENOUS PALESTINIAN PEOPLE UNDER ISRAEL'S STATE JURISDICTION AND EFFECTIVE CONTROL

Parallel Report submitted to the UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 47th Session, Geneva, (14 November–2 December 2011)



Housing and Land Rights Network Habitat International Coalition

In Cooperation with the Land Research Center (Jerusalem) and the Regional Council of Unrecognized Villages (Naqab, Israel)

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
	I.A Context of this Third Periodic Review	2
II.	General situation	2
	II.A Nationality v. Citizenship, and Israel's "National" Organizations	2
	II.B Extraterritorial Dimensions	5
III.	Recent Legislative Developments Affecting Article 11 Rights	5
	III.A Legal Reasoning	6
IV.	CESR List of Issues: Article 11 - The right to an adequate standard of living	7
22	P. Households that live below the national poverty line, in the State Party, including in the Occupied Palestinian Territories	7
In	side the State of Israel	
Ur	nder Israeli Occupation	
24	 The State Party's policies on home demolitions and evictions in Area C meet its obligations arising from the Covenant 	9
In	side the State of Israel	
Ur	nder Israeli Occupation	
27	7. Measures taken by the State party to restore the water infrastructure of the West Bank, including in the Jordan Valley	17
In	side the State of Israel	
"N	lational"izing the Source of Life	
Ur	nder Israeli Occupation	
V.	Conclusion	21
VI.	Endnotes	22

I. Introduction

HIC-HLRN submits this parallel report on Israel's implementation of International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) to complement other submissions before the Committee by addressing the State party's systematic discrimination on the basis of "Jewish nationality" status, thus materially disadvantaging the indigenous Palestinian people and other Arab populations under the jurisdiction or effective control of the State party, Israel. The result of this material discrimination violates a range of ESC rights, particularly the human right to adequate housing (Article 11), and breaches the Covenant, as well as related obligations under other human rights treaties, International Humanitarian Law and international criminal law prohibitions.

The Committee's jurisprudence and General Comments clarify the nature of State Parties' obligations to "respect, protect and fulfill" ESC rights. HIC-HLRN refers also to the implementation methodology provided in ICESCR, as well as the General Comments and jurisprudence. In its first three articles, ICESCR, sets forth the overridina principles of implementation, namely: (1) self-determination. (2)nondiscrimination, gender equality. (3) (4) rule of law, (5) progressive realization/nonregressivity, (6) applying the maximum of available resources and (7) international cooperation.

Inherent in the obligations to uphold each of the rights is the extraterritorial dimension of those obligations to respect and protect—as well as, where possible, fulfill—all rights. The last of the over-riding implementation principle—international cooperation—embodies an important reciprocal dimension of extraterritorial human rights obligations.

In its submission to the Committee, HIC-HLRN also refers to rights of gross-violation victims to reparations, in all of its elements.¹ Reparation rights arising from gross violations of habitat rights (i.e., to adequate housing, land, water and property related to livelihood) remain unfulfilled in the long-standing and continuous violations of Palestinian refugees, who now number 5.5 million reparation rights holders.

In the particular case of the Bedouin Arab citizens within Israel, reparations also would have to include the restoration of the affected community's good name, following a long government campaign to portray them as outlaws and trespassers in their own lands and villages. Their dispossession, subjection to discrimination, forced-eviction, poor socioeconomic indicators, loss of livelihood and, in some cases, statelessness, is a lingering function of a process marked by the depopulation of 108 of their villages and habitations within the current State of Israel between 1951 and 1953.²

With its updates on the State party's implementation of the Covenant in the various territories under its jurisdiction or effective control, this report also serves as a guide to the more-detailed reference that HIC-HLRN previously submitted to the Committee (March 2011) in the form of the International Fact-finding Mission report: *The Goldberg Opportunity: A Change for Human Rights Based Statecraft in Israel* (Cairo: HIC-HLRN, 2010). Where relevant, this shorter report refers the Committee members to the

passages of that publication that further explain the covenanted human rights issues involved.

The following information also updates the Members of the Committee on Article 11 implementation issues within the occupied Palestinian territories (oPt) of Jerusalem, West Bank and the Gaza Strip. Special focus here is on the occupied Palestinians' housing rights in Jerusalem and "Area C" of the West Bank.

I.A Context of this Third Periodic Review

As illustrated below, Israel has disregarded the Committee's Concluding Observations since the State party's initial review in 1998.³ This HIC-HLRN report also highlights those outstanding issues in the context of continued ESCR violations and breaches of Article 11 of the Covenant.

In the case of Israel, addressing the principal violations of ESCR requires understanding of the causal discrimination institutionalized in Israeli laws and "national organizations." Those laws apply and the corresponding organizations operate to deprive persons not qualified as "Jewish nationals" both inside the State party's jurisdiction (citizens of the State of Israel) and in areas of effective control (Jerusalem, West Bank and Gaza Strip). It is essential to understand the systematic features that give rise to the specific symptoms cataloged in this and the other parallel reports before the Committee.

II. General Situation

II.A Nationality v. Citizenship, and Israel's "National" Organizations

The State of Israel maintains a unique system of dual-tiered civil status. It provides "Israeli citizenship" (*ezrahūt*, in Hebrew) based on four criteria (birth, residency, marriage and immigration), as long as claimants of residency and citizenship are not members of a legally defined class of Arab and other neighboring nationalities categorized as "enemies of the State."⁴ However, as restricted as access to Israeli citizenship may be, that status alone does not ensure equal treatment with all other citizens and, in fact, alone, forecloses a bundle of the economic, social and cultural rights.

Meanwhile, Israeli law, policies and institutions establish and maintain a civil status superior to Israeli citizenship, classified as "Jewish nationality." That status, available by way of descent from a Jewish mother, or highly restricted conversion to the Jewish faith, entitles eligible persons to claim "Jewish nationality" and enter areas controlled by Israel to claim rights and privileges explicitly denied to non-Jewish citizens, especially Palestinian IDPs inside Israel and refugees forced outside—indeed, the entire indigenous people—of historic Palestine.

The Israeli High Court has affirmed the fact of institutionalized discrimination on grounds of both legal judgment and state ideology. In the case of *Tamarin v. Ministry of Interior* (1970), a Jewish Israeli petitioner sought to register his nationality as "Israeli," rather

than "Jewish." However, the Court ruled that "there is no Israeli nation separate from the Jewish nation...composed not only of those residing in Israel, but also of Diaspora Jewry." The President of the Court Justice Shimon Agranat explained that acknowledging a uniform Israeli nationality "would negate the very foundation upon which the State of Israel was formed."⁵ A more-recent legal challenge involving 38 petitioners (Israeli "citizens") has remained before the courts since 2004, and lengthy procedures have deferred and delayed a ruling on that petition for recognition of a common "Israeli nationality."⁶

The criterion of "Jewish nationality"—that is, belonging to a Jewish "nation" (*le'om yahūdi*, in Hebrew)—is enshrined in the charters of key Israeli state agencies, World Zionist Organization/Jewish Agency for the Land of Israel (WZO/JA), Jewish National Fund (JNF) and their subsidiaries, which were established before the Proclamation of the State of Israel for the purpose of colonizing Palestine. As agencies for development of land, housing and economic activity, those organizations serve only "Jewish" beneficiaries on the principle of supporting "Jewish labor." The JNF charter also applies the terms "Jewish religion, *race* or origin/descendency" [emphasis added].⁷

These parastatal institutions are organically part of the State of Israel today, as affirmed in its *Status Law* (1952) and *Covenant with the Zionist Executive* [World Zionist Organization/Jewish Agency and Jewish National Fund] (1953, amended 1976). They claim to possess and manage 93% of all lands in Israel and Jerusalem (not counting direct and indirect holdings in the other occupied Palestinian territories).⁸ Significantly, their parochial charters provide the fundamental principles referenced—and deferred to—in much of Israeli legislation related to land use, housing, immigration and development. In addition, *Basic Law: Law of Return* (1950), for example, establishes immigration for Jews as a "nationality" right not provided in the 1952 *Law of Citizenship* (*ezrahūt*), and effectively excludes the indigenous refugees of Palestine dispossessed since 1947, as well as all non-Jews.

The *Israel Lands ("The People's Land") Law* (1960) establishes that lands will be managed, distributed and developed in accord with the principles of the JNF and its discriminatory charter. The Israel Land Administration (ILA), also established in 1960, has rested on four legal "cornerstones": *Basic Law: Israel Lands* (1960), *Lands Law* (1960), the *Israel Land Administration Law* (1960), and the *Covenant between the State of Israel and the Zionist Executive* (1953). The Israel Land Council (ILC) determines ILA policy, with Israel's Vice Prime Minister, the Minister of Industry, Trade, Labor and Communications, as the ILC chairman, while the 22-member Council is comprised of 12 government ministry representatives and ten representing the JNF and its conditions of Jewish-only beneficiaries. This composition and the standard reference to the "principles" of the JNF, WZO and/or JA ensure institutionalized discrimination practiced by the State party on behalf of these parastatal institutions.

In its nineteenth session review of Israel's implementation of the Covenant, the Committee already observed:

"The Committee notes with grave concern that the Status Law of 1952 authorizes the World Zionist Organization/Jewish Agency and its subsidiaries, including the Jewish National Fund, to control most of the land in Israel, since these institutions are chartered to benefit Jews exclusively. Despite the fact that the institutions are chartered under private law, the State of Israel nevertheless has a decisive influence on their policies and thus remains responsible for their activities. A State party cannot divest itself of its obligations under the Covenant by privatizing governmental functions. The Committee takes the view that large-scale and systematic confiscation of Palestinian land and property by the State and the transfer of that property to these agencies constitute an institutionalized form of discrimination because these agencies by definition would deny the use of these properties to non-Jews. Thus, these practices constitute a breach of Israel's obligations under the Covenant."

In a strong recommendation, the Committee urged "the State party to review the status of its relationship with the World Zionist Organization/Jewish Agency and its subsidiaries, including the Jewish National Fund, with a view to remedying the problems identified...above."¹⁰

Having observed the pattern of discrimination on the basis of a distinct, constructed "nationality" status separate from citizenship in the State party, by 2003, the Committee noted that it was

"...particularly concerned about the status of "Jewish nationality," which is a ground for exclusive preferential treatment for persons of Jewish nationality under the Israeli Law of Return, granting them automatic citizenship and financial government benefits, thus resulting in practice in discriminatory treatment against non-Jews, in particular Palestinian refugees. The Committee is also concerned about the practice of restrictive family reunification with regard to Palestinians, which has been adopted for reasons of national security. The Committee reiterates its concern in this regard."¹¹

That observation reiterated the Committee's concern about the special status provided in law to a distinct category of persons obtaining citizenship. The Committee noted five years earlier

"...with concern that the Law of Return, which allows any Jew from anywhere in the world to immigrate and thereby virtually automatically enjoy residence and obtain citizenship in Israel, discriminates against Palestinians in the diaspora upon whom the Government of Israel has imposed restrictive requirements which make it almost impossible to return to their land of birth."¹²

Thus, at that time, the Committee "strongly recommended that":

"In order to ensure respect of article 1 (2) of the Covenant and to ensure equality of treatment and non-discrimination, the Committee strongly recommends a review of reentry policies for Palestinians who wish to re-establish their domicile in their homeland, with a view to bringing such policies level with the Law of Return as applied to Jews."¹³

Nonetheless, as noted again in 2001, "The Committee continues to be concerned that the State party's Law of Return denies indigenous Palestinian refugees the right to return to their homes and properties."¹⁴

II.B Extraterritorial Dimensions

From bases in some 50 other countries, the same State agencies that are the sources of the concept and administrative expression of "Jewish nationality" have recruited Jewish citizens of other State Parties and their financial contributions to carry out population transfer of Jewish settlers to replace the indigenous Palestinian people in Israel and to colonize the oPt.¹⁵ The WZO/JA, JNF and their affiliates coincidentally are the same organizations currently concentrating development efforts on the ethnically Palestinian Arab areas of Galilee, the Naqab region, West Bank and East Jerusalem for exclusive Jewish settlement to ensure an "ethnic" Jewish demographic domination of the region. Much of the external contributions to such projects are solicited in the form of tax-exempt donations solicited from those countries—including ICESCR State parties—in which WZO/JA, JNF and affiliated organizations have registered to operate as "charitable associations."¹⁶

III. Recent Legislative Developments Affecting Article 11 Rights

Since the Committee's last review of the State party, legislation in the form of the *Israel Lands Authority Law, Amendment 7* (2009) and a 2010 amendment of the *British Mandate-era Land (Acquisition for Public Purposes) Ordinance* (1943) introduced tactical adjustments to the land-tenure system in Israel. The 2009 amendment authorizes more powers to the JNF in its special status and role in land management. It also establishes the Israel Lands Authority (ILA) (no longer "Israel Lands Administration") with increased powers, provides for the granting of private ownership of lands, and sets approval criteria for the transfer of State lands and Development Authority lands to the JNF. The 2010 amendment "makes sure" that lands expropriated for "public use" do not "revert" to their original owners, and now can be transferred to a third party (likely the JNF). The 2010 legislation also circumvents the Israeli Supreme Court's precedent-setting judgment in the 2001 *Karsik* case,¹⁷ which obliged authorities to return confiscated land in the event it has not been used for the purpose for which it was confiscated.

According to these recent amendments, the JNF will continue to hold large representation in the Israel Lands Authority with six of 13 members (which also may function with just ten members). That ensures JNF's continued key role, ensuring discrimination against indigenous Palestinians in the development of policies and programs affecting 93% of lands in Israel.

These recent amendments allow the state and the JNF to exchange lands, in order to facilitate "development" through the privatization of lands owned by the JNF in urban areas. Such a swap would have the State receive JNF land in urban areas that could be privatized, while the JNF would receive 50–60,000 dunams¹⁸ of land for "judaization" in the Galilee and the Naqab, where the indigenous population of Palestinian Arab citizens of Israel remain most concentrated.

As in the past, the JNF agrees that the new Israel Land Authority will manage its lands, whereas ILA is committed to do so consistent with "the principles of the JNF in regards

to its lands" (Article 2). In addition, the JNF has committed to contribute 100 million NIS (€20.5 million) from its own sources to further development of the Naqab.

The amendments enable further circumvention of legal oversight and legislate against equality in land-use rights. As the JNF's charter excludes non-Jews from benefiting from its land or services, any such transfer of public land to the JNF prevents citizens' equal access to land. In other words, the State will be able more readily to "judaize" more land and discriminate against its non-Jewish citizens in the Naqab and Galilee—and elsewhere—by transferring these lands to the JNF.

The new 2010 law appears to prevent—or severely impede—Palestinian Arab citizens of Israel from ever reclaiming their confiscated land. It forecloses such citizens' right to demand the return of the appropriated land in the event that it has not been used for the public purpose for which it was originally taken, if that ownership has been transferred to a third party, or if more than 25 years have passed since its confiscation. Well over 25 years have passed since the confiscation of the vast majority of Palestinian land, including refugee lands taken in the 1947–49 population transfer, as well as in the 1951–53 depopulation of Naqab villages. Meanwhile, the ownership of large tracts of land has been transferred to third parties, including Zionist institutions such as the Jewish National Fund.

III.A Legalistic Reasoning

The ILA rationalizes its policy of restricting bids for JNF-owned lands to Jews only by citing the Covenant between the state and the JNF (1961). Under that agreement, the ILA is obliged to respect the objectives of the JNF, which include the acquisition of land "for the purpose of settling Jews."¹⁹ Thus, JNF serves as the State's subcontractor for discrimination based on a constructed "Jewish nationality," and not Israeli citizenship.

This legal and institutional framework ensures that housing, land, immigration and development rights and values are exclusively for "Jewish nationals" to enjoy.²⁰ Most indigenous inhabitants of Israeli controlled areas are not Jewish, including the majority population of the Galilee and the "unrecognized" village residents and citizens in the Naqab inside the internationally recognized borders of the State of Israel.

Where these institutions and legal reasoning are applied also in the Israeli-occupied Palestinian and Syrian territories, they result in the implantation of settlers and settler colonies, while dispossessing the indigenous inhabitants of Jerusalem, West Bank and Golan Heights, respectively.

IV. CESCR List of Issues: Article 11 - The right to an adequate standard of living

22. Please provide up-to-date information on the number of households that live below the national poverty line, in the State party, including in the Occupied Palestinian Territories, disaggregated by population group. Please also provide detailed information on public expenditure to fight poverty in particular for the most marginalized and disadvantaged groups in society, and indicate its effect on reducing the extent and depth of poverty.

Inside the State of Israel	Under Israeli Occupation
As of 2010, the Arab and Ultraorthodox Jewish communities count as the poorest in Israel, with a poverty rate of 50% for Arabs and close to 60% for the Ultraorthodox. They are separate from the mainstream population and the core of economic activity. The	In oPt, poverty and unemployment continue to worsen, as 22% of Palestinians throughout the oPt live below the poverty line (15.5% in the West Bank and 33.2% in Gaza). At 2009, the number of families living in deep poverty stood at 12% (7.5% in the West Bank and 20% in Gaza),
econometric evidence comparing wages and employment rates points to discrimination against the indigenous Arab population. ²¹	subjecting 430,000 children to the vulnerabilities of poverty. In communities affected by the Separation Wall, poverty is higher than the oPt average. Years of damage to agricultural land and other natural resources, plus the
During 2009, in all, 15,000 families were added to the poor population; 14,300 of those were Arab households. The downward trend in the incidence of poverty among Arabs in the last few years has been more-recently reversed. Notably, poverty among Arab families increased from	impact of closures and restrictions on the movement of goods on the economy in Gaza Strip have resulted in widespread loss of income. Poor households in Gaza Strip are poorer than the households in the West Bank. ²⁵
49.4%, in 2008, to 53.5%, in 2009. The severity of poverty (FGT) increased by 19%, while the dimensions of poverty by districts indicated that the poverty among Arab families increased from 59% to 71.2%. The percentage of poor Arab persons in the Jerusalem District is 75.3%, and that of poor Arab children is 83.1%, as compared to 29.2% overall. The	Five UN experts recently issued a statement on the "Palmer Report" of the <i>Mavi Mara</i> flotilla incident, saying that "the Israeli blockade is the primary cause of the poverty and deprivation experienced by the people of Gaza. "In order for Gazans to have access to the economic opportunities necessary to pull themselves out of poverty," Special
Jerusalem District continues to be the poorest urban district; and the Arabs and ultra-Orthodox in Jerusalem and south are the poorest populations in the country. ²²	Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights Magdalena Sepulveda insisted, "all Gaza entry points must be opened to facilitate freedom of movement for individuals, the unhindered inflow of investment and industrial and

agricultural inputs, and the export of products from Gaza."²⁶ In the southern Nagab (Negev) region live more than 180,000 Bedouin Arab citizens. The Bedouins who are The UN experts emphasized that "there is also an urgent living in 45 "unrecognized" villages are suffering and need to ensure that sufficient quantities of medicines, fuel, affected more than those for whom Israel has created spare parts for damaged infrastructure, as well as cement, seven "townships" or the few officially recognized villages. sand and other construction materials, are able to reach the The "unrecognized" villages are totally omitted from any people of Gaza." Israel still bears responsibility for Israeli statutory plans. The two sides-the State and these implementing its covenanted human rights obligations in the occupied Palestinian territory; and the blockage of Gaza indigenous communities-are locked in opposition, faced off in an asymmetrical struggle marked by an aggressive continues to violate international law.²⁷ development push by the Government of Israel (Gol) and State agencies to remove the "unrecognized" villages and The grinding poverty of Gaza is having an effect on expand contiguous Jewish settlements, "judaizing" the indivisible rights, particularly those of children. Poverty is region and taking over the land of the indigenous citizens.²³ forcing more and more children out of school an into the cheap labor market, with long-term consequence for education and livelihoods.²⁸ Consequently, in 2009, two out of three Bedouins lived below the poverty line, and their access to social services such as education, health care, water, electricity and Families forced from their homes in the West Bank are housing is among the lowest in the country. They also suffering from abject poverty, often lacking food, medicine endure the highest unemployment rate in Israel (over 50%), and humanitarian assistance. In Area C—60% of the West with 60% of the population living under the poverty line. Bank, under direct Israeli control-the poorest sections of society are suffering disproportionately because basic Among the female population, only 10% of Bedouin women infrastructure is not being repaired due to Israel's refusal to participate in the workforce, compared with 20% of Arab approve the work. While the blockade of Gaza has Israeli women and 80% of Jewish Israeli women. The dominated the headlines in recent months, the international economic conditions are exacerbated by the high community also risks neglecting the fate of the poorest communities in the West Bank.²⁹ population growth among the Bedouin, ranked as one of the highest in the world, with annual growth at 5%. Currently, 60% of the Bedouin population is under the age of 17, and only 1.5% is over the age of 65. Infant mortality among the Bedouins is 17/1000, as compared to 4/1000 among Jews and 7/1000 among Arab citizens of Israel generally.²⁴

24. Please indicate whether the State party's policies on home demolitions and evictions in Area C meet its obligations arising from the Covenant: (a) to consult with the affected persons; (b) to explore all possible alternatives prior to evictions; and (c) to provide effective remedies to those affected by forced evictions carried out by the State party's military. Please indicate if the development of special outline plans and closed military zones is preceded by consultations with affected Palestinian communities, so as to obtain their informed consent.

Inside the State of Israel	Under Israeli Occupation
By the time of this writing, Israel authorities have destroyed the Bedouin village of al-Arakib, in the Naqab, for the 21 st time, ostensibly in favor of a Jewish National Fund (JNF) forestry project: Ambassadors Forest. The village is home to Israeli citizens many of whom have served in the army. Through the selective enforcement of law and the denial of justice to the villagers, Israel has given itself the necessary permits to destroy the village time after time. In other words, had the residents of al-Arakib been Jewish citizens of Israel ("Jewish nationals"), the village would not have been destroyed, but fully legal and serviced. On 11 September 2011, Israel's Cabinet authorized the "Prawer Plan" to evict as many as 30,000 Bedouin citizens in the Naqab and concentrate them into planned townships	In its Conclusion Observations upon its 1998 review of Israel's implementation of the Covenant, ⁴⁴ the Committee noted that the State party failed to address the questions related to "land and people" and the State's obligations to apply the Covenant to all territories and populations under its effective control. Israel has continued in breach the Covenant's guarantee for Palestinian rights to self-determination (an over-riding Covenant principle of implementation, Article 1), denying access to the land. Most notably, the practices of State party in the West Bank including, "Area C," have subjected the Bedouin communities to house demolition, forced eviction and displacement.
such as Rahat, Khura and Ksayfe. There, they will be permanently denied land tenure and offered some financial compensation. Some have called this plan a "declaration of war on the Bedouin." ³⁰	scale Israeli campaign forcibly to displace as many Palestinians as possible. Families have not only been fined for "unlicensed building," but also were forced to pay large amount of money for the demolition expenses. That has forced some of them to demolish their own houses, in order

The denial of "nationality" status is the source of the pattern of discrimination presented in this and the other parallel reports for the Committee's 47th session. That proscription does not actually appear explicitly in the text of a single Israeli law, but in the implicit subordination to the discriminatory principles of the parastatal organizations carrying out essential functions of the state, managing natural resources and development. As explained further below, for example, Israeli urban planning criteria for official recognition of villages are not published, but many long-standing and populous Arab villages in the Nagab and Galilee remain "unrecognized," while nearby Jewish settlements—notably smaller than the supposed minimum 50-household population criterion—are "recognized" with all services, rights and privileges. The operative criterion for recognizing and servicing Jewish settlements and denying the Arab villages their statutory status and corresponding access to rights, including public services, is their lack of "Jewish nationality."³¹ Those disadvantaged communities remain "unrecognized" in nationality and in planning criteria as a fundamental obstacle to their sustainability and development.

The present practice of State authorities incrementally demolishing the unrecognized villages has been ongoing for at least 25 years.³² The ostensible premise has been, and continues to be the homeowner's "lack of a permit." Great numbers of demolitions in the eight years from 2001 to 2009 are shown in the table below. Over that period, the demolitions have averaged some 107 per year. The rate of demolition has risen alarmingly in the later years, to 400 in 2008. The total number of people rendered homeless by these demolitions has averaged 730 persons annually,

to spare themselves of any extra costs, since demolitions are inevitable.

Data gathered from the Land Research Center's field research across Jerusalem and the West Bank reveal that Israeli authorities have demolished over 1,000 in those areas since 2001.

Table 1: House Demolitions in the West Bank		
evernerete	Year	

Governorate	Year		
Governorate	2009	2010	2011
Jerusalem	77	39	13
Nablus	2	20	10
Tubas	17	50	20
Hebron	-	13	46
Bethlehem	-	7	1
Qalqiliyya	-	-	4
Salfit	-	2	-
Jenin	-	-	-
Jericho	-	3	19
Ramallah	-	6	10
Tulkarm	-	-	-
Annual totals	96	140	123
Grand Total 359			
Source: Land Research Center, Jerusalem (2011)			

Israeli authorities introduced the practice of self-demolitions (or "silent demolition") in 2009, whereby nine families were forced to demolish their homes with their own hands. 25 houses in 2010, as well as 13 other in 2011, faced the same fate.⁴⁵

The Israelis have been using various laws to legitimize the

with some 2,700 made homeless in 2008 alone.³³

Under such euphemisms as "Blueprint Negev," the "Development Plan for the Negev" and "safeguarding the land," the State has accelerated demolitions of Bedouin citizens' housing as HLRN carried out its 2009 field investigation. Since that time, the Gol demolished at least 356 homes and other structures in the Nagab, making at least 2,300 persons homeless.

House Demolitions in		
Unrecognized Villages, 2001–08		
Year	Number ³⁴	
2001	8	
2002	23	
2003	63	
2004	23	
2005	15	
2006	96	
2007	227	
2008	400	
2009–10	356	
Total	1,211	

Additionally, many more houses are demolished by owners themselves (to avoid a criminal

charge and a hefty fine). The number of these is estimated to be similar to the number demolished by the authorities, but those are not counted in the official figures. Therefore, the problem is far greater than these numbers imply.

No apparent pattern emerges from these demolitions. The fluctuations over time depend on political conditions,³⁵ and the choice of houses to be demolished also may depend on political or ideologically symbolic factors.

demolishing of Palestinian houses and structures, while claiming that they are to be used for public purposes. However, the truth is that the Palestinian expropriated properties have been used for colonization projects.⁴⁶

Table 2: House Demolitions in East Jerusalem (1 January 2000–28 September 2011)

Year	Residences	Rooms	Area (m²)	Family members	Children
2000	37	109	2,358	279	127
2001	72	373	11,333	500	270
2002	69	315	8,554	436	231
2003	145	568	18,830	845	456
2004	183	518	16,532	854	494
2005	120	441	13,322	531	270
2006	78	326	8,092	462	264
2007	94	355	9,535	516	302
2008	95	232	8,754	654	315
2009	77	228	6,572	554	294
2010	39	114	3,020	266	145
2011	13	38	805	70	40
Total	1,022	3,077	10,7707	3,859	3,230
Source: Land Research Center, Jerusalem (2011)					

Construction in "Area C" has been undergoing a great deal of Israeli restrictions and limitations. The Israeli measures have resulted in the demolition of more than 350 Palestinian houses in the last three years, thousands other houses have already been served demolition orders due for execution. Thus, thousands of Palestinian houses and structures are facing day-to-day demolition threats.

Palestinian construction is effectively prohibited in some 70% of Area C, in areas that have been allocated for the use

Data obtained by Israel's <i>Haaretz</i> newspaper actually puts the composite figures much higher. The daily has reported that GoI demolished 225 structures in 2008, 254 structures in 2009, and that GoI claims it will triple these numbers for	of Israeli settlements or the Israeli military. The remaining 30% there are under a range of other restrictions that greatly reduce the possibility of obtaining a building permit.
2010, with an expected 700 structures to be destroyed and 9,000 dunams of land to be deep plowed to prevent construction. ³⁶	In the first six months of 2011, OCHA also recorded the Israeli authorities' demolition of 342 Palestinian-owned structures in Area C, including 125 residential structures and 20 rainwater-collection cisterns. Those demolitions displaced
The number of houses with demolition orders (some with more than one) currently totals well over 10,000. ³⁷ In several unrecognized villages such as al-Qrain, which HLRN's international investigative team visited in 2009, the	a total of 656 Palestinians, including 351children. Moreover, at least 3,000 demolition orders remain outstanding, including 18 targeting schools.
Israeli authorities have served all the houses with demolition orders.	This is almost five times as many structures demolished and people displaced as during the equivalent period in 2010. ⁴⁷ OCHA affirmed that the demolitions in this year increased
Government sources indicate that 1,500 ³⁸ additional "illegal" structures are built each year, and that the total number of "illegal" structures is 50,000. ³⁹ According to	the affected families' dependency on humanitarian aid, and that they have suffered multiple waves of demolitions. Ten out of 13 communities visited by OCHA confirmed that
other government figures, 45,000 "illegal" Bedouin structures in the Naqab today are subject to demolition, whether or not they have standing demolition orders against them. ⁴⁰ These figures may well include houses built before the planning law became operational, many of	families are leaving because of the ongoing violations by Israel authorities in Area C that made it impossible for residents to meet their basic needs or maintain their presence on the land. ⁴⁸
which have demolition orders nonetheless. With 10,000 reported Naqab demolition orders pending, the actual government demolition campaign is far outpaced by the indigenous people's residential stamp on their own	In its emergency appeal at end-2010, UNRWA reported that, in West Bank, more than 600 people were displaced due to demolitions and evictions in 2010, and 368,000 persons in 182 communities were directly offected by the Separation
territory, as well as other territories to which Israeli State agents have evicted them since 1951.	182 communities were directly affected by the Separation Wall. Also, those communities continue to face social, political and other pressures from the Israeli authorities. Land expropriation, settlement building, home demolitions
Besides the tremendous material damage, the demolition of homes causes anguish, great financial loss and is a threat to health, particularly of children of the affected	and discriminatory planning have left 13% of East Jerusalem and only 1% of Area C zoned for Palestinian construction.

family. The deplorable standards of housing in the Bedouins in Area C are the most-vulnerable and mostunrecognized villages are very substantially due to the marginalized communities there, subject to gross violations threat of demolition: Houses are built and rebuilt furtively. as result of the "closure system" that was applied by the using cheap materials and often avoiding the use of Israeli occupation. UNRWA has declared the Bedouins in "permanent" materials (i.e., masonry and timber) that are West Bank to be an "endangered" ethnic group.⁴⁹ more likely to be demolished. Houses are small, with high In Area C, especially through summer 2011, Bedouin levels of overcrowding. Palestinians have been targeted by aggressive Israeli Much of the precarious nature of housing continues for policies aimed at pushing them (among other Palestinian communities from the 108 villages and habitations that the communities) out of Area C. Eleven of the 12 Bedouin Israeli army and authorities depopulated in 1951–53. They received active demolition/displacement communities were forcibly resettled into an area known as *al-sivāj* (the orders. Although injunctions have been obtained, temporarily enclosure).41 freezing demolition orders, the orders may be reactivated at any time. They also face the possibility of additional orders As early as the 1950's, dispersed Bedouins began to being issued against the communities. reform communities (known as "pzūra," in Hebrew, or "scatterings") and today official sources count 62,500 Families receiving a second demolition/displacement order are often only given three days to leave their house. Such is people in such unrecognized villages. Their makeshift particularly problematic if the order is issued on a weekend constructions built of stone, corrugated iron and tin, as well as tents, are considered as "illegal," because they occur (Thursday for example, while official departments are not outside of established planning zone and mechanisms, open on Fridays and Saturdays), as then there is no and without building permits. opportunity for legal intervention. Social fragmentation is a consequence of Israeli policies aimed at limiting space for Israel's Goldberg Commission for Regulating Bedouin Bedouin communities to reside in. Due to limited space, the Settlement in the Nagab reported the conditions there: communities had to disperse into smaller groups and settle into separate areas, also creating rivalry on both tribal and As the villages are unrecognized, they receive no personal levels.⁵⁰ municipal budget, they have no system of local government, and the residents do not pay municipal taxes. In early 2010, the Israeli Civil Administration in the West As they have no approved plan, they cannot receive Bank responded to a petition by Regavim (National Land planning permission, the State prohibits all building and all current structures are illegal. The population in these Protection Trust) to continue Bedouin house demolition, villages does not receive orderly government services, pledging to prioritize the destruction of: and they do not have basic infrastructure (water, 1. Houses or agricultural facilities built on land classified as electricity, sewage, roads and so forth). The water

situation is deplorable; water is of poor quality and inadequate availability, and only a portion of the inhabitants are connected through private piping to the mains supply on the main roads. The rest have to bring water over long distances in containers. ⁴²	 "State Land." Houses or facilities in proximity to Jewish settler colonies, the Separation Wall, or security zones, as classified by the Israeli Civil Administration. Houses within Palestinian communities located in "Area C" as per the interim agreements."
JNF Forestation against the Villages	
The Jewish National Fund for the Land of Israel (JNF) manages land and other properties "redeemed" by Israel for persons of "Jewish race or descendancy," in the words	And that will affect the Bedouin communities and they will face eventual demolition and displacement by the Civil Administration across Areas C. ⁵¹
of its charter. Among its methods, forestation ensures that the lands remain under Jewish possession.	In Khirbet Yarza, located in the northern Jordan Valley, two Palestinian communities face a range of restrictions,
Fulfilling part of the functions of State, the JNF is one of the most powerful parastatal institutions in Israel. Not only does JNF senior staff dominate the board of the ILA, the JNF's claimed charitable status abroad has allowed it to collect tax-exempt contributions that fund its activities. The JNF forestation programs across historic Palestine have	including threats to physical safety by the Civil Administration as a result of the Israeli army's declaring that the area in which they live as a closed area for military training. In addition, the community has faced repeated waves of demolitions, including of the community's mosque due to the inability to obtain permission to build legally. ⁵²
been central to ensuring that the indigenous inhabitants— including refugees of some 351 Palestinian villages that Israeli forces depopulated in 1947–49—are prevented from returning to their homes, villages and lands. JNF planting in the Naqab has intensified with time, especially affecting three unrecognized villages just outside the edge of the <i>siyāj</i> : Twail Abū Jarwal, al-Araqīb, and Karkūr.	In the West Bank, such vulnerable Palestinian communities are at risk from the Israel authorities, while also at risk from Jewish settler attacks supported by Israeli police, border guards, and Special Forces. Such attacks have targeted the Bedouins especially, seeking to remove and demolish their dwellings, in order to build Jewish settler colonies in their place.
Forcefully removing residents in the early 1950s to allow for "army manoeuvres" with the promise of their return six months later, Israeli institutions and authorities have prevented the residents' return ever since. After multiple displacements, some families of the Talālqa tribe decided ten years ago to join the few families remaining on the land	Many Bedouins have suffered related injuries due to settlers' and police use of firearms, sound bombs, tear gas and beating with clubs, as well as carrying and dragging the Bedouins bodily to force them to abandon their dwellings, which are demolished and leveled immediately with Israeli

of their original village of Twail Abū Jarwal, three miles away. The Gol responded by razing the rebuilt village to the ground "more than thirty times in the past few years." To impede the resurrection of the village, the JNF now is planting a forest on the village lands, as it has done over the ruins of many Palestinian villages depopulated in the course of the 1948 war. Israeli police threatened the people of Twail Abū Jarwal in March 2010 that more severe force will be used to evict them for good, without providing any housing solution for them. Most of the al-`Uqbi tribe were forced off their traditional lands in al-`Araqīb and on to other Bedouin families' lands within the <i>siyāj</i> . Many now live in the unrecognized village of al-Qrain, where all houses remain under demolition orders. Meanwhile, the inhabitants possess Ottoman- period documents proving their ownership of the land and aerial photographs from the British Mandate period showing their cultivation of the same. To prevent the original residents from returning to their lands at al-`Araqīb, the JNF has been planting a forest since 1999. Its current project is to expand the "Ambassador's Forest" to cover the original village	bulldozers. Such incidents have occurred, for example, in Bi'r al-Maskūb and Wād Abū Hindī areas, where the victims were forced to move on the pretext of road construction. Other such attacks have taken place on al-'Azariyya (Bethany), 'Anāta, Hizma, Jabā' and Mukhmās village lands, in order to establish or expand Jewish settler colonies. ⁵³ Many such violations also are related to the Separation Wall. One 2008 example affected the Ka'abnah community on the lands of Mukhmās village, northeast of Jerusalem, in the area known as Baqā'a. There Muhammad from the Ka'abnah clan reported that a large military force accompanied by a military bulldozer came on 2 January 2008 and started to break down 13–14 barracks, including five housing barracks and another one for keeping livestock. As a result, the dwellings of Omar 'Arar and Sulaimān Salmān were demolished and they were not allowed to evacuate any piece of their furniture. The families and the sheep were left in the open air. ⁵⁴
On 3 March 2010, Member of Knesset Dov Hanin (Hadash) asked the Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development Shalom Simhon why the JNF is planting trees in the area of al-`Araqīb when the land is not designated as forest land, but for agriculture. Mr. Simhon replied that, despite the land's designation, the authorities have decided to plant a forest there, because wherever a forest has been planted, the "national" lands are "protected."	

Inhabitants of al-Qrain now risk a third dispossession as this village's land is planned to become another JNF forest as well.

On 27 July 2010, Israeli authorities demolished the entire village of al-`Araqīb, destroying about 40 homes and leaving approximately 300 Bedouin homeless. In the process, many of the residents' cattle, trees and belongings were lost. According to police spokesman Mickey Rosenfeld, the homes were considered "illegally built" and "were destroyed in line with a court ruling issued 11 years ago [that] was never implemented." At 05:00 AM on 10 August 2010, and for the third time in three weeks, the Israeli Land Authority (ILA) demolished the rebuilt homes of the residents of al-Aragīb. The residents had built temporary shelters after each of the demolitions, but authorities, using overwhelming force, demolished all of these shelters. After all structures were destroyed in the village, Israeli authorities confiscated all building materials and removed them from the site.⁴³ By the time of this publication, this scenario has played itself out 21 times.

27. Please provide information on measures taken by the State party to restore the water infrastructure of the West Bank including in the Jordan Valley, after the destruction of the local civilians' wells and other water and irrigation facilities under military and settler operations since 1967. Please indicate what measures the State party has undertaken to ensure equal and equitable availability, access, affordability, acceptability and quality of water across the Occupied Palestinian Territories, as indicated in the Committee's general comment No.15 (2002) on the right to water.

Inside the State of Israel	Under Israeli Occupation
Water also is a strategic natural resource subject to discriminatory distribution within Israel and the areas of its effective control. The ultimate authority in water-resource planning and management rests in the hands of the Mekorot and Tahal Israeli water authorities. Those two "national" organizations have been founded and owned by other Jewish "national" institutions. The former was established by the Jewish Agency, Histradūt, and Jewish National Fund, the latter majority owned by the Israeli Government, with minority shares divided between the Jewish National Fund and Jewish Agency. This report provides explanations below on the distribution policy and mechanisms of such "Jewish nationality"-based discrimination in practice. The JNF's "Blueprint Negev" ⁵⁵ looms as an example of such an Israeli parastatal program in the southern Naqab region with both private and Israeli government financing. It favors Jewish settlers' implantation and development in the ancestral lands and properties of the indigenous Naqab population, which is still living marginally among them and	The State party has continued to deny Palestinians in the oPt of their right to control their own natural resources as a function of its denial of Palestinian self-determination. For four-and-a-half decades of its military occupation, Israel has assumed near complete control over all Palestinian water sources. In 1967, the occupation authorities destroyed more than 140 Palestinian wells in the Jordan Valley, to divert water through Israel's "National" Water Carrier (Mekorot). Israeli discrimination in the allocation of water is part of the structural oppression of the occupied people, perpetuating a system of institutionalized discrimination, an unsustainable economy and prohibiting any possibility of a viable Palestinian State.

HIC-HLRN Parallel Report to CESCR: Israel

In Concluding Observations Israel in its thirtieth session, the Committee expressed that it was:	USAID estimate that the Israeli army has destroyed at least US\$7 million worth of West Bank water infrastructure in March–May 2002. ⁶² On 30 January 2003, the Israeli military destroyed two wells in Rafah, in the Gaza Strip, that
"deeply concerned about the continuing difference in treatment between Jews and non-Jews, in particular Arab and Bedouin communities who reached to 170,000 persons, with regard to their enjoyment of economic, social and cultural rights in the State party's territory. And its concern that the excessive emphasis upon the State as a	provided nearly half of the city's drinking water supply. Furthermore, water tanker drivers and water maintenance personnel have been physically attacked and threatened by the Israeli army and illegal settlers. ⁶³
"Jewish State" encourages discrimination and accords a second-class status to its non-Jewish citizens."This discriminatory attitude is apparent in the continuing lower standard of living of Israeli Arabs as a result, inter alia, of higher unemployment rates, restricted access to and participation in trade unions, lack of access to housing, water, electricity and health care and a lower level of education, despite the State party's efforts to close the gap. In this regard, the Committee expresses its concern that the State party's domestic legal order does not enshrine the general principles of equality and non-discrimination." ⁵⁶	The Separation Wall across also a "water wall." Some of the largest Israeli settlements (such as Ariel and Qedumīn) are built over the Western Mountain Aquifer, directly in the middle of the northern West Bank agricultural districts. This is exactly where the Separation Wall cuts deepest into Palestinian territory to surround and annex this vital water source, which has caused the villages of Falamiyya, in Qalqīlīya District, and nearby Jayyūs to lose its main source of water. There the Separation Wall construction has destroyed or isolated from their owners around 50 groundwater wells and over 200 cisterns. ⁶⁴
This observation reiterated the observation from the Committee's earlier nineteenth session, in which it noted: "The Committee expresses concern that excessive emphasis upon the State as a "Jewish State" encourages discrimination and accords a second-class status to its non-Jewish citizens. The Committee notes with concern that the Government of Israel does not accord equal rights to its Arab citizens, although they comprise over 19 per cent of the total population. This discriminatory attitude is	184 localities in oPt do not have a public water network (31% of total localities, and 220,763 persons), which represent about 6.0% of the total population in the Palestinian Territory. The main source of water for the localities connected to the network was the Israeli source (Mekorot) (for 29% of the localities connected to a water network). ⁶⁵
apparent in the lower standard of living of Israeli Arabs as a result, inter alia, of lack of access to housing, water, electricity and health care and their lower level of education. The Committee also notes with concern that despite the fact that the Arabic language has official status	The situation in Gaza is worse than in West Bank. Gaza Strip depends on the coastal underground water reservoir that lies under the Mediterranean Sea between Rafah and Mount Carmel, this groundwater is largely dependent on the

in low, it is not siven equal importance in practice "57	analyzeter inside level because of the flaw of water in
in law, it is not given equal importance in practice." ⁵⁷	groundwater inside Israel, because of the flow of water in an east-west direction into the reservoir. The amount of
However, the Committee's observations remain unheeded	water available to Palestinians in the Gaza Strip would be
and unanswered for 13 years.	reasonable had Israel not confiscated more than 80% of the
	Palestinian groundwater to make up 20% of the Israelis'
With a double standard operating as criteria for official	total water consumption, which stands at 2 billion cubic
recognition of a settlement in Israel, it is clear that the	meters per annum. Due to this overextraction, the
operative criterion denying the Arab villages their statutory	underground fresh water source in Gaza will run dry within
status and corresponding access to rights, including public	the next eight years. ⁶⁶
services such as water, is their lack of Jewish nationality. ⁵⁸	
	The number of agricultural wells that illegally withdraw
In 1982, Israel transferred the water infrastructure to the	water from the aquifer-many of them unregistered-has
Mekorot Company, the "national" water carrier and	increased to approximately 4,000 in the Gaza Strip. ⁶⁷ The
affiliates, with a specific policy of denying clean and	supply of water from Israel meanwhile has declined by
accessible water to the residents of the unrecognized	approximately half from 1998 to 2005, in breach of the Oslo
villages. The Water Commissioner has refused applications	Accords. Gaza's wastewater treatment facilities are still
of hundreds of families for Mekorot water company connections. Many are forced to buy their drinking water	vastly inadequate, with 80% of sewage being discharged
from a central location and pay for transport in unhygienic	untreated into the environment. ⁶⁸
metal containers or improvise plastic hose connections from	
water access points located several kilometers away.	In addition, Israeli missile strikes and ground incursions in
	Gaza over this review period have repeatedly damaged and
In the Naqab, even Israel's Goldberg Commission on	destroyed pipelines, and Israeli forces have arrested, shot
regularizing Bedouin villages reported the dire condition of	at, and even killed maintenance personnel trying to carry
access to water in "unrecognized" villages. Water is of poor	out infrastructure repairs. Inadequate sewage treatment
quality and inadequate availability, and only a portion of the	infrastructure and damage to wastewater and drinking
inhabitants are connected through private piping to the	water pipelines have allowed sewage water to contaminate
supply near the main roads. Some villages have paid	drinking water supplies, leading to sharp increases in water borne diseases in many areas. ⁶⁹
collectively for a one-inch water pipe connection. The rest	Dome diseases in many aleas.
have to bring water over long distances in containers. ⁵⁹	This deprivation is coupled with Israel's military destruction
LUDN's investigative team remarked the testinger of the	of homes and infrastructure during its "Operation Cast
HLRN's investigative team reported the testimony by the	Lead" in 2008–09. In that campaign, the Israeli air and
residents of Wādi al-Na`im suffering from unsafe water and	ground actions partially or fully destroyed 11,135 homes,
unavailable sanitation, that lead to unusually high incidence	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

of cancers and miscarriages among its fairly young population, as well as infections and dehydration. Bedouin Arab citizens' water consumption is far below the national norm. Illustratively, in 2003–04 the annual water consumption (in cubic meters per person) in Israel was 82, while that of the "unrecognized" villages was 24m. ⁶⁰	209 industrial premises, 724 commercial establishments, 650 vehicles and 6,271 dunams (627.1 hectares) of agricultural land. However, those gross violations in the context of armed conflict within the State party's review period form part of a consistent pattern demonstrated by its practice consistently as a matter of policy and military doctrine since 10 March 1948. ⁷⁰
Numerous petitions and appeals submitted to the Supreme	This military component of the State party's practice has
Court from some unrecognized villages to claim to access	greatly reinforced the material discrimination carried out to
their rights to the water charged that the Israeli authorities	the disadvantage of the indigenous Palestinian and other
and Mekorot, had maintained a policy of denying clean and	occupied populations by other "legal" and institutionalized
accessible water to the residents of these villages. ⁶¹	means.

V. Conclusion

The social indicators of persons in Israel living under the poverty line, the discriminatory practices carried out by Israeli authorities in Area C of the West Bank, East Jerusalem, the blockade of Gaza, as well as the Israeli practices generally throughout the Golan Height and the oPt, deserve deep review and critical inquiry for the Committee's Concluding Observations. The relevant laws, institutions, policies and programs outlined in this parallel report demonstrate the pattern of institutionalized discrimination for the indigenous Palestinian and other Arab people under Israel's effective control, whether citizens of the State party or persons under occupation. In all areas under its jurisdiction and effective control, the State party breaches the Covenant's Article 11 consistently through the practices of forced evictions, displacement, population transfer, demolishing homes, land grabbing and confiscation, as well as inequitable distribution of public goods and services that range from drinking water to urban planning.

The Housing and Land Rights Network of Habitat International Coalition (HIC-HLRN) and its constituent Member organizations compiling this parallel report urge the Committee to maintain the integrity of jurisprudence on the matter of this State party's implementation of the Covent. Within the treaty obligations to respect, protect and fulfill the human right to adequate housing, the State party continues to fundamentally breach Article 11, as well as the entire spirit and essence of the Covenant by institutionalizing discrimination in the form of a constructed "Jewish nationality," distinct from citizenship. By violating one of the over-riding principles of the Covenant (nondiscrimination, Article 2.2), the State party has derogated a bundle of ESC rights for distinct categories of persons within its jurisdiction and areas of effective control. Notably also, since CESCR's last review, CERD also has issued five observations finding the State party in breach of its obligations to combat *apartheid*.⁷¹

Despite the Committee's consistent attention and firm recommendations on these matters since 1998, the State party has failed to respond to any of the Committee's recommendations or related questions. Besides violating the rights of persons guaranteed their rights under ICESCR, this impunity goes to the very heart of the conflict affecting the State party and the region, as well as poses a fundamental obstacle to its victims' rights to remedy and reparations as affirmed in international law.

It is imperative that the Committee find the State party in breach of the Covenant and, once again, inform the President of the Economic and Social Council of the nature of the State party's noncompliance, citing rule 64 of the Committee's rules of procedure.⁷² That rule provides that the Committee may make suggestions and recommendations of a general nature on the basis of its consideration of reports submitted by States parties and the reports submitted by specialized agencies, in order to assist the Council to fulfill, in particular, its responsibilities under Articles 21 and 22 of the Covenant.

HIC-HLRN seizes this opportunity to extend its gratitude to the Committee for maintaining the integrity of the Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and looks forward to providing any further information necessary to assist it in its task of upholding the covenanted rights of all.

VI. Endnotes:

- ¹ "Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law," A/RES/60/147, 21 March 2006.
- ² See Salman Abu Sitta, "Village Points Inside & Outside Siyag" [map], Palestine Land Society (2010), annexed to HIC-HLRN, *The Goldberg Opportunity: a Chance for Human Rights –Based Statecraft in Israel* [The International Fact-finding Mission] (Cairo: HIC-HLRN, Middle East/North Africa Program, 2010), at: http://www.hlrn.org/img/documents/Nagab%20FFM%20report%202010.pdf.
- ³ "Concluding Observations of the Committee of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Israel," E/C.12/1/Add.27, 4 December 1998, at:
- http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/%28Symbol%29/df35bf5b5ee94d01802566d5003dd6cd?Opendocument
- ⁴ Law of Citizenship and Entry into Israel, amended by Section 3A in 1980, recognizing ineligible nationalities as Lebanese, Syrian, Palestinian, Jordanian and Iranian.
- ⁵ *Tamarin v. State of Israel* (1970) 26 P.D. I 197.
- ⁶ Moshe Gorali, "So this Jew, Arab, Georgian and Samaritan go to court...The state denies there is any such nationality as 'Israeli'," *Haaretz* (28 December 2003), at: http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/objects/pages/PrintArticleEn.jhtml?itemNo=376724; Uzi Ornan, "Apartheid Laws in Israel The Art of The Obfuscatory Formulation," *Ha'aretz* [Hebrew] (17 may 1991), at: http://cosmos.ucc.ie/cs1064/jabowen/IPSC/php/art.php?aid=1131; and Jonathan Cook, "Lawsuit Challenges Israel's Discriminatory Citizenship Definition," *The National* (Abu Dhabi) (06 April 2010), at: <a href="http://electronicintifada.net/v2/article11187.shtml?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+electronicIntifadaPalestine+%28Electronic+Intifada+%3A+Palestine+News%29.
- ⁷ Jewish National Fund Articles of Incorporation, Article 3(C).
- ⁸ HIC-HLRN, The Goldberg Opportunity: a Chance for Human Rights –Based Statecraft in Israel [The International Fact-finding Mission] (Cairo: HIC-HLRN, Middle East/North Africa Program, 2010), op. cit., p. 22, at: http://www.hlrn.org/img/documents/Nagab%20FFM%20report%202010.pdf.
- ⁹ "Concluding Observations of the Committee of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Israel," E/C.12/1/Add.27, 4 December 1998, para. 11.
- ¹⁰ Ibid., para. 35.
- ¹¹ "Concluding Observations of the Committee of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Israel," E/C.12/1/Add.90, 23 May 2003, para. 18.
- ¹² "Concluding Observations of the Committee of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Israel," E/C.12/1/Add.27, 4 December 1998, op. cit., para. 13.
- ¹³ Ibid., para. 36.
- ¹⁴ "Concluding observations of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Israel," E/C.12/1/Add.69, 31 August 2001, para. 14.
- ¹⁵ See Jewish Agency for Israel Yellow Pages, at: <u>http://www.jafi.org.il/about/abroad.htm</u>.
- ¹⁶ Josh Nathan-Kazis, "JNF Challenged on Discrimination, But No Precedent Bars Group's Land Sale Restrictions in Israel," (The Jewish Daily) Forward (20 April 2011), at http://www.forward.com/articles/137187/#ixzz1aVSIUv5g. also Uri Davis, "Apartheid Israel: The Jewish National See Fund," (April 2006), at: http://www.uridavis.info/jewish_national_fund_apartheid_israel.htm; "Exposing the Jewish National Fund (JNF)," Independent Jewish Voices / Voix Juives Indépendents, at: http://ijvcanada.org/exposing-the-jewish-national-fundinf-charity-causing-death-and-destruction/; "The Jewish National Fund,' A Parastatal Organization Chartered to Dispossess and Discrimninate," at: www.hic-mena.org/documents/JNF%20factsheet.pdf. For analysis of the operations of Israeli parastatal organizations as tax-exempt charities in the United States, see Grant Smith. America's Defense Line: The Justice Department's Battle to Register the Israel Lobby as Agents of a Foreign Government (Washington: Institute for Research, 2008).
- ¹⁷ H.C. 2390/96 *Karsik v. State of Israel* 55(2), P.D. 625.
- ¹⁸ A *dunam* = 1,000 square meters.
- ¹⁹ Jewish National Fund Articles of Incorporation, para. 3(1).
- ²⁰ Geremy Forman and Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, "From Arab land to `Israel Lands': the legal dispossession of the Palestinians displaced by Israel in the wake of 1948," *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, Vol. 22 (2004), pp. 809–30.
- (2004), pp. 809–30.
 ²¹ The Organization for Economic and Co-operation and Development (OECD), "Israel: a divided society results of a review of labour market and social policy," 2010, p. 2, at: <u>http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/0/40/44394444.pdf</u>; also "Policy Brief, Economic Survey of Israel," 2009, p. 7, at: <u>http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/01/30/44383721.pdf</u>
- ²² National Insurance Institute, *Poverty and Social Gaps, Annual Report 2009*, November 2010, p. 5–7, at: http://www.btl.gov.il/English%20Homepage/Publications/Poverty Report/Documents/poverty%20report%202009.pdf.
- ²³ HIC-HLRN, *The Goldberg Opportunity*, op. cit., p. 2.

²⁴ Ibid., p. 33.

²⁵ Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics (PCBS), "Palestinian Household Expenditure and Consumption Survey," 2009, at: http://www.pcbs.gov.ps/Portals/ pcbs/PressRelease/EXpend&Consm2010E.pdf.

²⁶ The Special Rapporteur on the right to food Olivier De Schütter, Special Rapporteur on the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health Anand Grover, Special Rapporteur on the human right to safe drinking water and sanitation Catarina de Albuguergue. Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights María Magdalena Sepúlveda Carmona, and Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights on Palestinian territories occupied since 1967 Richard Falk. Statement, 13 September 2011, at: http://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=11363&LangID=E

²⁸ UNICEF, "Growing poverty in Gaza pushing children to work," 22 July 2009, at:

http://www.unicef.org/infobycountry/oPt_50318.html.

Life on the Edge: The struggle to survive and the impact of forced displacement in high risk areas of the occupied Palestinian territory (London: Save the Children UK, June 2010), at: http://www.savethechildren.org.uk/en/54 9515.htm.

- 30 Thabet Abu Ras, "The Arab Bedouin in the Unrecognized Villages in the Nagab (Negev): Between the Hammer of Prawer and the Anvil of Goldberg," Adalah's Newsletter, Vol. 81 (April 2011), p. 5-6, at: http://www.adalah.org/upfiles/2011/Thabet English 2.pdf
- Joseph Schechla, "The Invisible People Come to Light: Israel's 'Internally Displaced' and the 'Unrecognized Villages'," Journal of Palestine Studies (autumn 2001).

http://weblaw.haifa.ac.il/he/Faculty/Kedar/lecdb/bedouins/416.pdf

³² Data are scarce for the period preceding 1993. See *Goldberg Opportunity*, op.cit., p.18.

- ³³ Based on an estimate of 6.8 persons per household.
- ³⁴ HRW, op. cit., Appendix B, citing RCUV.
- ³⁵ Such as the few demolitions taking place in 2000–01 due to "ministerial policy." Havatzelet Yahel, "Land Disputes Between the Negev Bedouin," Israel Studies Vol. 11, No. 2 (2006), p. 9.
- ³⁶ Yanir Yagna, "Israel to Triple Demolition Rate for Illegal Bedouin Construction," Haaretz (18 February 2010), at: http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1150664.html
- ³⁷Goldberg Opportunity, op.cit., p.19.
- ³⁸ Yahel, op. cit., p. 5.
- ³⁹ Goldberg Commission Report (GCR), para. 68. However, this number may be an overestimate. Information available to the investigative team is that those structures in the unrecognized villages number about 12, 500. An overall total is cited as 40,000 as of 2005. Yahel, op cit., note 66.
- ⁴⁰ Uri Tal, "Metropolitan-plans for the Bedouin Settlements in the Negev," Knesset Center for Research and Information [in Hebrew], 3 December 2006, at:

http://www.knesset.gov.il/mmm/doc.asp?doc=m01668&type=pdf. See also letter to Human Rights Watch from Ministry of Justice, 23 July 2007.

⁴³ See Yeela Ranaan, "Bulldozers, Trees and Villas: The Expulsion of the Bedouin Continues," *Tarabut Hithabrut*, 2 November 2009, at: http://www.tarabut.info/en/article/arakib/; and "The Role of the Jewish National Fund in Impeding Land Rights for the Bedouin Population in the Nagab," AI Majdal (winter-spring 2010), at: http://www.badil.org/al-majdal/item/1406-ranaan-int-nagab?Impl=component\$print=1.

⁴⁸ OCHA, "Humanitarian Fact sheet on Area C of the West Bank," July 2011, at: http://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/Full%20Report 69.pdf; also, "Displacement and Insecurity in Area C of the West bank, Special Focus," op. cit., p. 2.

²⁷ Ibid.

⁴¹ See Salman Abu Sitta [map], op. cit..

⁴² GCR, op. cit., p. 32, para. 63.

⁴⁴ E/C.12/1/Add.27, 4 December 1998.

⁴⁵ See The monthly reports issued by Land Research Center LRC at: <u>http://www.lrcj.org/Eng/site.php</u>

⁴⁶ OCHA. "Khirbet Tana: Large-scale Demolitions for a Third Time in Just over a Year," February 2011, at: http://unispal.un.org/UNISPAL.NSF/0/9A55FFBA1724298B852578340057A2F0; International Solidarity Movement, "Al -Aqabah village wakes up to demolitions," 15 September 2011, at: http://palsolidarity.org/2011/04/17450/; and B'Tselem, "Civil Administration demolished Bedouin village of al-Farsiya, in the Jordan Valley," 12 August 2010, at: http://www.btselem.org/planning and building/20100812 whole village demolished in jordan valley.also, Jordan Valley Solidarity "Al Farsiya denied access to water and threatened with demolition" 8 April 2011, at: http://www.brightonpalestine.org/2011/node/539

Displacement and Insecurity in Area C of the West bank, Special Focus. op. cit., p. 10, at: http://www.alzaytouna.net/english/selections/2011/Displacement_Insecurity_WB-C_8-11.pdf.

 ⁴⁹ UNRWA, "Emergency Appeal, 38th progress report, January–December 2010," July 2011, p. 8, at: http://www.unrwa.org/userfiles/2011071851440.pdf

⁵⁰ Justice Legal Aid and Human Rights Center, "Banished Identity, Israel's Systematic Destruction of Palestinian Bedouins," (July 2011), p. 12, at:

http://www.mosaada.org/data_site_file/Banished%20Identity%20%2812%29.pdf

- ⁵² B'Tselem The Israeli Information Center for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories, "Israel demolishes dozens of Palestinian homes in Jordan Valley and southern Hebron hills,"at: http://www.btselem.org/topic-page/21611-israel-demolishes-dozens-palestinian-homes-jordan-valley-and-southern-hebron-hills.
 ⁵³ Applied Research Institute Jerusalem (ARIJ), "Forced Displacement of Bedouins, an Ethnic Cleansing!," January
- 2008, at: http://www.poica.org/editor/case_studies/view.php?recordID=1424.

- ⁵⁵ "Blueprint Negev," described on the Jewish National Fund website, at:
- http://www.jnf.org/work-we-do/blueprint-negev/. ⁵⁶ CESCR Concluding Observations: Israel, E/C.12/1/Add.90, 23 May 2003, para. 16.
- ⁵⁷ CESCR Concluding Observations: Israel, E/C.12/1/Add.27, 4 December 1998, para. 10.

⁵⁸ Joseph Schechla, "The Invisible People Come to Light: Israel's 'Internally Displaced' and the 'Unrecognized Villages'," Journal of Palestine Studies (autumn 2001), p. 26-27, at:

http://weblaw.haifa.ac.il/he/Faculty/Kedar/lecdb/bedouins/416.pdf. Two NGOs who submitted their reports answered this question. The African Development Center addressed the asylum seekers as communities are living under poverty line, p. 4–5, at:

http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cescr/docs/ngos/ARDC_ISRAEL_CESCR47.pdf; while, the Civic Coalition for Palestinian Rights in Jerusalem addressed the situation of Palestinian families in East Jerusalem, p. 2–3, at:

- http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cescr/docs/ngos/CivicCoalition_Israel_CESCR47.pdf.
- ⁵⁹ HIC-HLRN, *The Goldberg Opportunity*, op. cit., p. 22.

60 Ibid.

- 61 Ibid. See also Center for Economic and Social Rights (CESCR), in cooperation with the Palestinian Hydrology Group, Thirsting for Justice, Israeli Violations of Human Rights to Water in the Occupied Palestinian Territories, (May 2011), pp. 13-14, at:
- http://www.cesr.org/downloads/Thirsting%20for%20Justice%20-%20Right%20to%20Water%20in%20OPTs.pdf.
- 62 "Damage Assessment. West Bank Governorates March-May, 2002- Summary Report," Donor Support Group/ Local Aid Coordination Committee, 23 May 2002.
- ⁶³ UN Environment Programme, "Desk Study on the Environment in the Occupied Palestinian Territories" (Geneva: UNEP, 2002), p. 28, at: http://www.unep.org/download_file.multilingual.asp?FileID=105.
- ⁶⁴ The League of Arab States, Economic Affairs, Department of Environment, Housing and Sustainable Development, "Right to Water in the Arab Occupied Territories," (Cairo: LAS, 2010), p. 3-5, at: http://portal.worldwaterforum5.org/wwf5/enus/worldregions/MENA%20Arab%20region/Consultation%20Library/Right%20to%20Water%20In%20Arab%20Occ upied%20Territories.doc
- ⁶⁵ See PCBS Statistics 2006, "The Palestinian Environment on World Environment Day" at: http://www.pcbs.gov.ps/Portals/_pcbs/PressRelease/Envirm%20Day06-%20E.pdf.
- ⁶⁶ Sawsan Ramahi, "Israel is Stealing Palestinian and Arab Water," *Middle East Monitor* (March 2010), pp. 3–4, at: http://www.middleeastmonitor.org.uk/downloads/briefing-paper/israel-is-stealing-palestine-and-arab-water.pdf
- See Alice Gray and Jane Hilal, "Water and Security for Palestine," in Clive Lipchin, ed., Integrated Water Resources Management and Security in the Middle East" (NATO Science for Peace and Security Programme, February 2006, at:
- http://books.google.com.eg/books?id=7rgHmpppZ-
- wC&pg=PA109&lpg=PA109&dg=PCBS+agricultural+wells+4000+in+Gaza+2004&source=bl&ots=E9rfgvhyrn&sig= YnzleaslEi-sMpiLSUDD7CJcuPQ&hl=en&ei=fiiTTurJNYfz-

gansL3rAg&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved=0CBgQ6AEwAA#v=onepage&q=PCBS%20agricultur al%20wells%204000%20in%20Gaza%202004&f=false; also, Alice Gray, "Positive Conditions: The Water Crisis in Gaza," Information Clearing House, 8 September 2006, at: http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article14434.htm

- 68 UNEP, "Desk Study on the Environment," op. cit., pp. 29, 55.
- ⁶⁹ Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Monitoring Project (WaSH MP), Palestinian Hydrology Group, "Water for Life: Continued Israeli Assault on Palestinian Water, Sanitation and Hygiene during the Intifada" (2005), pp. 57-65, at: http://www.phg.org/data/files/publications/general_reports/Reports/Older/waterforlife_05.pdf.
- ⁷⁰ HIC-HLRN, "Targeting Homes, Shelters and Shelter Seekers during Operation Cast Lead in the Context of Israeli Military Practice" (submission to the UN Fact-finding Mission on the Conflict in Gaza, 2009), at: <u>http://www.hlrn.org/img/violation/Submission.pdf</u>.
- ⁷¹ Concluding Observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination: Israel, CERD/C/ISR/CO/13, 9 March 2007, paras. 22, 23, 33, 34 and 35.
- ⁷² Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, "Rules of Procedure of the Committee, E/C.12/1990/4/Rev.1, 1 September 1993, at:

http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G93/183/98/PDF/G9318398.pdf?OpenElement.

⁵¹ Ibid , p. 13.

⁵⁴ Ibid.