



List of Issues for Republic of Albania Prior to Reporting
United Nations Human Rights Committee
Submitted on 3 February 2025

I. Authors

The Wrongful Conviction International Law Task Force (WCILTF) is a global coalition of law professors, attorneys, and activists working together to fill the “Innocence Gap” in international law. The WCILTF is supported by a pro bono legal team at the international law firm Proskauer Rose (www.proskauer.com/) located in New York City.

In the past twenty-five years, wrongful conviction of the innocent has emerged as a major problem in criminal justice systems around the world. Research indicates that the problem has always existed but has only come to light in recent decades due to forensic advancements allowing for post-conviction DNA testing of crime scene evidence. Wrongful convictions occur because of human limitations in investigation and evidence collection, such as memory weaknesses and malleability (leading to misidentifications by eyewitnesses), unreliable or faulty forensic evidence, false confessions, confirmation bias or tunnel vision on behalf of investigators, inadequate defense lawyering, and many other human problems. Thus, wrongful convictions exist in all legal systems around the world, as all nations use the same types of evidence and investigation techniques regardless of the precise legal procedures employed in their courtrooms.

NGOs called “Innocence Projects” have sprung up around the globe to combat this problem, and now entire networks of innocence projects exist in Asia, Europe, North America and South America. Innocence Projects are often housed at law schools and are operated by law professors and law students. In one member state, for example, more than 3,000 innocent people have been released from prison in recent years due to the work of NGOs like Innocence Projects. Exonerations of the innocent have occurred across the globe in the past three decades.

For a brief video overview of the global problem of wrongful convictions, and the efforts of Innocence Projects to combat the problem, please view: <https://youtu.be/jMATkuFaRU8?si=fO0wXGhPr-oCyhBA>

As the innocence movement has developed a global presence in recent years, it has become apparent to legal scholars that an “Innocence Gap” exists in international law. The WCILTF formed to combat this problem and help fill the Innocence Gap. The WCILTF is comprised of more than twenty-five law professors and Innocence Project leaders from across Asia, Europe, North America, and South America.

II. Filling the Innocence Gap

Due to the relatively recent discovery of wrongful convictions, international law covenants and treaties predate awareness of this problem and thus do not speak directly to issue. In recent years, however, the United Nations Human Rights Committee (HRC) has identified key rights to the benefit of incarcerated person claiming innocence to be derived from the right to a fair trial and other existing rights. For example, in *Abdiev v. Kazakhstan*, 2023, the HRC stated that ***the right of incarcerated persons to re-open a criminal case in order to present new evidence of innocence after conviction and appeal have concluded, in order to achieve exoneration and freedom, is essential to the right to a fair trial under Article 14(1) of the ICCPR***. Similarly, on October 3, 2023, in Concluding Observations on the Fifth Periodic Report of the Republic of Korea, the HRC observed that South Korea should “provide adequate legal and financial assistance to enable individuals sentenced to death to ***re-examine convictions on the basis of newly discovered evidence, including new DNA evidence***.”

Likewise, on July 25, 2024, in Concluding Observations on the Second Periodic Report of Maldives, the HRC expressed concern “about the lack of information on the existence of a procedure enabling individuals sentenced to death to seek a review of their convictions and sentences based on newly discovered evidence of their innocence, including new DNA evidence, and, if wrongfully convicted, to provide them with compensation.” The HRC recommended that Maldives take all necessary measures to ensure that “death sentence can be reviewed based on ***newly discovered evidence of their innocence***, including new DNA evidence, adequate legal and financial assistance is provided to enable this review and, ***if wrongfully convicted, individuals have access to effective remedies***, including compensation” para. 28(e). See Brandon Garrett, Laurence Helfer and Jayne Huckerby, *Closing International Law’s Innocence Gap*, S. Cal. L. Rev. 95 (2021), available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3803518#

III. Rights of Innocent Incarcerated Persons in Albania

Based on the research of the WCILTF, Albania does have a mechanism for bringing forward new facts and evidence after the judgment to prove innocence, but those seeking to avail such rules face serious problems in terms of access to the police and prosecution files for evidence that may not have been submitted to the court. This problem undermines the impact of the legal mechanisms, rendering them meaningless.

In addition, Albania's procedural laws do not require the authorities to disclose all exculpatory evidence prior to the trial. There is, therefore, a risk that exculpatory evidence may be withheld from potentially innocent individuals in prison.

This is particularly true of case materials produced by the police, which are very difficult, if not impossible, for the accused person to obtain.

Albania does not allow for post-conviction DNA testing, thus foreclosing the most important avenues for innocent inmates to obtain exoneration.

Finally, the procedures for eyewitness identification comply partially with international recommendations, and in practice, even these procedural rules are usually not respected, leading to serious violations of the right to a fair trial.

The situation in Albania is, therefore, deeply worrying from the point of view of respect for the fundamental rights of a wrongly convicted person.

IV. Questions to Albania

1. Does Albania have a legal procedure for post-conviction revision or re-opening of convictions based on new evidence of innocence?

2. If so, is there a deadline by which such a motion must be brought, or may an incarcerated person bring such a legal motion at any time?

3. If so, what is the legal standard that the incarcerated person must meet to re-open the case.

4. Have any post-conviction motions presenting new evidence of innocence been successfully granted by a court in Albania, resulting in the incarcerated person's exoneration and freedom? Have any such motions been denied by courts in Albania?

5. Does Albania have a law allowing incarcerated persons to petition for post-conviction DNA testing of crime scene evidence to prove innocence and seek relief?

6. Does Albania have a legal procedure requiring biological evidence collected from the crime scene to be preserved for future DNA testing?

7. If so, how long must the biological evidence be preserved?

8. Does Albania have a “sunshine law” or “public records law” granting defense attorneys, NGOs, journalists or incarcerated persons access to police files and documents of an incarcerated person’s case post-conviction?

9. Does Albania have a legal standard requiring the police and prosecution to disclose to the defense pre-trial any exculpatory evidence or other information helpful to the defense or that might lead to new avenues of pre-trial investigation that might be conducted by the defense?

10. If so, what is the legal standard pertaining to this disclosure requirement?

11. Does Albania have a law providing compensation to the wrongfully convicted after exoneration and release from prison? If so, what do such laws provide?

12. Does Albania have laws or regulations requiring the recording of police interrogation of suspects? If so, please outline the requirements of such laws or regulations.

13. Does Albania have laws or regulations ensuring that police identification procedures for eyewitnesses adhere to best practices devised by the scientific community, such as the double-blind eyewitness identification requirement? See <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/double-blind-sequential-police-lineup-procedures-toward-integrated>

This document created by the following members of the WCILTF:

Guglielmo Palumbo
Attorney-at-law
Habeas Avocats Sàrl
Projet Innocence Suisse
gp@habeas.ch

Gabrielle Peressin
Attorney-at-law
Habeas Avocats Sàrl
Projet Innocence Suisse
gpe@habeas.ch

And Proskauer attorneys:

Sarah Lohschelder

Attorney at Law
Proskauer
SLohschelder@proskauer.com

Lloyd B. Chinn
Attorney at Law
Proskauer
LChinn@proskauer.com

To contact the WCILTF generally, please email:

Prof. dr. mr. G.J. Alexander Knoops.
Advocaat/Attorney at law
Professor Politics of International Law
alex@knoopsadvocaten.nl

Professor Mark Godsey
University of Cincinnati College of Law
Director, Ohio Innocence Project
markgodsey@gmail.com