Organization name Children's Shield Society

representative name Takashi Akiyama

address

Sakusi-do 201, 282-2, Shimotaka, Usa Shi, Oita Ken, 879-0317, Japan

Tel +81-90-5742-5634

email address yamashinsangyou@yahoo.co.jp

Filing date September 11, 2022 I am writing to inform many people in many countries of problems. Child Guidance Center has. This center is officially denounced by countries around the world that it abducts children.

Child guidance center has made many problems but I'd like to concentrate on one issue.

Normally, when doctors and school teaches suspect child abuse (cuts and bruises), they call child guidance center. The center's abduction team goes straight to the child and take him or her to "temporary custody of children" even though the child refuses.

The center contacts the child's parent in the evening, when offices are closed. Doors cannot be opened from inside the rooms of the custody and windows can be opened no more than an inch. Children are shut down and given no information.

When parents visit the center, ask the staffs to let them see their child and take him or her back, staffs there ask parents to sign an agreement for the child to stay at the custody.

If parents refuse to sign, the center declares a permission for the child to stay at the custody to family court without parents' agreement. This is the notorious article 28 judgement. The center and parents will naturally contest in court.

Though parents are victims of abduction, they can't win the trial. The reason why is that incentives strongly affect ministry of Health Labour and welfare, prefecture, child guidance center and local law firms(evidence attached).

I requested information disclosure against our prefecture about the article 28 judgement. In the attachments from officials, child guidance center pays €1400 per case to a lawyer. The source of revenue is of course from our tax.

The lawyer in the documents states that appropriate remuneration would be \in 3500, so \in 1400 is quite reasonable. Also lawyers will be paid per diem when they work part time as advisers.

Because most lawyers in any area in Japan have made an agreement with the center, very few lawyers are eager to make a contract with parents.

In Japan, anyone can have a state-elected advocate for free even in a criminal trial. However, in child guidance center vs parents case, the center(perpetrator) can afford €1400 with no worries. On the contrary, parents(victims) must prepare €3500.

In most cases, parents can't afford €3500 and they have to face professional lawyer in court.

Obviously chances of winning are extremely low.

These unfair tragedies have been happening and children have been ripped away from parents.

I strongly demand that inspections be done as soon as possible.

A: Reasons of contract and selection

Selected lawyer(Nakayama Tomoyasu) works as a special part time worker at Nakatsu child guidance center. He has sufficient knowledge about child welfare and has worked in this case so we consider him to be appropriate. Also, consignment fee is quite reasonable against market price (according to Oita bar association, market price should be €3500)

B: Mr. Nakayama has worked as a consigned lawyer at child guidance center since April 2018.

He has regularly given appropriate advice about legal issues against child guidance.