Adoption Rights Alliance Ireland

Follow-Up Submission to the

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination
Against Women in respect of

IRELAND

(for the 66th Session, January 2017)

Adoption Rights Alliance The Mill House Millview Road Malahide Co. Dublin

info@adoptionrightsalliance.com www.adoptionrightsalliance.com

Questions for the Irish State:

- 1) Will Ireland grant all adopted people the right to access their birth certificates and all records pertaining to their time in State, or State-funded, care?
- 2) Will Ireland remove the 'undertaking' mechanism¹ from the Adoption (Information and Tracing) Bill 2016?
- 3) Will Ireland broaden the Mother and Baby Homes Commission of Investigation?
- 4) Will Ireland direct the Commission of Investigation to hold public hearings?
- Will Ireland direct the Commission of Investigation to allow public access (or access to those affected) to the documentary/archival evidence that it is considering?

1. Introduction

1.1 Founded in 2009, Adoption Rights Alliance (ARA) is an organisation which campaigns for the enshrinement of the rights of the adopted child and Ireland's 100,000+2 adopted adults in legislation.³ This follow-up submission should be

¹ Previously known as the 'statutory declaration'

² ARA's estimate of a total population of **85,000 – 90,000** unmarried women who had their children adopted from 1922 to 1998 is a revised version of previous figures cited by our organisation and is based on the following: i) 44.000 is the Adoption Authority of Ireland's (AAI) official figure of children adopted domestically from 1953 to 2013 (41,000 is the total number from 1953 to 1998); ii) 1,933 is the official number of children exported to the US for adoption, however we suspect that this is a conservative figure as many who have approached ARA were registered as the natural child of their adoptive parents and/or no Department of Foreign Affairs file exists for them; iii) 10,000 is the number of children ARA estimates were illegally adopted or illegally boarded out from 1922 to 1998. This number is based on the percentage of such enquiries ARA has received from 2009 to 2015 and which our predecessor organisation received from the early 1990s until 2007. In addition, in June 2014, the then acting CEO of the AAI, Kiernan Gildea, admitted to the joint Oireachtas Committee on Health and Children that there were 'at least several thousand illegally adopted people; we might never know the total number because of the lack of a paper trail'; iv) 30,000 is the number of children ARA estimates were informally boarded out (prior to the introduction of legal adoption) from 1922 to 1952 at a rate of 1,000 per annum. This number is based on the percentage of such enquiries we have received from 2009 to 2014 and which our predecessor organisation received from the early 1990s until 2007. It is also based on the numbers of files that appear to have been held by agencies such as the Sacred Heart Adoption Agency from this period. Source: HSE, who took ownership of these files in Dec 2011; See: http://www.irishexaminer.com/ireland/health/hse-still-without-vaccine-trial-files-169263.html

³ In the absence of adoption information legislation, Adoption Rights Alliance provides practical advice and advocacy to those affected by Ireland's closed, secret, forced adoption system. See http://www.adoptionrightsalliance.com

read in conjunction with ARA's October 2015 report⁴ to the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW).

- 1.2 In our previous submission to CEDAW, ARA requested that the following questions should be put to the Irish Government:
 - 1) Will Ireland grant all adopted people the right to access their birth certificates and all records pertaining to their time in State, or State-funded, care?
 - 2) Will Ireland broaden the Mother and Baby Homes Commission of Investigation?

We strongly welcome the questions put to the Irish State by the Committee in March 2016, and we offer feedback on the State's responses below.

2. Background and framework⁵

- 2.1 Founding members of ARA and the hundreds of individuals in contact with our organisation have been affected by the closed, secret, forced adoption system which was administered by the State and which persists even today. In many cases these individuals are survivors of abuse in State institutions including, but not limited to, Mother and Baby Homes.
- 2.2 The Mother and Baby Homes were institutions which were operated in the State between the 1920s and 1990s, by various religious orders and housed children born outside marriage and their mothers (while pregnant and after giving birth). The Mother and Baby Homes were funded, regulated and inspected by the State, both at the local and State government levels.
- 2.3 The Mother and Baby Homes formed only a part of the State's policy regarding the treatment of children born outside marriage, unmarried mothers and women and girls 'at risk' of becoming unmarried mothers (the 'Policy'). There

⁴ http://www.adoptionrightsalliance.com/Adoption%20Rights%20Alliance%20CEDAW%20Submission.pdf

⁵ Also included in October 2015 submission

were numerous formal and informal arrangements that implemented the Policy, involving, inter alia, State Maternity Hospitals; Private Hospitals; Private Nursing Homes; homes where children were held but where natural mothers were not present, GP assisted homes births; PFIs (pregnant from Ireland - women and girls who gave birth in the UK and were brought back to Ireland); County Homes; statutory and non-statutory adoption agencies; Children's Homes and Magdalene Laundries.

- 2.4 In summary, the Policy involved the incarceration of thousands of women and girls who became pregnant outside marriage and their babies (and the incarceration of women and girls perceived to be 'at risk' of becoming pregnant outside marriage in Magdalene Laundries), and the subsequent adoption of the children or other means of removal from their mothers' care.
- 2.5 In many cases, witness testimonies suggest that under the Policy the treatment by the State of children born outside marriage, unmarried mothers and girls and women 'at risk' of becoming unmarried mothers, included involuntary detention; forced labour; involuntary medical experimentation; physical and psychological abuse; some cases of sexual abuse; neglect, including medical neglect; and interference with privacy. Publicly available records indicate extremely high death rates of infants in some of the institutions during various time periods, and many of those who died in the institutions are as-yet unidentified and lie in unmarked graves.
- 2.6 Such treatment amounts to breaches of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR'), the UN Convention against Torture (UNCAT) and the Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). The treatment of women and girls in Mother and Baby Homes also violated the Irish Constitution and the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR).
- 2.7 Specifically, and on the basis of the witness testimony that we have collected we identify breaches of CEDAW Articles 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16 and

25; ICCPR Articles 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25 and 26; ICESCR Articles 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 15; and UNCAT Articles 2, 12, 13, 14 and 16. The failure to institute a comprehensive investigation and reparation measures (see further below) means that many of these violations are continuing.

3. Commission of Investigation

- 3.1 In February 2015 the Irish State established the Commission of Investigation into the Mother and Baby Homes and Certain Related Matters⁶ (the 'Commission'). The Commission was established pursuant to the Commission of Investigation (Mother and Baby Homes and Certain Related Matter) Order 2015 (State S.I. No. 57 of 2015), which sets out and is referred to by the Commission as its Terms of Reference⁷ (the 'ToR').
- 3.2 As noted in our 2015 submission, we welcome the establishment of Commission as a step taken towards justice, however, we have significant concerns about the limitations of the Commission as formed.
- 3.3 In response to the questions raised by the Committee in relation to the Commission of Investigation into Mother and Baby Homes and certain related matters, the Irish State claimed that the scope of the Commission's investigation is 'broad and comprehensive'. The State points out that the Commission's ToR include 'seven specific questions on practices and procedures regarding the care, welfare, entry arrangements and exit pathways for the women and children in these institutions'.
- 3.4 Based on our experience, we completely dispute the Irish State's contentions.

 While the Commission may investigate whether Mother and Baby Home
 'Residents' were systematically treated differently (which we submit should

⁶ http://www.mbhcoi.ie/MBH.nsf/page/index-en

http://dcya.gov.ie/viewdoc.asp?fn=/documents/Mother and Baby Homes/20150109DraftOrderCommofInvestigation.pdf

read 'discriminated against') on the basis of a prescriptive list of 'religion, race, traveller identity or disability', **gender, marital status and socio-economic status have been omitted**, which is significant given that the Policy and the Homes did discriminate on such grounds. Furthermore, there appears to be **no scope to investigate whether the Homes themselves, their existence and purpose, were, in and of themselves, breaches of the State's obligations**, which we submit they were.

- 3.5 Moreover, there is **no directive within the ToR for the Commission to identify the remains of deceased infants at former Mother and Baby Home sites**. Relatives of the deceased babies and children buried in mass graves across several Homes cannot currently discover the circumstances of their relative's death or their final resting place. The Commission provides an opportunity, and possibly the only opportunity, to correct this; we would welcome it doing so.
- 3.6 The ToR are too limited, in that they only consider the Mother and Baby Homes. The Mother and Baby Homes formed only a part of the Policy. There were other institutions and arrangements, as explained at paragraph 3.8 below, which are excluded from the inquiry. As a result, the experiences of up to 70% of all unmarried girls and women whose children were adopted (including illegal adoptions) and those adopted persons are currently excluded from the ToR.
- 3.7 Specifically, the exclusion of the Magdalene Laundries, which formed part of the Policy, constitutes a failure to implement repeated recommendations of the UN Committee against Torture, Human Rights Committee and Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights to establish a prompt and thorough investigation into all allegations of abuse of girls and women in Ireland's Magdalene Laundries.⁸

-

⁸ The omission of the Magdalene Laundries from the ToR is discussed in greater detail in the CEDAW submission of Justice for Magdalenes Research (JFMR)

- 3.8 The ToR limits the Commission to only 14 Homes and a sample of 4 County Homes, and provides no details as to how these have been selected. There is no justification (nor any statement) that those 14 Homes are representative of the institutions, agencies and individuals involved in the Irish State's treatment of unmarried mothers and their children. By our calculations there were over 1799 such institutions, agencies and individuals operating in the State during the relevant period for the purposes of the Commission. Such a limited scope has two broad effects: (1) It seriously risks the validity of any findings, as the report cannot be comprehensive; and (2) It excludes the majority of persons affected from the Commission (and those within the Homes were a minority of the persons affected by the Policy).
- 3.9 As noted in our October 2015 submission, the exclusion of the majority of all adoption cases from the Commission, will have, inter alia, the following negative consequences:
- 3.9.1 The true number of forced adoptions will not be investigated. A forced adoption is one where a natural mother is forced, bullied, threatened, or otherwise coerced into signing relinquishment papers for her child's adoption. The official figures for 1967 show that 97% of children recorded as born outside of marriage were taken for adoption, which tends to indicate that keeping a child as an unmarried mother was not a real option (due to the Policy).
- 3.9.2 <u>The true number of illegal adoptions will not be investigated.</u> An illegal adoption is not officially recognised by the Adoption Authority of Ireland (AAI) or the Department of Children and Youth Affairs (DCYA) who use the phrase 'illegal birth registration' or 'wrongful registration'.¹⁰

⁹ Since our October 2015 submission, a further 29 institutions, agencies and individuals have come to our attention.

¹⁰ Illegal adoptions include, inter alia, adoptions where consent was not effectively given (e.g. by a minor (under 21 years of age until the 1970s); where consent was obtained directly after birth when a mother was medicated; where consent was obtained during the first 6 weeks after a baby was born; where consent was given by a woman's parents, partner, or was forged; where an Irish woman living in the UK was threatened with violence or enticed with the promise of a job and accommodation to return to the State and subsequently had her baby taken for adoption (this practice was used widely by the Catholic Protection and Rescue Society of Ireland (CPRSI) now known as Cunamh and also by the former adoption agency St Anne's in Cork.) It is our belief that most illegal adoptions

- 3.9.3 The role of the State-appointed Adoption Board will not be investigated. Where the majority of both forced and illegal adoptions are excluded from the scope of the Investigation the State's role through the Adoption Board (renamed the AAI in November 2010) in facilitating forced and illegal adoptions cannot be properly investigated. The Board appointed adoption agencies but failed to monitor or supervise them. ¹¹
- 3.9.4 <u>The Role of the State will not be investigated.</u> The role of the State, through State-funded Maternity Hospitals¹² in facilitating forced and illegal adoptions will not be investigated.
- 3.9.5 <u>The role of State appointed/regulated adoption agencies will not be investigated.</u> The role of all bar a handful of adoption agencies (the majority of which were church run) in facilitating forced and illegal adoptions will not be investigated either.¹³
- 3.9.6 <u>The role of professionals will not be investigated.</u> Professionals who facilitated illegal adoptions, see paragraph 2.7.2 above, (called 'private adoptions' by the Adoption Board and Adoption Authority) such as GPs; obstetricians, midwives; nurses; solicitors; priests; nuns are not within the scope of the ToR.
- 3.10 We are also concerned that certain provisions of the Commissions of Investigation Act 2004 are not appropriate for this inquiry:

were undocumented and were carried out by individuals and institutions (GPs, midwives, nurses, solicitors, priests) with no connection to Mother and Baby Homes, but with the apparent tacit approval of the State].

¹¹ Under the 1952 Adoption Act, the Adoption Board's role was to: a) permanently sever the parental rights of unmarried parents to make available their children for adoption and to simultaneously sever the adopted child's right to his/her original family/identity/heritage and to create a new set of relationships 'as if the child had been born to the adoptive parents'; and b) to appoint, regulate and monitor adoption agencies.

¹² (e.g. Dublin Hospitals including Holles St, Rotunda, Coombe, James, Cork's Erinville Hospital)

¹³ In the 1960s Nurse Mary Keating, proprietor and operator of St Rita's Nursing Home on Sandford Road was charged and found guilty of facilitating illegal birth registrations as opposed to illegal adoptions. Mary Keating never lost her licence and continued her business well into the 1970s. St Patrick's Guild also admitted in the mid-1990s to routinely lying to mothers and children who came back looking for one another, which was roundly condemned in Leinster House by Alan Shatter and Frances Fitzgerald TDs.

- a) Section 11: It is an offence (carrying a penalty of up to €300,000 and/or imprisonment for up to 5 years) for any person who gives evidence to the Commission in private to disclose or publish that evidence in public;
- b) Section 19: Statements, admissions and documents given to the Commission in the course of its investigation are not admissible as evidence against a person in any criminal or other proceedings;
- c) Section 39: Blanket restriction on the application of section 4, Data Protection Act 1988, right of access to data, where that data has been provided to the Commission in the course of its investigation;
- d) Section 40: Blanket restriction on the application of the Freedom of Information Acts 1997 to 2003 regarding records of the Commission's investigation other than general administration records.
- 3.11 On May 5th 2016, ARA and Justice for Magdalenes Research (JFMR) wrote¹⁴ to the Commission raising a number of issues, including 1) a request that the Commission should seek a broadening of its Terms of Reference; 2) requesting further details on the Commission's Rules and Procedures and 3) requesting that the Commission hold public hearings. We also requested that our hearing at the Commission on May 9th be held in public. The Commission declined this request.¹⁵
- 3.12 ARA and JFMR are concerned that the Commission of Investigation into Mother and Baby Homes and Certain Related matters has no current plans to hold any public hearings. The Commission has statutory powers to conduct public hearings and Clann hopes that in the interest of accountability and transparency of procedure the Commission will allow for regular public hearings and allow public access to the non-sensitive documents it is viewing. Through the Clann project, ¹⁶ ARA and JFMR aim to provide public access to

¹⁴ http://clannproject.org/wp-content/uploads/ARA-JFMR-Letter-to-MBHCOI_03-05-16.pdf

¹⁵ http://clannproject.org/wp-content/uploads/Letter-from-MBHCOI_01-06-16.pdf

¹⁶ http://clannproject.org Clann: Ireland's Unmarried Mothers and their Children: Gathering the Data ('Clann') is a joint initiative by ARA and JFMR. The purpose of Clann is to help establish the truth of what happened to unmarried mothers and their children in 20th century Ireland.

[•] Clann will assist those who wish to give evidence to the Commission of Investigation by arranging free legal assistance for individuals to make full written statements.

as much non-sensitive documentary evidence as possible, via the Clann website, in order to create public awareness of the Commission's investigations and in the hope that this in turn will encourage members of the public to contribute documentary evidence to both the Commission and Clann.

3.13 We are concerned that the Commission appears not to intend to make available to those affected by the Mother and Baby Homes and/or related matters during the course of its investigation any of the documentary evidence, or even a list of the documentary evidence, furnished to the Commission either by State entities or by private institutions or individuals. We accept that the Commission has a duty to ensure the confidentiality of sensitive data and material which is not relevant to the Commission's work: however, we submit that the Commission should endeavour to make available to those affected by the Mother and Baby Homes and/or related matters the substance (at least) of the documentary evidence which is relevant to the Commission's work, taking appropriate measures to maintain confidentiality of sensitive data as legally required. Indeed, we note the duty on the Commission pursuant to Section 12 of the Commissions of Investigation Act 2004 to disclose to any person who gives evidence to the Commission the substance of any evidence in its possession that, in the Commission's opinion, the person should be aware of in order that the person may comment upon it.

4. Adoption information rights

4.1 The closed, secret nature of the Irish State's adoption system has remained in place since legal adoption was first introduced in Ireland in 1952.¹⁷ During

As part of the Clann initiative, ARA and JFMR are working with <u>Hogan Lovells</u>, a global law firm which is providing pro bono (free) assistance to us in compiling statements, documentary materials and legal analysis.

[•] Clann will also anonymise shared statements, and will gather documentary and archival materials, in order to make a public group report to (1) the Commission of Investigation, (2) the Irish Government, and (3) international human rights bodies.

[•] Clann will also disseminate archival and contemporary documentary materials via its website.

http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/1952/en/act/pub/0025/index.html
The 1952 Adoption Act introduced legal adoption into Ireland but its measures related only to non-marital children as the Catholic hierarchy were concerned to avoid the children of unmarried catholic, parents being adopted into Protestant families. Previously, children of married and unmarried parents whose parents could not care for them due to poverty, were 'boarded out' to families in an informal fostering system

the past 63 years, eight Adoption Acts¹⁸ and an amendment to the Constitution¹⁹ have been brought forward, and all have failed to provide legislation to grant information rights or statutory based information and tracing services to Irish adopted people.²⁰

- 4.2 In July 2015, the Irish government published Heads of Bill for an adoption information and tracing bill.²¹ The measures proposed under these Heads of Bill stipulated that adopted people should sign a statutory declaration promising not to contact their natural mothers in exchange for access to their birth certificates. This mechanism would have forced adopted people to sign away their rights in a way that further marginalises them on a statutory basis.
- 4.3 The Adoption (Information and Tracing) Bill 2016²² was published on 25th November 2016. The 2016 Bill has replaced the proposed 'statutory declaration' with an 'undertaking' (see Section 41). However, the new undertaking appears to be a rebranding of the original statutory declaration from the 2015 proposals, and would still have the effect of introducing statutory based discrimination against adopted people.
- 4.4 Moreover, the Bill provides that the undertaking 'shall be in writing and in the form specified by the Minister'. We are deeply concerned that if the Bill is passed in its current format, the conditions surrounding an adopted person's right to their birth certificate may be arbitrarily decided by the Minister of the day.

¹⁸ Previous Adoption Acts: http://www.aai.gov.ie/index.php/legislation/adoption-legislation.html

¹⁹ http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/1979/en/act/cam/0006/index.html

²⁰ The first Adoption Information and Tracing Bill introduced to Dáil Éireann by Mary Hanafin (then Minister with responsibility for adoption) in 2001 attempted to criminalise adopted people who were in breach of a contact veto, a crime which was punishable by a year's imprisonment and/or a IR£5000 fine. After a successful campaign by our previous organisation, AdoptionIreland, the Bill was later shelved by Mary Hanafin's successor, Brian Lenihan, at the Adoption Legislation Consultation in October 2003, which (in spite of continued promises) resulted in no information legislation. http://www.dohc.ie/press/releases/2001/20010524.html

²¹ http://www.dcya.gov.ie/docs/27.07.2015 Appendix A Heads of Bill and General Scheme/3495.htm

²² http://www.oireachtas.ie/viewdoc.asp?DocID=33905&&CatID=59

- In its response to the Committee, the Irish State contends that the declaration (now the undertaking) provides for the balancing of rights of adopted people with the rights of natural parents to privacy. We contend that the government's position fails to differentiate between privacy and secrecy. Birth certificates are public records and no other cohort of Irish citizens is required to sign a document prior to accessing these records, as proposed under the 2016 Bill. By including such an undertaking, the Irish government presumes that adopted people are deviant and incapable of respecting their mothers' privacy. Moreover, the State fails to recognise that mechanisms such as the proposed 'undertaking' interfere with adopted people's right to a private life, by not allowing them unconditional access to their birth certificates and by forcing them to sign a document which presumes that they are incapable of respecting their mothers' privacy.
- 4.6 ARA is currently in the process of compiling a comprehensive briefing document on the 2016 Bill, which will be available in early February. We will provide the Committee with a copy of this document.

Further details on information and tracing (as included in our 2015 submission)

4.7 In November 2010 the then Irish Human Rights Commission (IHRC)²³ published its assessment²⁴ of the human rights issues arising in relation to the treatment of women and girls in Ireland's Magdalene Laundries. The assessment included conclusions and observations on Ireland's closed secret adoption system and also acknowledged the lack of parity between Irish adopted people and their Northern Irish counterparts. The assessment also raised issues under the ECHR.

²³ Now the Irish Human Rights and Equality Commission (IHREC)

²⁴ http://www.ihrc.ie/publications/list/ihrc-assessment-of-magdalen-laundries-nov-2010/

- 4.8 In stark contrast to Northern Ireland,²⁵ adopted people in the Republic of Ireland have no statutory right to their birth certificates.²⁶ The Irish Adoption Authority and accredited bodies (previously known as adoption agencies)¹⁷ insist upon seeking the permission of natural mothers before releasing birth certificates.²⁷
- 4.9 The Irish Adoption Authority and adoption agencies/accredited bodies refuse to give adopted people access to their adoption files. In denying adopted people access to their family histories, the Irish State is in breach of Articles 7, 8 and 20 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child as well as Article 8 of the ECHR.
- 4.10 Because tracing and information services are not put on a statutory footing, the Adoption Authority and accredited bodies are not required to provide a minimum standard of service, which has been a major issue for adopted people and natural parents.²⁸ Any tracing mechanisms put in place must be given corresponding legislation.²⁹
- 4.11 Despite repeated revelations³⁰ about the activities of some church run adoption agencies, some of these agencies continue to enjoy the benefit of state funding and for many years they remained as the custodians of the vast

²⁵ In Northern Ireland, once adopted people reach the age of 18 years they are entitled to access their birth certificates. http://www.adviceguide.org.uk/index/your_family/family/birth_certificates.htm

²⁶ Every person who is born in Ireland has his or her birth entered in the Register of Births, from which **birth certificates** are generated. Adopted people's births are registered in their original identity, however when they were adopted, they were entered into the **Adopted Children's Register** in their new adoptive identity. The document used by adopted people as a birth certificate in everyday life is in fact an **'Extract from the Adopted Children's Register'**. The term 'original birth certificate' is incorrect, because each person has only one birth certificate. In the case of illegal adoptions, the birth certificates were falsified and the adopted person was registered as the natural child of his/her adoptive parents.

²⁷ http://www.aai.gov.ie/index.php/tracing/release-of-original-birth-certificate.html

²⁸ Examples of complaints and issues with adoption agencies and the Adoption Authority available at: http://www.adoptionrightsalliance.com/complaints.htm

²⁹ For example, in 2005, the Irish government launched the National Adoption Contact Preference Register (NACPR), which was never given statutory footing and thus has never been operated to full capacity, with adopted people and natural parents who have been matched being sent back to the same adoption agencies they are desperate to avoid dealing with. National Adoption Contact Preference Register: http://www.aai.gov.ie/index.php/tracing/contact-preference-register.html

³⁰ Irish Examiner newspaper exposé on an illegal adoption from St. Patrick's Guild Adoption Society: http://www.irishexaminer.com/ireland/kfkfqlgbcwql/rss2/

majority of adoption files, giving them the unique ability to cover past activities and frustrate adopted people's trace in the process.

4.12 There are hundreds of thousands of files and documents, concerning adoptions that are dispersed across a myriad of unregulated organisations and private individuals,³¹ church backed adoption agencies – some of which are no longer operational,³² Catholic and Church of Ireland churches,³³ the Health Service Executive³⁴ and the Adoption Authority³⁵ itself. An unknown number of illegal adoptions took place, some of which would have been arranged by private individuals, sometimes doctors, nurses, solicitors, priests or nuns. For adopted people and natural parents involved in these adoptions, these individuals are the only source of information and no efforts have been made to safeguard these files.

³¹ Due to the secrecy surrounding Ireland's closed adoption system, a great number of children born to unmarried parents were born in private nursing homes whose registers were not controlled by any central authority. Such homes also had a reputation for handling illegal adoptions, where children were not registered under their mother's names but were instead registered as the natural children of their adoptive parents so without the original registers, it is impossible to trace a child's origins.

³² Until the 1980s, registered adoption agencies were exclusively church backed agencies for whom adoption was a lucrative business. In addition to the capitation grants they received for every child in their 'care' (see Chapter 'An Act of Charity' from 'Suffer the Little Children' by Mary Raftery and Eoin O'Sullivan – New island Books 1999), they also received thousands of donations from grateful adoptive parents. Where those parents hailed from the US, individual donations ran to the thousands and in the case of Irish adoptive parents, donations continued as regular annual payments.

³³ Large numbers of Catholic churches, particularly those close to Mother and Baby Homes, hold baptismal records for children taken for adoption. These records are held in paper format, in unprotected parish offices and for many adopted people, these may be the sole records noting their original names and mother's details. Access to the records is controlled by local parish priests and their administrators – adopted people may not view the records and they cannot obtain copies of their baptismal certificates in their original identities. This results in the bizarre situation where adopted people wishing to marry in a catholic church have their maternal lineage looked at by unqualified church staff who report back to the priest carrying out the marriage ceremony whether or not they think the adopted person and his/her fiancé could be related. The same situation pertains to certain Church of Ireland churches but the numbers are not significant.

³⁴ The Health Service Executive (successor to regional Health Boards) began to act as custodian of adoption files from circa the mid to late 80's as private adoption agencies ceased trading, due to a significant fall in mothers being forced to relinquish their children to adoption. The HSE is chronically understaffed and social workers spend most of their time on child protection and also ironically on assessing prospective adoptive parents for adoption of children from abroad. As they have no statutory obligation to handle adoption information and tracing cases, in some instances, a senior social worker may only spend a half day a fortnight on such cases. In one particular area there is no social worker with responsibility for adoption. Due to management inertia and lack of leadership on the part of the Adoption Authority, the same social workers also carry out the genealogical work for which they are completely unqualified. This not only results in waiting lists of up to 2.5 years duration http://www.adoptionrightsalliance.com/waiting.htm during which time the person being sought may die but also in the wrong person being identified or searchers being told that the trail ran cold.

³⁵ From the outset of legal adoption, the Adoption Authority (known as the Adoption Board) acted as an adoption agency and brokered adoptions. Because of the lack of appropriate research and transparency at the Adoption Authority, few details are known about the circumstances surrounding these adoptions.

- 4.13 In the Adoption Acts 1952-2010, there was no provision made for adopted people to know that they were adopted.³⁶
- 4.14 By maintaining the current closed, secret adoption system and failing to put adopted people's rights on a statutory footing, the Irish State is not upholding the human rights of Irish adopted people under the Irish Constitution, the ECHR, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. We also believe that adopted people are being discriminated against under the equivalence provision of the 1998 Belfast (Good Friday) Agreement.

5. Intercountry adoption

5.1 While intercountry adoption practices are more child-centred since Ireland's ratification of the Hague Convention for the Protection of Children in Intercountry Adoption, we remain concerned the Irish State is shoring up major breaches of human rights with regard to children being adopted from America.³⁷ As there is undoubtedly no shortage of prospective adopters in the US, we believe that this is not only morally wrong but also in breach of Article 21 on the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

6. Assisted Human Reproduction

- 6.1 In 2015, the Irish State introduced the Children and Family Relationships Act, which for the first time regulated assisted human reproductive practices. While there is much to welcome in the legislation, ARA has the following concerns regarding the legislation:
 - a) the lack of retrospective rights for those born before the enactment of this legislation
 - b) the lack of information provided while the child is growing up

³⁶ Public servants working at the General Registrar's Office regularly report on adopted people requesting a birth certificate without knowing they are adopted, resulting in staff then having to break the news and re-direct them to another department. Apart from the obvious violation to the basic human rights of an adopted person, denying them knowledge of their adopted status also has major implications in terms of the potential for adopted people to marry people they are related to.

³⁷ For example: http://www.aai.gov.ie/attachments/article/27/ICA%20stats%202014 1.1.pdf

c) the information sought from the donor at the time of donation is wholly insufficient³⁸

7. Recommendations

7.1 ARA recommends the following:

- a) The broadening of the scope of the Commission of Investigation as set out above and in ARA and JFM Research's joint briefing note to the Minister for Children;³⁹
- b) That the Commission allow public access (or access to those affected)
 to the documentary/archival evidence that it is considering;
- c) Legislation to grant adopted people automatic access to their birth certificates;
- d) Legislation to grant adopted people access to all files, records, documents, and papers on their origins, family histories and early care and medical records held within the Irish State, the UK and also in the US:
- e) The removal of the 'undertaking' mechanism from the Adoption (Information and Tracing) Bill 2016;
- f) Statutory based information and tracing services;
- g) Legislation to strictly regulate accredited bodies in all areas of their operations;
- h) The deregistration of all church based accredited bodies;
- i) All adoption files to be placed under the Data Protection Acts 1988, 2003 and that all adoption files are seized and placed in a central archive for safekeeping so they can be made available to those to which they refer;
- j) A statutory provision for adopted people to have the right to know they are adopted;
- k) The prohibition of all bilateral agreements in intercountry adoption and the cessation of adoptions from the US;

³⁸ Please see also ARA's Briefing Note on the legislation: http://www.adoptionrightsalliance.com/ARA%20Briefing%20Note Children%20&%20Family%20Relationships%2 0Bill.pdf

³⁹ http://www.adoptionrightsalliance.com/ARAJFMR ToR Briefing 300614.pdf

l)	The amendment of the Children and Family Relationships Bill as set out in Section 6 above.