COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE

Fifty-first session 28 October – 22 November 2013

CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS SUBMITTED BY STATES PARTIES UNDER ARTICLE 19 OF THE CONVENTION

Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture

(Extracts for follow-up of CAT/C/BFA/CO/1)

BURKINA FASO

(...)

C. Principal subjects of concern and recommendations

(…)

Allegations of torture and ill-treatment

10. The Committee remains gravely concerned by reports that law enforcement officers have perpetrated acts of torture and ill-treatment either while questioning people at police or gendarmerie stations or during operations to quell peaceful demonstrations. The Committee remains concerned by the fact that several such acts have gone unpunished, as in the cases of David Idogo, Dié Kambou, Etienne Da, Moumouni Isaac Zongo and Ousseni Compaore. The Committee is also concerned by the absence of legal provisions establishing that statements or confessions obtained under torture are inadmissible in court, except when such a statement is invoked as evidence against a person accused of torture (arts. 2, 11, 15 and 16).

The State party should:

- (a) Take immediate and effective action to prevent acts of torture and ill-treatment and put an end to the impunity enjoyed by several of the alleged perpetrators of such acts. In this connection, it should promptly conduct thorough, independent and impartial investigations into all allegations of torture and ill-treatment and prosecute the perpetrators of the aforementioned acts;
- (b) Make police and gendarmerie officers aware of the absolute prohibition of torture and of the Basic Principles on the Use of Force and Firearms by Law Enforcement Officials; and
- (c) Ensure that a provision is included in the legislative amendments currently being introduced so that statements made as a result of coercion or torture may not be invoked as evidence in any court proceedings. The State party should make sure that judges are instructed and aware that it is unconstitutional to obtain statements through the use of torture, that such statements are inadmissible and that they have an obligation to initiate an investigation when they receive allegations of acts of torture.

Fundamental legal safeguards

11. The Committee is concerned by the fact that persons in detention are not afforded full and fundamental legal safeguards from the outset of deprivation of liberty, in particular that they are not granted the legal right to the assistance of a lawyer during police investigations, on the grounds that such investigations are secret. The Committee is also concerned about the fact that suspects might not always be afforded the opportunity to contact their relatives or a close family member, on the same grounds. The Committee is also concerned by the fact that a person can be held in police custody for up to 15 days without being presented to a court if he or she is suspected or accused of involvement in organized crime (arts. 2, 11, 12 and 16).

The State party should:

- (a) Take all necessary measures to ensure, in law and in practice, that all persons who are deprived of their liberty are afforded fundamental legal safeguards from the outset of police custody, in the light of the Committee's general comment No. 2 (2008) on the implementation by States parties of article 2, namely:
 - (i) The right to be informed of the reasons for the arrest in a language that they understand;
 - (ii) Access to a lawyer from the outset of deprivation of liberty and, if necessary, to legal assistance;
 - (iii) The opportunity to have a medical examination by an independent physician of their choice;
 - (iv) The right to contact a family member or close acquaintance; and
 - (v) The right to be presented before a judge within 48 hours.
- (b) Expedite the revision of its Code of Criminal Procedure in order to bring it into line with international human rights standards. The State party should provide additional financial and human resources to the judiciary, including resources for the Legal Assistance Fund; and
- (c) Revise Act No. 017-2009/AN of 5 May 2009 on organized crime with a view to significantly reducing the length of time that suspects are held in police custody and thus prevent any infringement of the fundamental legal safeguards that are accorded to all persons who are deprived of their liberty.

Investigations and prosecutions

12. The Committee is concerned by the fact that no investigations have been conducted by the State party into many alleged acts of torture and ill-treatment which, in some cases, have reportedly resulted in the deaths of persons in detention. The

Committee is also concerned that no prosecutions have been brought in the cases of the death in detention or fatal shootings of Moumouni Zongo, Romuald Tuina, Ouedraogo Ignace, Ouedraogo Lamine, Halidou Diande, Arnaud Some and Mamadou Bakayoko. The Committee is also concerned by reports of hazing and other forms of ill-treatment being carried out during military training (arts. 12, 13 and 16).

The State party should:

- (a) Take appropriate measures to ensure that thorough, independent and impartial investigations are conducted into all reports of alleged torture and ill-treatment by an independent and impartial body, that the perpetrators are prosecuted and, if convicted, are given sentences that are in proportion with the gravity of the offence, and that the victims or their families receive appropriate compensation and redress;
- (b) Investigate the individual cases mentioned by the Committee and inform the Committee of the outcome of investigations undertaken and of criminal or disciplinary proceedings; and
- (c) Take steps to prevent hazing of any kind in the army and ensure that all complaints about hazing or deaths of recruits in non-combat situations are investigated promptly and impartially, that the perpetrators are prosecuted and the victims compensated.

(…)

Redress

18. While noting that article 3 of the Code of Criminal Procedure allows for victims to sue for damages in criminal proceedings, the Committee regrets that no redress has been afforded by the courts of the State party to victims of acts of torture or ill-treatment. The Committee also regrets that rehabilitation measures, including medical treatment and social rehabilitation services, have not been established for victims of torture (art. 14).

The State party should take appropriate measures to ensure that victims of acts of torture and ill-treatment receive full and fair redress and the fullest possible rehabilitation. It should provide detailed information on the follow-up given to such cases involving compensation for victims of torture or ill-treatment.

The Committee draws the State party's attention to general comment No. 3 (2012), concerning the implementation of article 14 by States parties, in which the Committee explains and clarifies the content and scope of the obligation of States parties to ensure and provide full redress to victims of torture or ill-treatment.

(...)

31. The Committee requests the State party to provide, by 22 November 2014, information on the follow-up given to the following recommendations: (a) the introduction or strengthening of legal safeguards for detainees; (b) the prompt instigation of impartial and effective investigations; and (c) the initiation of proceedings against suspects and sentencing of perpetrators of acts of torture or ill-treatment (see paragraphs 10, 11 and 12, above). The Committee furthermore requests the additional information on redress and compensation for victims of torture or ill-treatment mentioned in paragraph 18, above.

(\ldots)			
()			