United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD) 107th Session

# Follow-up and Alternative Report (Indigenous)

#### Winnemem Wintu Tribe<sup>1</sup>

re:

List of themes in relation to the combined 10th-12th reports of the United States of America

July-August 2022

Submitted by:

Chief Caleen Sisk, Winnemem Wintu Tribe

and India Reed Bowers, B.A. LL.M.<sup>2</sup>

Contact:

Caleen Sisk, caleenwintu@gmail.com

Winnemem Wintu Tribe, winnememwintutribe@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "A traditional tribe that comes from Bullium Puuyuuk (Mt. Shasta), protecting rivers, restoring salmon and fighting to preserve our culture and lifeway", <a href="https://www.facebook.com/winnememwintu/">https://www.facebook.com/winnememwintu/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> B.A. Cultural Anthropology, Brown University (United States), LL.M. International Law of Human Rights & Criminal Justice, Utrecht University (Netherlands); Founder & Director, International Organization for Self-Determination and Equality (IOSDE) / Independent freelance consultant. india.bowers@gmail.com

for Chief Caleen Sisk and the Winnemem Wintu Tribe

# Follow-up and Alternative Report (Indigenous) to CERD (2022; USA review)

# Winnenmem Wintu Tribe

# "Situation of indigenous peoples (arts. 5 and 6)

- 22. Measures to guarantee, in law and in practice, the free, prior and informed consent of indigenous peoples in policymaking and decisions that affect them. Updated information on the implementation of presidential memorandum on tribal consultation and strengthening nation-to-nation relationships of 26 January 2021 and on other efforts to fulfil treaty responsibilities to Tribal Nations.
- 23. Measures to protect the rights of indigenous peoples, their lands, territories, sacred sites and way of life..."<sup>3</sup>

#### Introduction

By continuing to exist, the Winnemem Wintu Tribe, a federally-unrecognized, or federally-non-recognized / federally-non-acknowledged tribe, that is led traditionally, matriarchically and matrilineally by Chief Caleen Sisk, faces head-on the discriminatory, paternalistic, colonizing and genocidal nature of the top-down and controlling US Federal Recognition system re tribal status, as well as the benefits and hindrances therein. From the Winemem Wintu 2014 Shadow Report to UN CERD for its review of the USA:

"The total tribal membership hovers around 150, compared to its estimated peak of 14,000. As noted above, most of the Winnemem Wintu's traditional lands are now submerged under the McCloud Reservoir and the Shasta Reservoir, and salmon no longer breed upstream of Shasta Dam. In the face of these hardships, the Winnemem Wintu continue to strive to preserve their native language, practice their religion and traditional healing methods, and protect the few remaining sacred sites and burial grounds from further encroachment by the federal government."

Discriminatory, top-down Federal- ie State/Colonizer-controlled Indigenous and Tribal recognition systems are not only a violation of the fundamental Right to Self-Determination, but create issues of Access to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> List of themes in relation to the combined tenth to twelfth reports of the United States of America, United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), 107th session, 8–30 August 2022, CERD/C/USA/O/10-12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Winnemem Wintu Tribe Shadow Report to CERD 2014, written by Jamie L. Crook, Esq., Relman, Dame & Colfax PLLC, Washington DC, Submitted by the Winnemem Wintu Tribe In Response to the United States' June 12, 2013 Periodic Report to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Concerning the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (not found online on the CERD site for the session; attached to this submission)

Justice and many other Human and Indigenous Rights violations, including, such as in the case of the Winnemem Wintu, the desecration of Sacred Sites, endangerment of and damage to the health and wellbeing of women, and threatening and creating blockages to the continuation of traditional culture-based Indigenous leadership, healing and religions.<sup>5</sup>

However, and at the same time, "...self-determination is not only the fundamental right acting as the backbone of all of other rights enshrined within the UNDRIP and the rights of Indigenous Peoples but also the UN Charter itself under Article 1(2), "To develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples..."

As Elena Neale-Sacks writing for Bay Nature explains, and further quotes Chief Caleen Sisk on:

"Federally recognized tribes ... are legally entitled to certain federal resources and services, like reservations, grants, and sovereignty respected by the federal government. To access any of these things, the Winnemem Wintu would need to endure an application process that would culminate in the BIA deciding whether or not they are a tribe — a process in which Caleen refuses to participate.

'We want the freedom to be Winnemem, and we are deserving of support for the taking of our lands and waters and everything that was lost,' she said. 'But when I look at other federally recognized tribes ... they have to jump through hoops to utilize some of that federal money."<sup>7</sup>

#### Recognizing

Elena Neale-Sacks writing for Bay Nature details succinctly:

"The story of the Winnemem Wintu's forced eviction, like the eviction stories of Indigenous tribes across what is now the United States, began with genocide. But the genocidal chapter of Winnemem history is much more recent compared with tribes located farther east. It was just 170 years ago that Shasta City, only 13 miles southwest of the cemetery Caleen drove me to, paid settlers bounties for Native heads.

The Winnemem Wintu who survived the Gold Rush-era terror were shoved off much of their land. And while the methods for displacement became more subdued over time, they haven't stopped. In the last century, as the federal government has been forced to confront California's environmental shortcomings, it has turned to Winnemem Wintu land for water. And doing so has displaced them even more.

[...] The 1941 act stated that "the Secretary of the Interior shall determine the amount of money to be paid to the Indians as just and equitable compensation." Unless just and

<sup>6</sup> IOSDE Intervention: 'WCIP Zero Draft Document', UN EMPRIP 7th Session, 2014, <a href="https://www.scribd.com/document/233317916/IOSDE-UN-EMRIP-Intervention-WCIP-Zero-Draft-Document-7th-Session-2014">https://www.scribd.com/document/233317916/IOSDE-UN-EMRIP-Intervention-WCIP-Zero-Draft-Document-7th-Session-2014</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> From IOSDE news, August 2014, http://iosde.org/3/post/2014/08/august-12th-2014.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> "A Process of Survival: In the last century, the federal government has tried to build its way out of California's water crisis. The parallel story of the Winnemem Wintu's displacement is a reminder that we can't conjure water out of thin air", article by Elena Neale-Sacks, in Bay Nature, 15 March 2022, <a href="https://baynature.org/2022/03/15/a-process-of-survival/">https://baynature.org/2022/03/15/a-process-of-survival/</a>

equitable compensation was \$0, the federal government never upheld this part of the act. According to Caleen, the Winnemern were simply informed that much of their land would be flooded. The one thing they were supposed to receive was another place to live — more land elsewhere. But this promise was never fulfilled. "The only thing they did in compliance with that law was create this Indian cemetery," said Claire Cummings, an attorney who has worked with the Winnemem Wintu for about 30 years.

[...] Although the Winnemern have fought to hold onto their land every step of the way, they've faced an uphill battle, in part because they are not recognized as a tribe by the federal Bureau of Indian Affairs. The reason is long and bureaucratic, and boils down to a discrepancy between a Supreme Court ruling and a BIA decision — some California tribes. including the Winnemem Wintu, won a lawsuit for resources and recognition that lasted from 1928-72, but in 1978, the BIA began a new formal recognition process, and the Winnemem Wintu were left off their list of tribes."8

# Recalling

"Access to justice in the promotion and protection of the rights of indigenous peoples", the Study by the United Nations Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (EMRIP), dated 30 July 2013, submitted to the UN Human Rights Council/General Assembly:

- "6. A particular dimension of access to justice relates to overcoming long-standing historical injustices and discrimination, including in relation to colonization and dispossession of indigenous peoples' lands, territories, and resources. Injustices of the past that remain unremedied constitute a continuing affront to the dignity of the group. This contributes to continued mistrust towards the perpetrators, especially when it is the State that claims authority over indigenous peoples as a result of that same historical wrong.
- 7. Harm associated with historical injustices continues today and thus must be taken into account. Many of the contemporary challenges faced by indigenous peoples are rooted in past wrongs. [...]
- IV. The relationship between access to justice and other rights of indigenous peoples

# A. Self-determination

- 19. The right to self-determination is a central right for indigenous peoples from which all other rights flow. In relation to access to justice, self-determination affirms their right to maintain and strengthen indigenous legal institutions, and to apply their own customs and laws.
- 20. Simultaneously, indigenous peoples have the right "to participate fully, if they so choose, in the political, economic, social and cultural life of the State". Here, the right to self-determination requires recognition of the legal standing of indigenous peoples as

<sup>8 &</sup>quot;A Process of Survival: In the last century, the federal government has tried to build its way out of California's water crisis. The parallel story of the Winnemem Wintu's displacement is a reminder that we can't conjure water out of thin air", article by Elena Neale-Sacks, in Bay Nature, 15 March 2022, https://baynature.org/2022/03/15/aprocess-of-survival/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, art. 5. (original footnote)

collectives, and of their representative institutions, to seek redress in appropriate forums.<sup>10</sup> Moreover, in these cases, remedies must be collective.

#### 1. Barriers

- 21. Indigenous peoples have faced considerable challenges in obtaining international and national respect for their self-determination, in part due to State fears that such recognition could undermine States' own legal, economic, cultural, and other forms of authority.
- 22. The Expert Mechanism is aware of long-standing complaints from indigenous peoples that they lack standing to bring complaints relating to loss of sovereignty and self-determination under international law or to enforce treaties between indigenous peoples and States, for example standing as States before the International Court of Justice."<sup>11</sup>

## Noting

"Government-to-Government Relationship"

The troublesome fact of the United States, a genocidal settler colonial State, ultimately if not completely controlling the terms, structures, and conditions of "Government-to-Government" relationships with Indigenous Peoples it recognizes as original to what it now considers its own bordered territories is not only a deep conundrum, it is an ongoing violation of the deepest kind in that it undermines both actual self-determination as well demands restructuring of governance and other systems of traditional Indigenous Culture and Lifeways.

#### The U.S. Government Accountability Office states:

"Indian tribes are distinct political entities whose inherent sovereignty predates the United States but has been limited in certain circumstances by treaty and federal law. This sovereignty is reflected in the government-to-government relationship between federally recognized tribes and the U.S. government.

There are 574 ethnically, culturally, and linguistically diverse federally recognized tribes in the United States. As Congress found in the Indian Trust Asset Reform Act, 'the United States has undertaken a unique trust responsibility to protect and support Indian tribes and Indians.' As stated in the Act, 'the fiduciary responsibilities of the United States to Indians also are founded in part on specific commitments made through written treaties and agreements securing peace, in exchange for which Indians have surrendered claims to vast tracts of land.' Nevertheless, U.S. policy towards tribes and their members has varied widely over hundreds of years. [...]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See, for example, the submission of Natural Justice: Lawyers for Communities and the Environment. (original footnote)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> "Access to justice in the promotion and protection of the rights of indigenous peoples", Study by the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, 30 July 2013, UN General Assembly / Human Rights Council Twenty-fourth session, Agenda item 5: Human rights bodies and mechanisms, A/HRC/24/50, <a href="https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session24/Documents/A-HRC-24-50\_en.pdf">https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session24/Documents/A-HRC-24-50\_en.pdf</a>

In 2018, the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights reported that—due to things like historical discriminatory policies, insufficient resources, and inefficient federal program delivery—Native Americans continue to rank <u>near the bottom of all Americans<sup>12</sup></u> in terms of health, education, and employment. Further, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a disproportionate impact on the public health and economies of tribal nations.

Several federal agencies are responsible for providing direct services or funding to federally recognized tribes and their members—including the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), the Bureau of Indian Education (BIE), and the Indian Health Service (IHS). These agencies face a number of challenges to improving the effectiveness and efficiency of their tribal programs<sup>13</sup>."<sup>14</sup>

The U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) states (on its homepage under "Discover"):

"We maintain government-to-government relationships with Indian tribes, and facilitate support for tribal people and tribal governments. We promote safe and quality living environments, strong communities, self sufficient and individual rights, while enhancing protection of the lives, prosperity and well being of American Indians and Alaska Natives." <sup>15</sup>

# Concluding

Together, Elena Neale-Sacks writes and Chief Sisk states (emphasis by India Reed Bowers):

"[...] I asked Caleen what she wants most for her tribe. Her answer was simple — she wants the Winnemem to survive. Not just as individuals, dispersed in neighborhoods throughout the state and country, but as Winnemem Wintu. She doesn't want the tribe to apply for federal recognition if it means giving up a part of who they are. She doesn't want to have to ask permission from various landowners to hold ceremonies on her tribe's lands. She certainly does not want Shasta Dam to be raised, which could inundate even more important cultural sites.

'If there was any justice for Winnemem people to continue to be Winnemem, that would be a miracle," [Chief Sisk] said. "We're backed up to the farthest point that we can go, that we have to break the law to be here, to have our family here, because there's no other avenues unless we just want to give it up and just go live in a neighborhood. Forget about being Winnemem. Forget about going to the river and praying.

<sup>13</sup> US Government Accountability Office, "Tribal and Native American Issues", <a href="https://www.gao.gov/tribal-and-native-american-issues">https://www.gao.gov/tribal-and-native-american-issues</a>

6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Linked page: <a href="https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-20-270t">https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-20-270t</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> US Government Accountability Office, "Tribal and Native American Issues", <a href="https://www.gao.gov/tribal-and-native-american-issues">https://www.gao.gov/tribal-and-native-american-issues</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), https://www.bia.gov/

Forget about the songs and the dances. That's what they're asking us to give up if we want to be Americans. Or is there somewhere in America that would allow the first peoples to continue the way they believe? Why can't we do that?"<sup>16</sup>

# Question(s) for CERD to the USA:

1. What is the U.S.'s concept-plan in mind for accomplishing, via FPIC and Rights to Self-Determination, and without genocidal effect including prevention of cultural genocide (respect and equality to traditional leaderships, including matriarchal and spiritual), equal status between/or/for/by "recognized" and "un-recognized"/"non-recognized"/"non-acknowledged" Tribes and Indigenous Peoples in the context of US Federal Government-to-Government and Nation-to-Nation relationships, where the U.S. considers original Indigenous Peoples to be existing within, partially or wholly, its own Nation-State borders, rights, and boundaries?

#### Recommendations for CERD to the USA:

Reiterated<sup>17</sup> from the 2014 Winemem Wintu Shadow Report to CERD re USA<sup>18</sup>

"By reminding the United States of its obligations under the CERD, the Committee will contribute to the Winnemem Wintu people's centuries-long struggle for the survival of their culture and community" !!

- 1. Emphasize to the United States that its obligations under the CERD ... require that the United States obtain the free [prior] and informed consent [FPIC] of indigenous communities prior to approving any project that will affect that community's territory or resources.
- 2. Implore the United States to honor the Winnemem Wintu's sovereignty [and *Right to Self-Determination*] by satisfying the U.S. Government's long unfulfilled statutory and contractual obligations to the Tribe and by once again formally recognizing the Tribe [but

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> "A Process of Survival: In the last century, the federal government has tried to build its way out of California's water crisis. The parallel story of the Winnemem Wintu's displacement is a reminder that we can't conjure water out of thin air", article by Elena Neale-Sacks, in Bay Nature, 15 March 2022, <a href="https://baynature.org/2022/03/15/a-process-of-survival/">https://baynature.org/2022/03/15/a-process-of-survival/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> [ ] sections signifies new additional language added for the purpose of this 2022 submission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Winnemem Wintu Tribe Shadow Report to CERD 2014, written by Jamie L. Crook, Esq., Relman, Dame & Colfax PLLC, Washington DC, Submitted by the Winnemem Wintu Tribe In Response to the United States' June 12, 2013 Periodic Report to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Concerning the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (not found online on the CERD site for the session; attached to this submission)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Winnemem Wintu Tribe Shadow Report to CERD 2014, written by Jamie L. Crook, Esq., Relman, Dame & Colfax PLLC, Washington DC, Submitted by the Winnemem Wintu Tribe In Response to the United States' June 12, 2013 Periodic Report to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Concerning the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (not found online on the CERD site for the session; attached to this submission)

without colonizing/colonial/genocidal requirements to re-organize Tribal Governance and Systems for said Government-to-Government or Nation-to-Nation relationship ie 'Federal Recognition', and instead under the Tribe's own terms and via its traditional spiritual leadership].

- 3. Return of and compensation for stolen waters and lands, and funds for repairing of damages, first and foremost to the lifeways and rehabilitation of the salmon and the salmon's environments that the Winnemem honor as central to their own lifeways, survival, spirituality, and traditions as a Tribe and Indigenous People original to the region.
- 4. Remind the United States of its obligations as a signatory to the CERD to facilitate and enable the Winnemem Wintu to observe cultural rituals including the BaLas Chonas [girl's coming of age puberty] ceremony.
- 5. Conclude that the United States' implementation of the proposed dam expansion legislation would violate the State Party's obligations under the Convention, including Articles 1(1), 1(4), 2(1)(c), 2(2), and 5(e)(vi) in the absence of meaningful consultation with the Winnemem Wintu, and any other indigenous groups that would be adversely affected by the action.

# Attachments (chronological)

- 1. Winnemem Wintu Tribe / IOSDE / Sacred Places Institute, Intervention on Item 3(c) (continued): Youth, Self-Harm and Suicide, UNPFII 14<sup>th</sup> Session (2015)
- 2. Winnemem brief to CERD re USA (distributed during session, 2014)
- 3. Winnemem Shadow Report to CERD re USA (2014)
- 4. IOSDE / Bowers letter to Tom Tidwell, Forest Service Chief, United States (US) Forest Service (Washington D.C.), on behalf of the Winnemem Wintu (19 July 2013)

United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues Fourteenth Session New York, 20 April - 1 May 2015

Item 3(c) (continued): Youth, Self-Harm and Suicide 21 April 2015

Joint Statement by International Organization for Self-Determination and Equality (IOSDE), Winnemem Wintu Tribe, Sacred Places Institute for Indigenous Peoples

We honor the traditional territories of the Lenape Nation and Onondaga Peoples here today.

We are concerned about the effects on Indigenous youth and children of the ongoing suicide, self-harm and violence resulting from the desecration and destruction of Indigenous sacred sites and thus traditional political, legal, and medicinal practices that Indigenous youth and children flourish from and have right to live with in peace and dignity with as living culture. Along these same lines we are concerned about the effects on Indigenous youth and children of unresolved claims to the right of self-determination and decolonization, including territorial and political independence and sovereignty, and the lack of recognition of peoples as legal, self-governing peoples and nations equal to States.

For Indigenous youth to have access to their cultures as per international law, the territorial integrity of indigenous lands and in particular sacred sites must be recognized alongside Indigenous self-governance and legal traditions therein. Indigenous youth amazingly and brilliantly but unfairly shoulder burdens of structural inequalities and injustices against traditional Indigenous leaderships and legal processes. To force Indigenous children and youth to live amidst the oppressing of their own cultural systems and traditions as well as the destruction of their familial and communal sacred places and practices is to spiritually and culturally displace them. We want peaceful lives for Indigenous youth and children, where they do not feel they must go to battle for even our most basic fundamental rights, but where they can enjoy, share and experience those rights in action, such as rights including the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) Article 30 as also referenced in the UNDRIP Preamble.

Self-harm is not the only form of violence Indigenous youth face; the disappearance of youth in the face of State and business land-grabbing, dividing of peoples by imposed borders and laws, discrimination and systematic terrorizing and criminalization of Indigenous communities are also harms and violence to Indigenous youth. We do not want yet another generation of Indigenous youth and children to grow up in a world where their own tribe's and people's Indigenous cultural, spiritual, political and legal traditions are strategically placed in conflict and or manufactured submissiveness and/or dependence with the traditions and systems of colonizing or managing State(s).

Indigenous Peoples live daily with the reality of the colonizing of traditional territories that has not yet been acknowledged and addressed formally by the UN Decolonization Committee, Trusteeship Council or States. Colonization such as through what is called settler colonization or contiguous land base colonization, and not only blue/salt water colonialism, further destroys Indigenous sacred lands and the abilities therein to pass on Indigenous cultures as living, breathing systems. We call for speedy resolution to these inequalities and injustices for the healthy futures of our youth and children. In this we reference UNDRIP Articles 7(2), 8, 11, 12 and 22, where it is imperative to the mental, emotional, spiritual and physical health of youth and children that Indigenous sacred places and own traditional and just cultural institutions of law and governance be recognized, utilized, and included equally in international and UN procedures, actions, creations and mechanisms.

Self-determination is not self-determination if it does not rest in and come from the hands, minds and hearts of the peoples themselves and their own decision-making processes. It is not for States to determine who is and is not Indigenous, as in the United States Federal Recognition system, while tribes such as the Winnemem Wintu and Chief Caleen Sisk are left defending the survival of their sacred sites to be able to continue young women's ceremonies and traditions for future generations and cultural survival of the tribe.

The tribe faces cultural genocide every time it merely practices traditional ceremonies, as the United States has laws against these practices and the tribe continually faces penalties by breaking the U.S. laws. Such State laws do not include Indigenous Peoples' Rights; the Winnemem and other "unrecognized" tribes are categorized as without rights, as invisible people, ignoring that they are in fact are historic California Treaty tribes and must endure such discrimination.

UN General Assembly Resolution 1803 (XVII), on *Permanent sovereignty over natural resources*, states that "violation of the rights of peoples and nations to sovereignty over their natural wealth and resources is contrary to the spirit and principles of the Charter of the United Nations and hinders the development of international co-operation and the maintenance of peace", acknowledging in the same Resolution that liberation movements are legally legitimate and youth shall be raised with a knowledge of dignity and equality in respect for the right of peoples to self-determination. Moreover, the General Assembly included the following principle in the 'Declaration on the Promotion among Youth of the Ideals of Peace, Mutual Respect and Understanding between Peoples' (Resolution 2037 (XX) of 7 December 1965), Principle III: "Young people shall be brought up in the knowledge of the dignity and equality of all men, without distinction as to race, colour, ethnic origins or beliefs, and in respect for fundamental human rights and for the right of peoples to self-determination."

In the section "Implementation of United Nations resolutions relating to the right of peoples under colonial and alien domination to self-determination" of the UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) 27th session report, 1971, it is stated: "Believing that effective application of the principle of self-determination of peoples is the essential basis for recognition and observance of human rights and that self-determination means that all peoples have the right freely to determine their political status without external interference...". In this vein, it must be that peoples themselves, including indigenous peoples, can define their own meanings of self-determination. As well, Indigenous Peoples must have the right to submit to the Trusteeship Council, if desired, own claims as non-self-governing territories for UN Decolonization without State interference, for assurance of cultural integrity and the peace, dignity and security of our sacred places, youth, women and children, and not be strategically labeled rebels, separatists, criminals, terrorists, anti nationals or any other such automatically negative connotations to blocked their seeking of dialogues and change in the context of true human rights due for actualized peace, dignity and self-determination and justice.

We recommend that Indigenous youth be supported by the UN and its bodies and mechanisms to create their own study on and exploration into the ways in which all of the many diverse Indigenous Peoples of the world have work for and achieve self-determination, political, legal and territorial decolonization, and the continuation of traditional Indigenous healing, leadership and own Indigenous justice processes via protection of own sacred places.

# WINNEMEM WINTU TRIBE



14840 BEAR MOUNTAIN ROAD • REDDING, CA • 96003
PHONE: 530-229-4096 • WINNEMEMWINTUTRIBE@GMAIL.COM
WWW.WINNEMEMWINTU.US

# Winnemem Wintu and the CERD (2014)

**Summary:** The Winnemem Wintu are a traditional tribe whose ancestral territory is the McCloud River watershed in Northern California, where it is well-documented they have been practicing their indigenous religion and culture for millennia.

Nonetheless, the Winnemem have been stripped of all their rights and protections afforded American Indians by the creation of the "federally unrecognized" label by the U.S. government. Through the reckless use of the federally 'unrecognized' label, the U.S. is violating the agreements of the convention by failing to guarantee the Winnemem access to its sacred sites, to a traditional food source and to rights entitled to them as indigenous people.

# How Federal Recognition Discriminates Against the Winnemem:

- The Winnemem Wintu were removed from their homes on the McCloud River during the 1940s when the Shasta Dam was constructed. However, the 1941 Indian Lands Acquisition Act required appropriate compensation to the tribe, possibly including 'like lands'. Compensation was never provided, and the tribe's 'unrecognized' status blocks them from adjudicating the 1941 law.
- In the 1920s and 1950s, many Winnemen received federal documents verifying their indigenous identity through the California Claims cases. The results of these cases and the papers have been unceremoniously rendered null with no due process or consultation with tribes.
- The Winnemem Wintu cannot access statutory rights to hold ceremonies on public land in privacy. This has led to many traumatizing and ugly disruptions of the tribe's coming of age ceremonies, Balas Chonas.
- The federal government is considering a proposal to raise Shasta Dam an additional 18.5 feet, which would flood approximately 40 sites, including those for the Balas Chonas. There is no proposed alternative to achieve the government's goals without damaging Winnemem cultural practices.
- The Winnemer are effectively barred from working with federal agencies on a government-to-government level on a plan to re-introduce the genetic descendants of their salmon into the McCloud River.
- As a federally unrecognized the tribe, the Winnemem are ineligible from several legislative protections
  afforded recognized Indians: Eagle feather permits, Indian Child Welfare Act, American Indian Religious
  Freedom Act and the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act.
- A new proposed California state law Assembly Bill 52- would redefine California Indians as members of
  federally recognized tribes. If passed, it would strip the Winnemer and more than 70 state-recognized tribes
  of their power to protect sacred sites that could be desecrated in planned developments.

"If the Sacred Fires are not lit, how will our children learn?"
Honor Your Traditional Lifeways

# Shadow Report

# Submitted by the Winnemem Wintu Tribe

In Response to the United States' June 12, 2013 Periodic Report to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Concerning the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination

## I. Introduction

The Winnemem Wintu Tribe respectfully submits this Shadow Report as a supplement to the United States' June 2013 Periodic Report. This Shadow Report addresses the United States' failure to respect, protect, and fulfill the rights guaranteed to the Winnemem Wintu under the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (hereinafter "Convention").

The Winnemem Wintu Tribe is indigenous to northern California in the United States. This Shadow Report addresses past actions by the United States' government that have substantially interfered with the Tribe's observation of spiritual and cultural practices and have denied the Tribe access to traditional lands containing sites sacred to the Tribe's cosmology. This Shadow Report then describes a proposed governmental action that, if implemented, would further erode the Tribe's ties to its ancestral lands and undermine the Tribe's ability to practice its cultural traditions and customs. The Tribe has limited recourse to vindicate its rights under U.S. law because the U.S. government has revoked its formal recognition of the Winnemem Wintu, leaving them unable to invoke the protections of some U.S. laws concerning the rights of Native Americans.

The Winnemem Wintu respectfully ask that the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (hereinafter "Committee") consider this Shadow Report as part of its review of the United States' Periodic Report and that the Committee issue appropriate recommendations calling upon the United States to honor its obligations under the Convention and other instruments of international law with respect to the Winnemem Wintu's ability to continue practicing their cultural and spiritual traditions in the lands they have inhabited for millennia. In particular, the Winnemem Wintu ask the Committee to recommend that the United States become a signatory to the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples; conclude that the implementation of a proposed dam expansion that would flood Winnemem Wintu cultural sites would violate multiple obligations of the United States under the Convention; urge the United States to consult with and obtain free and informed consent from indigenous communities before implementing activities that would affect these communities' territories and resources; and recommend that the United States satisfy long unfulfilled statutory and contractual obligations owed to the Winnemem Wintu, including re-extending formal recognition to the Tribe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Periodic Report of the United States to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination Concerning the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, June 12, 2013, *available at* <a href="http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/210817.pdf">http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/210817.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, G.A. res. 2106 (XX), Annex, 20 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 14) at 47, U.N. Doc. A/6014 (1966), 660 U.N.T.S. 195, *entered into force* Jan. 4, 1969.

# II. History of the Winnemem Wintu Tribe

The Winnemem Wintu are a non-gaming, Native American tribe indigenous to what is now northern California. The name Winnemem Wintu means "Middle Water People," a reference to the tribe's historic home along the McCloud River. The tribe remains intimately connected to the McCloud River, nearby Mount Shasta, and the surrounding meadows. Chief Caleen Sisk has explained that for the Winnemem Wintu, "our beginning of life comes from Mt. Shasta, [and] all those stories up and down the river have meaning – from Yellow Jacket Mountain, to Fox Mountain, to the Sucker Pools, all these have stories that belong to the Winnemem people and songs that go with them."

In 1851, the federal government entered into a treaty with the Winnemem Wintu and other tribes, known as the Treaty at Cottonwood Creek,<sup>4</sup> in which the tribes ceded tribal lands in exchange for a land allotment comprising a twenty-five mile span along the Sacramento River, running north from the mouth of Ash Creek. The U.S. Senate refused to ratify the treaty, but the federal government nonetheless began granting land ceded by the tribes to private parties. In the 1870s, the government took additional land historically occupied by the Winnemem Wintu in order to establish a government fish hatchery along the McCloud River.<sup>5</sup>

Following the Cottonwood Creek treaty, some Winnemem Wintu received land allotments that allowed them to remain along the McCloud River and other traditional sites. But as white settlers migrated to California in increasing numbers throughout the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, <sup>6</sup> the state and federal governments began developing plans to construct a dam in the Sacramento River, in order to provide water allotments to farmers and urban areas in other parts of California.

In 1941, Congress enacted the Central Valley Project Indian Lands Acquisition Act,<sup>7</sup> which authorized the construction of Shasta Dam. The construction of Shasta Dam formed a massive reservoir that permanently floods part of the McCloud River and other rivers, streams, and lakes. The 1941 Act specifically provided for the federal government's "acquisition of tribal lands, sacred sites, ancestral villages, and burial grounds along the McCloud River" but required

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Video of Chief Caleen Sisk-Franco speaking to supporters and the media, Apr. 20, 2009, available at http://www.winnememwintu.us/journey-to-justice/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Treaty Made and Concluded at Reading's Ranch, on Cotton Wood Creek, State of California, August 16, 1851, between O.M. Wozencraft, United States Indian Agent, and the Chiefs, Captains, and Head Men of the Noe-Ma, & C., Tribes of Indians (unratified), *available at* http://digital.library.okstate.edu/kappler/vol4/html files/v4p1107.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Anne Kathryn McTavish, The Role of Critical Cartography in Environmental Justice: Land-Use Conflict at Shasta Dam, California 65-68 (January 2010) (unpublished M.A. thesis, San Francisco State University), available at <a href="http://geog.sfsu.edu/geog/sites/sites7.sfsu.edu.geog/files/thesis/McTavishThesis.pdf">http://geog.sfsu.edu/geog/sites/sites7.sfsu.edu.geog/files/thesis/McTavishThesis.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 64-77.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Pub. L. No. 198, 55 Stat. 612-613 (1941).

that "just and equitable compensation therefor" be paid to affected Native American tribes. <sup>8</sup> The 1941 Act further required:

As to any Indian cemetery lands required for the project, the Secretary of the Interior is authorized, in his discretion, in lieu of requiring payment therefor, to establish cemeteries on other lands that he may select and acquire for the purpose, and to remove bodies, markers, and other appurtenances to the new sites. All right, title, and interest of the Indians in the lands within any cemetery so relocated shall terminate . . . . Sites of the relocated cemeteries shall be held in trust by the United States for the appropriate tribe, or family . . . . <sup>9</sup>

The federal government never honored its statutory commitments to provide just and equitable compensation for the appropriated land. Although the government acquired at least seventy-two allotments, totaling approximately 9,500 acres, of Winnemem Wintu lands, it only paid compensation for twenty-eight of these allotments. <sup>10</sup>

Over ninety percent of the Winnemem Wintu's ancestral lands were inundated as a result of the dam and ensuing flooding of the McCloud River, including many sacred burial sites. <sup>11</sup> While the government did create a new "Shasta Reservoir Indian Cemetery" to which it relocated 183 Winnemem Wintu burials, it has not held that cemetery in trust for the Winnemem Wintu. <sup>12</sup>

In dealings dating back to at least the signing of the Cottonwood Creek treaty in 1851, the federal government had recognized the Winnemem Wintu. It provided education grants to Winnemem Wintu youth throughout much of the twentieth century as part of its obligations under settlement terms arising out of the Shasta Dam construction in the 1940s. In 1985, however, the federal Bureau of Indian Affairs without warning excluded the Winnemem Wintu from its official list of federally recognized tribes. Without formal federal recognition, members of the Tribe became ineligible for the services and assistance that the Bureau of Indian Affairs provides to federally recognized tribes. They moreover cannot invoke statutory protections relating to the preservation of cultural practices that U.S. law restricts to federally recognized tribes, such as the protection of "privacy of tribal activities for traditional and cultural purposes" that U.S. law affords federally recognized tribes. The lack of federal recognition also makes the Winnemem Wintu ineligible for protection under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, <sup>14</sup> and the Indian Child Welfare Act, among others. They are furthermore ineligible for federal funding that would enable them to begin efforts to restore the historic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shepherd, *Implementing the Human Right to Water in the Colorado River Basin*, 47 Willamette L. Rev. 425, 434-35 (2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Central Valley Project Indian Lands Acquisition Act, *supra* note 7, 55 Stat. 613.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> McTavish, *supra* note 5, at 106, 110, 114.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Minton, *The Old and the New: Evaluating Existing and Proposed Dams in California*, 2 Golden Gate Univ. Envtl. L. J. 96, 116 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Shepherd, *supra* note 8, at 435; 55 Stat. 612 § 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 25 U.S.C. §§ 3052, 3054.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 25 U.S.C. § 3001 *et seq.* 

salmon runs that, as discussed below in Part III.B, have been severely threatened by the Shasta Dam and other factors.

Approximately half of all California Indians, including the Winnemem Wintu and some seventy-five other tribes, are not formally recognized by the federal government. <sup>15</sup> At the state level, the California Native American Heritage Commission officially recognizes the Winnemem Wintu, and the California state legislature recently adopted a Joint Resolution that calls on the U.S. Congress to restore federal recognition to the Winnemem Wintu. Congress, however, has failed to act on this recommendation by the State of California.

Indigenous groups have little ability under U.S. law to challenge a determination that they are ineligible for federal recognition. U.S. courts have refused to entertain such challenges, holding that under U.S. law, whether an indigenous group should receive federal recognition is a non-justiciable political question to be resolved by the executive branch, and not a question that courts may decide. The Winnemem Wintu, and other unrecognized tribes, are therefore caught in a conundrum, unable to invoke many of the protections U.S. law does extend to formally recognized tribes and unable to challenge the lack of federal recognition in the courts.

The Winnemem Wintu's land is now limited to a forty-two-acre village located outside Redding, California, which is home to approximately thirty tribal members. The total tribal membership hovers around 150, compared to its estimated peak of 14,000. As noted above, most of the Winnemem Wintu's traditional lands are now submerged under the McCloud Reservoir and the Shasta Reservoir, and salmon no longer breed upstream of Shasta Dam. In the face of these hardships, the Winnemem Wintu continue to strive to preserve their native language, practice their religion and traditional healing methods, and protect the few remaining sacred sites and burial grounds from further encroachment by the federal government. As discussed below, the Winnemem Wintu's struggle is under a new threat: proposed legislation to expand Shasta Reservoir, which would submerge additional Winnemem Wintu lands.

# III. Acts and Omissions of the U.S. Government that Have Substantially Impeded the Winnemem Wintu's Religious and Cultural Practices

As discussed above, the construction of the Shasta Dam in the 1940s created Shasta Reservoir and submerged most of the Winnemem Wintu's remaining lands, including many sacred sites and burials along the McCloud River. That governmental action has significantly impeded the Tribe's ability to observe its annual BaLas Chonas, or Coming of Age, ceremony, as well as its relationship with the Sacramento River Chinook salmon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Dadigan, Winnemem Wintu Tribe Struggles to Protect Sacred Sites, *The Huffington Post*, July 20, 2012, <a href="http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/07/17/winnemem-wintu">http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/07/17/winnemem-wintu</a> n 1681397.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Miami Nation of Indians of Ind., Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Interior, 255 F.3d 342, 347 (7th Cir. 2001); Winnemem Wintu Tribe v. U.S. Dep't of Interior, 725 F. Supp. 2d 1119, 1133 (E.D. Cal. 2010).

# A. BaLas Chonas Ceremony

The BaLas Chonas ceremony is a vital component of the Tribe's religion and belief system. Throughout much of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, acts and omissions of the U.S. government have prevented the Winnemem Wintu from fully and consistently practicing this sacred ceremony.

The BaLas Chonas ceremony honors the coming of age of young Winnemem Wintu women, marking a girl's transition to womanhood. The four-day ceremony constitutes an essential rite of passage. Planned in correspondence with lunar and seasonal cycles, it was traditionally observed such that the final day would coincide with the full moon during the lunar month corresponding to the summer solstice.

According to tradition, the first day of the BaLas Chonas ceremony began with the young female participants swimming from the village located on one side of the McCloud River to a campsite located on the other side of the river. They would camp on the embankment for four days and three nights, during which they would be visited by older women from the tribe. The women would teach the girls traditional practices, including the grinding of herbs and medicines, at a site known as the Kokospom, or the sacred Puberty Rock. On the fourth day, the celebrants would swim back across the river under a full moon to join tribal dancers and take their place as women.

The successful, uninterrupted completion of the Coming of Age ceremony is an important facet of the Winnemem Wintu's efforts to protect their right to carry on their lives, their culture, their traditions, and their religion. Since the 1920s, however, the Tribe's ability to practice the full ceremony has been substantially, and at times completely, impaired because of acts and omissions by the U.S. government. The McCloud River is primarily managed by the federal government, which also manages federally owned land on either side of the McCloud River where Puberty Rock and the historic village site are located. The McCloud River receives heavy traffic from recreational fishermen and boaters, particularly during the summer season. Boat traffic on the river interferes with the sacred nature of the ceremony and threatens the safety of the participants. Throughout most of the twentieth century and the beginning of the twenty-first century, this interference prevented the Tribe from observing the complete BaLas Chonas ceremony at its original location. Instead, for decades the Tribe was forced to observe the ceremony at another campsite, removed from the sacred sites and landmarks that are integral to the ceremony.

The need to return the ceremony to its original location took on urgency in recent years, when the only living tribal member who had observed the full ceremony in its original site entered her mid-eighties. In 2006, the Winnemem Wintu sought the assistance of the U.S. Forest Service, the federal agency with jurisdiction over this area, asking that the agency close a short stretch of the McCloud River for the duration of the four-day ceremony in order to prevent boat traffic from disrupting the ceremony. The Forest Service offered an ineffective "voluntary" closure, which recreational boaters did not respect. The first BaLas Chonas ceremony held in decades was marred by interference and disrespect from recreational boaters and campers, some of whom were visibly intoxicated. Throughout the four-day ceremony, onlookers yelled

obscenities and flashed the young celebrant, and the presence of boats travelling at high speeds down the river created a serious safety risk for the young woman. As a representative for the Tribe explained afterwards, "Our ceremonies establish the fabric of this tribe. Experiencing racism of this magnitude indelibly marks this fabric." <sup>17</sup>

Following this deeply insulting and upsetting experience, the Winnemem Wintu chose not to hold the BaLas Chonas ceremony during consecutive years while they pursued negotiations with the Forest Service, seeking a partial mandatory closure of the McCloud River in order to ensure the safety of the celebrant. The tribe emphasized the necessity for an involuntary closure, pointing to the presence of alcohol, firearms, indecent exposure, physical endangerment and racially motivated harassment, which were documented on film during the 2006 BaLas Chonas ceremony. Despite entreaties from advocacy groups and a U.S. Senator, the Forest Service refused to provide a mandatory river closure.

In 2010, unable to delay the ceremony any longer for two young Winnemem Wintu women, the Tribe decided to hold the BaLas Chonas ceremony. Without a mandatory river closure, the sacrosanctity of the ceremony was again severely undermined by the presence of boisterous and disrespectful recreational boaters, fishers, and campers. The Forest Service also refused to provide a mandatory river closure in 2011, when the Tribe planned to observe the ceremony for its next spiritual leader. Tribal leaders determined that the risk to the young woman and the future of the tribe was too great to hold her ceremony without a mandatory closure of the McCloud River and postponed her ceremony until the summer of 2012.

In 2012, thanks to the continuing diligent advocacy and protests by the Winnemem Wintu, the federal government finally agreed to enforce a mandatory river closure. As a condition, however, the government banned certain traditional activities that are inextricable to the ceremony, including gathering wood for the sacred fire. The Tribe was finally able to hold the ceremony for its next spiritual leader that summer, but the ceremony was tense at times and was marred by the presence of federal agents. At the end of the ceremony, law enforcement officers entered the ceremony and issued citations, including \$10,000 in penalties, to Chief Caleen Sisk for having used a boat to transport elders across the river, despite the fact that the Tribe had previously informed the Forest Service of its intent to do so. The government dropped the charges the day before Chief Sisk's scheduled court date, after she had retained a lawyer and spent time preparing for the court appearance.

The government again granted a mandatory river closure in 2013 but delayed making this decision, and granting the necessary permits, until the day of the ceremony, causing considerable stress and uncertainty for the organizers.

The Tribe is currently in the planning stages for the 2014 ceremony, which it plans to hold in mid-June, around the full moon. As of the submission of this Shadow Report, the government has not committed to a mandatory river closure and has proposed additional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See "Help Protect Winnemem Wintu BaLas Chonas Women's Coming of Age Ceremony," available at <a href="http://www.winnememwintu.us/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/FINALHelp-Protect-Winnemem-Wintu-Ba">http://www.winnememwintu.us/wp-content/uploads/2011/03/FINALHelp-Protect-Winnemem-Wintu-Ba</a> as-Chonas-2011-325.pdf.

burdensome terms as part of the permitting requirements. The Tribe has furthermore recently learned that a critical sacred site for the ceremony, a cave where the participants are brought, has been vandalized with graffiti. The Tribe has requested that the government exclude members of the public from visiting the cave and that it remove the graffiti. The government has repeatedly granted permission for college groups to visit the site, without first consulting the Winnemem Wintu. The government in fact recently permitted a college group to visit the cave unattended, despite having given the Winnemem Wintu assurances that it would not allow further visitations until it developed a management plan for the cave in consultation with the Tribe.

## B. Sacramento River Chinook Salmon Migration

The Sacramento River Chinook salmon has "for centuries . . . sustained the Winnemem Wintu and . . . formed the foundation of the Tribe's cultural and spiritual ceremonies and beliefs." Salmon are a vital component of many Winnemem sacred ceremonies. 

The construction of Shasta Dam, however, permanently blocked the upstream migration of the salmon to their historic spawning grounds north of the dam, in Winnemem Wintu territory. The salmon has been in a state of precipitous decline since the construction of Shasta Dam and is currently listed on the federal endangered species list.

A federal court has recognized that following the construction of Shasta Dam, "the loss of native salmon runs has transformed the Winnemem Wintu's way of life, which once involved community celebrations, salmon bakes, and festivals, all centered around the salmon. . . . The Winnemem Tribe's connection to salmon is so strong that they believe that if the salmon go, the Winnemem Wintu will also disappear."<sup>22</sup> The decline of Sacramento River Chinook salmon has had detrimental "dietary and health effects . . . on Tribal members."<sup>23</sup> As one tribal member explained, "We know who we are, we've never lost that. We know we're the river people and we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Consol. Salmonid Cases, 713 F. Supp. 2d 1116, 1154 (E.D. Cal. 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Pac. Coast Fed'n of Fishermen's Associations v. Gutierrez, 606 F. Supp. 2d 1122, 1151-52 (E.D. Cal. 2008) (hereinafter "Pac. Coast Fed'n").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> *Id.* at 1136 (explaining that winter-run Chinook salmon historically spawned and reared in "the upper Sacramento River and tributaries where spring-fed streams allowed for spawning, egg incubation, and rearing in cold water" and that "[m]ost components of the winter-run Chinook's life history have been compromised by the habitat blockage in the upper Sacramento River" resulting from dam construction that has blocked almost 300 miles of tributary spawning habitat).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Historically, several hundred thousand Chinook salmon returned from the ocean to migrate up the Sacramento River. In 2007, only about 90,000 made it back, and fewer than 60,000 were expected in 2008, the lowest number on record. Abigail Tucker, *On California's Coast, Farewell to the King Salmon*, Smithsonian Magazine (October 2008), *available at* <a href="http://www.smithsonianmag.com/science-nature/on-californias-coast-farewell-to-the-king-salmon-11992359/?no-ist;">http://www.smithsonianmag.com/science-nature/on-californias-coast-farewell-to-the-king-salmon-11992359/?no-ist;</a>; *see also Consol. Salmonid Cases*, *supra* note 18, 713 F. Supp. 2d at 1153-55 (summarizing concerning statistics on salmonid decline in the Sacramento River).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Consol. Salmonid Cases, supra note 18, 713 F. Supp. 2d at 1154-55 (internal quotation marks omitted).

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$  *Id* 

know we're the salmon people."<sup>24</sup> According to tribal leader Caleen Sisk, the dam fundamentally and irrevocably altered the Winnemem Wintu's way of life, and her father's generation "was tormented with [this] change."<sup>25</sup>

# C. The U.S. Government's Proposal to Raise Shasta Reservoir Elevation

The federal government is currently considering a proposed action to raise the elevation of Shasta Reservoir, the massive artificial lake formed by Shasta Dam. In a draft report prepared to study the environmental impact of the proposal, the federal government identifies the primary purposes of the proposal to enlarge the Reservoir as to: "[i]ncrease the survival of anadromous fish populations in the Sacramento River" and "[i]ncrease water supply and water supply reliability for agricultural, [municipal and industrial], and environmental purposes, to help meet current and future water demands." The legislative branch of the federal government has introduced proposed legislation that would authorize raising the Reservoir's elevation by 18.5 feet (approximately six meters). The legislative branch of the federal government has some context of the federal government has a supply the federal government has a supply supply the federal government has a supply federal government has

The proposed legislation would flood additional cultural and ceremonial lands of the Winnemem Wintu, including up to twenty-six sacred sites such as BaLas Son (Puberty Rock), Ilawi Son (Children's Rock), Woman's Blessing Place, and Suckerfish Pool. <sup>28</sup> These sites' cultural and spiritual significance to the Winnemem Wintu is inextricably tied to their physical location. <sup>29</sup> Seven additional sites that are used in conjunction with sacred sites that would be inundated would also be indirectly affected. Nine other sites could be destroyed due to staging, construction, and campground relocation. <sup>30</sup>

The Winnemem Wintu's continuing ability to access these traditional communal sites is vital to their ability to observe cultural and spiritual practices. In comments on the Draft Study that were submitted to the federal government, the Tribe explained:

Over many millennia, [Winnemem Wintu] community members have developed intimate relationships with particular stones, mountains, meadows, and pools along the McCloud River that hold benevolent healing spirits. . . . Ceremonial, medicinal and social activities linked to specific Winnemem Wintu sacred sites include the blessing and healing of sexually and physically abused women, training and initiation of traditional medicine people, the SudiSawal traditional hydrotherapy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Dancing the Salmon Home (Moving Image Productions 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Draft Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation Environmental Impact Statement, June 2013, at ES-6, *available at* <a href="http://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/documentShow.cfm?Doc\_ID=14081">http://www.usbr.gov/mp/nepa/documentShow.cfm?Doc\_ID=14081</a> (hereinafter "Draft Study").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Shasta Dam Expansion Act of 2014, H.R. 4125, 113th Cong. (2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Minton, *supra* note 11, at 116.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Draft Study, *supra* note 26, at 14-20 through 14-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Letter from Stephan Volker to Katrina Chow, Project Manager for the Bureau of Reclamation (Sept. 26, 2013) (on file with author).

purification ceremony, the Blessing of the Hands ceremony, introduction of children to the spiritual worlds at Children's Rock, traditional place-specific baptism of Winnemem babies, traditional marriage ceremonies, fasting rituals, the Coming of Age ceremony for young women, the initiation rites for young men, the blessing of the acorn caps for young women, the traditional Spring Dekas ceremony, the ceremonial burial of babies' placentas and of hair during times of mourning, the traditional practice of gathering medicinal teas, foods and cooking materials at places of great sentiment and long-standing tradition, pilgrimages to sacred prayer rocks, the transmission of Coyote Stories from generation to generation and the visitation of ancestral dwelling places, burial grounds and massacre sites. These cultural practices form the foundation of the Winnemem Wintu's identity as a distinct people, and are anchored to the earth in specific places that will be affected by the proposed dam enlargement.<sup>31</sup>

The federal government has recognized the adverse impacts that the proposal to increase the elevation of Shasta Reservoir would have on the Winnemem Wintu. The government's Draft Study acknowledges that the Winnemem Wintu "continue to actively practice many aspects of their traditional culture" and "have strong traditional and contemporary connections with the land [near Shasta Reservoir], and their ongoing use of many archaeological and religious sites is fundamental to the well-being of their culture, particularly the education of their youth." According to the Draft Study, the proposed elevation increase would "increase the frequency of inundation of Puberty Rock, restricting the Winnemem Wintu from holding the puberty ceremony at this important location during certain periods." This proposal would inundate additional burials at Kaiba Village and Wita Waqap Village, located above Puberty Rock, and would adversely impact 120 ancestral villages.

The Draft Study acknowledges that the proposal to raise Shasta Dam would cause significant adverse impacts to the Winnemem Wintu's cultural resources. The Draft Study further recognizes that the California Native American Heritage Foundation has identified sacred lands within the study area. The government is aware from initial consultation that the Winnemem Wintu and other Native American groups "are deeply concerned regarding the environmental and cultural effects of the project." 35

Despite this awareness of the disastrous effects the proposed actions would have on the ability of the Winnemem Wintu and other indigenous groups to access traditional lands, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Letter from Environmental Water Caucus to Katrina Chow, Project Manager for the Bureau of Reclamation (Sept. 30, 2013), *available at* <a href="http://www.ewccalifornia.org/reports/shastadeiscomments.pdf">http://www.ewccalifornia.org/reports/shastadeiscomments.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Draft Study, *supra* note 26, at 14-9 to 14-11; *id.* at 14-9 (recognizing the Winnemem Wintu's "complex cultural landscape of village sites, ceremonial areas, burial sites, and resource areas intersect[ing] the study area").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id.* at 14-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id.* at 14-10 to 14-11, 14-23. Villages, burial groups, and Traditional Cultural Properties of the Pit River Madesi Band would also be flooded. *Id.* at 14-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> *Id.* at 14-10.

Draft Study fails to offer any alternative action that would protect this vital access. The Draft Study merely acknowledges that any of the proposed actions would prevent the Winnemem Wintu's access to sacred and traditional sites and that "no feasible mitigation is available to reduce the impact to a less-than-significant level" because the link between the sites' physical location and their cultural and spiritual significance to the Tribe precludes relocation of the sites. <sup>36</sup>

# IV. Analysis of the United States' Legal Obligations to the Winnemem Wintu

A. The United States' Obligations Under International Law Require Action that Protects, Not Endangers, the Winnemem Wintu's Access to Sacred Spiritual and Cultural Sites

The U.S. government's refusal to take steps to ensure that the Winnemem Wintu's ability to access the limited remaining historical lands containing sacred sites and to hold the full BaLas Chonas ceremony each year without harassment or disruption by recreational boaters, fishers, and campers violates the United States' obligations under several international instruments.

As a signatory to the Convention, the United States is prohibited from engaging in acts or omissions that have "the purpose or effect of nullifying or impairing the recognition, enjoyment or exercise, on an equal footing, of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the political, economic, social, cultural or any other field of public life."<sup>37</sup> All persons within a state's territory are granted "economic, social and cultural rights" including "[t]he right to equal participation in cultural activities."<sup>38</sup> In order to fulfill its obligations under the Convention, the United States must affirmatively take "[s]pecial measures . . . as may be necessary in order to ensure such groups or individuals [requiring protection] equal enjoyment or exercise of human rights and fundamental freedoms" are protected and must take "in the social, economic, cultural and other fields, special and concrete measures to ensure the adequate development and protection of certain racial groups or individuals belonging to them, for the purpose of guaranteeing them the full and equal enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms."<sup>39</sup>

The Committee has "consistently affirmed that discrimination against indigenous peoples falls under the scope of the Convention and that all appropriate means must be taken to combat and eliminate such discrimination." The United States is required as a party to the Convention to "[r]ecognize and respect indigenous distinct culture, history, language and way of life as an enrichment of the State's cultural identity and to promote its preservation" and to "[e]nsure that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Id.* at 14-20 through 14-31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Convention, *supra* note 2, art. 1(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Id.* art. 5(e)(vi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> *Id.* arts. 1(4), 2(2).

 $<sup>^{40}</sup>$  Gen. Rec. XXIII, United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, U.N. GAOR,  $51^{st}$  Sess., Annex, U.N. Doc. A/52/18/Annex V  $\P$  1 (Aug. 18, 1997).

indigenous communities can exercise their rights to practice and revitalize their cultural traditions and customs and to preserve and to practice their languages."<sup>41</sup>

The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples<sup>42</sup> provides critical guidance on the scope of the United States' obligations to the Winnemem Wintu and other indigenous peoples in the United States. The United States is one of only four countries that has refused to sign the Declaration; however, many if not all of the Declaration's provisions constitute an expression of customary international law that should bind the United States.<sup>43</sup> Indeed, the Committee has expressed its conclusion that the Declaration should serve as a "guide to interpret [the United States'] obligations under the Convention to indigenous peoples."<sup>44</sup>

The Declaration codifies customary international law relating to the rights of indigenous peoples to maintain, protect and develop archaeological and historical sites; to manifest, practice, develop and teach their spiritual and religious traditions, customs, and ceremonies; to maintain, protect, and have access in privacy to their religious and cultural sites; to own, develop, use, control, maintain and strengthen spiritual relationships with lands, waters, seas and other resources. The Declaration further affirms the obligation of states to "consult and cooperate in good faith with the indigenous peoples concerned through their own representative institutions in order to obtain their free and informed consent prior to the approval of any project affecting their lands or territories and other resources, particularly in connection with the development,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> *Id.* ¶ 4. As a signatory to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the United States owes a similar duty to honour the rights of ethnic, linguistic, and religious minorities, such as the Winnemem Wintu, "to enjoy their own culture, to profess and practise their own religion, [and] to use their own language." G.A. Res. 2200A (XXI), U.N. GAOR, 21st Sess., Supp. No. 16, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (Dec. 16, 1966), arts. 18, 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> G.A. Res. 61/295, U.N. GAOR, 61st Sess., 107th plen mtg., U.N. Doc. A/RES/61/295 (Sept. 13, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See Karen Engle, On Fragile Architecture: The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples in the Context of Human Rights, 22 Eur. J. Int'l L. 141, 163 (2011) ("[T]he Declaration . . . repeated and re-affirmed those already existing [principles of international law], which had already been recognised in international case law, in international instruments and in customary international law."" (quoting Montes and Cisneros, "The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: the Foundation of a New Relationship between Indigenous Peoples, States and Societies," in C. Charters and R. Stavenhagen (eds), Making the Declaration Work (2009), at 155)); Anaya and Wiessner, "The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: Towards Re-empowerment," Jurist (June 2007), available at <a href="http://jurist.law.pitt.edu/forumy/2007/10/un-declaration-on-rights-of-indigenous.php">http://jurist.law.pitt.edu/forumy/2007/10/un-declaration-on-rights-of-indigenous.php</a> (arguing that "indigenous peoples have a right under customary international law to 'demarcation, ownership, development, control and use of the lands they have traditionally owned or otherwise occupied and used"").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Comm. on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, *Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination: United States of America*, ¶ 29, U.N. Doc. CERD/C/USA/CO/6 (Feb. 2008) (hereinafter "2008 Concluding Observations").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> G.A. Res. 61/295, Annex, arts. 11(1), 12(1), 25, and 26(1)-(2), U.N. Doc.A/RES/61/295/Annex (Oct. 2, 2007).

utilization or exploitation of' water resources. 46 The Declaration is therefore highly relevant in assessing the United States' obligations to the Winnemem Wintu and other indigenous groups, despite the United States' refusal to become a signatory to the Declaration. Indeed, the Committee has previously urged the United States to use the Declaration "as a guide to interpret the State party's obligations under the Convention relating to indigenous peoples." 47

The United States has in fact expressed support for the Declaration, while defending its refusal to sign. <sup>48</sup> In its 2010 Announcement of U.S. Support for the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, the United States declared a goal of "serving as a model in the international community in promoting and protecting the collective rights of indigenous peoples . . . ." <sup>49</sup> The United States should immediately begin making good on this commitment by protecting the rights guaranteed to the Winnemem Wintu under the Convention and other international laws concerning the rights of indigenous peoples.

B. The Committee's 2008 Concluding Observations Expressed Concern Over the United States' Failure to Protect Areas of Spiritual and Cultural Significance to Native Americans and the United States' 2013 Periodic Report

After considering the United States' previous 2007 submission of several overdue periodic reports as well as shadow reports submitted by numerous civil rights and civil liberties organizations, the Committee issued a set of Concluding Observations. <sup>50</sup> In the Concluding Observations, the Committee expressed concern over evidence of interference with indigenous Americans' rights under Articles 5(d)(v), 5(e)(iv) and 5(e)(vi) of the Convention and urged the United States to "take all appropriate measures – in consultation with indigenous peoples concerned and their representatives chosen in accordance with their own procedures – to ensure that activities carried out in areas of spiritual and cultural significance to Native Americans do not have a negative impact on the enjoyment of their rights under the Convention." <sup>51</sup>

In addition, the Committee urged the United States to "consult and cooperate in good faith with the indigenous peoples concerned before adopting and implementing any activity in areas of spiritual and cultural significance to Native Americans." The Committee had made the same recommendation in 2001, when it urged the United States to "ensure effective participation"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> *Id.* art. 32(2); *see also id.* art. 19 (affirming a similar obligation to "consult and cooperate in good faith with the indigenous peoples concerned through their own representative institutions in order to obtain their free, prior and informed consent before adopting and implementing legislative or administrative measures that may affect them").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> 2008 Concluding Observations, *supra* note 44, ¶ 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> See Announcement of U.S. Support for the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: Initiatives to Promote Government-to-Government Relationship & Improve the Lives of Indigenous Peoples, available at <a href="http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/143223.pdf">http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/143223.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> *Id.* at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> See supra note 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> *Id.* ¶ 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> *Id.* 

by indigenous communities in decisions affecting them, including those on their land rights."<sup>53</sup> The Committee's 2008 Concluding Observations furthermore specifically requested that the United States include in its next periodic report "detailed information on the measures adopted to preserve and promote the culture and traditions of" indigenous peoples.<sup>54</sup>

On June 12, 2013, the United States submitted its first periodic report since 2007 (hereinafter "2013 Periodic Report"). <sup>55</sup> Only fourteen paragraphs of the 217-paragraph 2013 report address issues relating to indigenous peoples in the United States. <sup>56</sup> The Periodic Report describes a set of federal laws and executive orders that ostensibly protect Native Americans, many of which are either aspirational yet unenforceable or applicable only to federally recognized tribes, and thus not to the Winnemem Wintu. <sup>57</sup> The Periodic Report then summarizes recent efforts to consult with Native American tribes affected by issues such as historic preservation, the designation of critical habitat for endangered species, the preservation of indigenous languages, the protection of sacred sites, security initiatives, housing, and cooperative resource protection. <sup>58</sup>

The United States responded to the Committee's 2008 recommendation to "ensure that activities carried out in areas of spiritual and cultural significance to Native Americans do not have a negative impact on the enjoyment of their rights under the Convention" by invoking the "Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights Initiative." The Voluntary Principles are a non-binding, "multi-stakeholder initiative that promotes implementation of a set of principles that guides extractive companies on providing security for their operations in a manner that respects human rights." The primary purpose of the Voluntary Principles is "to strengthen the capacity [of extractive industries] to address complex security and human rights issues in their operations around the world." The Voluntary Principles do not address the international law obligations of Convention signatories like the United States to promote respect for and protection of indigenous persons' rights. The United States' decision to join the Voluntary Principles fails to address the Committee's previous recommendations and does not constitute an enforceable commitment to take affirmative measures to fulfill its obligations under the international law framework summarized below to protect Native Americans' right to observe spiritual and cultural practices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Comm. on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, *Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination: United States of America*, 21, U.N. Doc. CERD/C/59/Misc.17/Rev.3 (2001) (hereinafter "2001 Concluding Observations").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> 2008 Concluding Observations, *supra* note 44, ¶ 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> See supra note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> *Id.* ¶¶ 167-181.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> *Id.* ¶ 169.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> *Id.* ¶¶ 171-174,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> *Id.* ¶ 177.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> United States Joins with Other Governments, Major Companies, and NGOs in Effort to Strengthen Voluntary Principles on Security and Human Rights, 106 Am. J. Int'l L. 156, 158 (2012).

In short, the 2013 Periodic Report does not address the Committee's 2007 recommendations to demonstrate meaningful consultation with indigenous groups or those groups' "effective participation . . . in decisions affecting them," to "undertake[] the necessary measures to ensure the consistent application of the provisions of the Convention at all levels of government, 62 to accept the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples as a guide to interpret the United States' obligations under the Convention, or "to provide, in its next periodic report, detailed information on the measures adopted to preserve and promote the culture and traditions" of indigenous groups. It likewise fails to demonstrate any willingness by the United States to "review the definition of racial discrimination . . . so as to ensure . . . that it prohibits racial discrimination in all its forms, including practices and legislation that may not be discriminatory in purpose, but in effect."

C. The Flooding of Lands Containing Winnemem Wintu Sacred Sites by Expanding Shasta Reservoir Would Violate the United States' Obligations Under the Convention

The Winnemem Wintu respectfully urge the Committee to remind the United States of its duty to respect, protect, and fulfill the rights of the Winnemem Wintu by rejecting any water resource management proposal affecting the McCloud River that would inundate the Winnemem Wintu's remaining sacred lands. By adopting and implementing any of the proposals to increase the elevation of Shasta Dam, the United States would prevent the Winnemem Wintu from accessing many sacred and cultural sites and substantially impede if not completely preclude the observation of the BaLas Chonas ceremony. The proposal moreover disregards an alternative action that would in fact facilitate the return of the Chinook salmon, with which Winnemem Wintu culture and traditions are interlinked, to their native territory above Shasta Dam.

The United States is bound by its status as a signatory to the Convention to ensure the Winnemem Wintu's continued access to their traditional communal sites for cultural and spiritual practices because their culture is inextricably tied to the land. While the Draft Study mentions potential impacts to cultural resources, it places little if any importance on protecting the Winnemem Wintu culture. This is clear from the primary and secondary "planning objectives," which address such diverse issues as anadromous fish populations, water supply, ecosystem resources, flooding, hydropower, recreation, and water quality, but make no mention of cultural resources. The Winnemem Wintu have a human right to sustainable traditional food and medicinal sources and a right to practice their culture, including the BaLas Chonas ceremony that is threatened under the proposed action, in their traditional territory. The government, however, appears prepared to sacrifice the Winnemem Wintu's culture for the benefits claimed for others.

 $<sup>^{62}</sup>$  2001 Concluding Observations, *supra* note 53, ¶11; *and see* 2008 Concluding Observations, *supra* note 44, ¶13 (reiterating the Committee's "concern [over] the lack of appropriate and effective mechanisms to ensure a coordinated approach towards the implementation of the Convention at the federal, state and local levels" as required in Article 2 of the Convention).

 $<sup>^{63}</sup>$  2008 Concluding Observations, *supra* note 44,  $\P$  10; 2001 Concluding Observations, *supra* note 53,  $\P$  14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> See supra Part IV.A.

The Draft Study does not adequately analyze the cultural impacts of the proposal to increase the elevation of Shasta Dam. Consequently, it fails to fully and fairly address the proposed action's profound and irreparable impacts on the Winnemem Wintu, ignoring their longstanding but never resolved claims to ownership of the lands that would be flooded; their vital, historic cultural ties to the salmon runs that Shasta Dam destroyed; and their dependence on a healthy, balanced and sustainable ecosystem. The Draft Study fails to adequately discuss how raising the dam's height would destroy the Winnemem Wintu's natural and cultural heritage, and harm water quality, water quantity, fish and wildlife habitat, and public recreational use of Shasta Reservoir, and it ignores the alternative of restoring historic salmon runs above the reservoir through construction of a fishway around Shasta Dam. These flaws have been pointed out to the agency that prepared the Draft Study by numerous environmental and indigenous advocacy groups in formal written comments. The Draft Study should therefore be withdrawn and its profound errors and omissions should be rectified.

In order to meet its obligations under the Convention and customary international law, the government must address the potential destruction of most of the Winnemem Wintu's remaining cultural sites that is threatened by the proposed dam elevation. As a first step, it must address what would be lost and explore alternatives and mitigations that would prevent such losses. To do this, the government must engage in meaningful consultation with the Tribe. It has not done so. The government held three meetings with the Tribe in 2007 and 2008, and it has invited individual Winnemem Wintu members to participate in a consultation relating to the National Historic Preservation Act. This belated consultation with individual members, and not the Tribe's leadership, remains incomplete and has prevented the government from sufficiently analyzing the proposed action's impacts on the Tribe's cultural resources. This, despite the fact that the Winnemem Wintu have repeatedly requested to be part of the decision-making process and have taken agency representatives to see many sacred sites. The federal government cannot make an informed decision on whether to authorize a project that would involve raising the Shasta Dam elevation unless it first provides an analysis of its impact on cultural resources. Such an analysis is impossible without more, and meaningful, consultation with the Winnemem Wintu.

The government must furthermore satisfy its obligations under the 1941 Act to compensate the Winnemem Wintu for the land inundated by the dam construction and to hold relocated cemeteries in trust for the Tribe.<sup>65</sup>

#### V. Recommendations and Conclusion

Since the original construction of Shasta Dam in the 1940s, the federal government has failed to cooperate in good faith with affected Indian communities, including the Winnemem Wintu, regarding the management of water resources in California. The construction and now the proposed expansion of Shasta Dam and Reservoir have jeopardized the Tribe's ability to access sacred and cultural sites. It is imperative to the Tribe's continuing survival that members have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> See supra Part II.

access to historic communal sites where they have traditionally observed cultural and spiritual practices.

The Winnemem Wintu vigorously opposed the original construction of Shasta Dam and have long advocated against federal action that would further threaten the Sacramento River Chinook salmon's survival. <sup>66</sup> Unable to invoke the protections of certain U.S. laws and executive branch policies that apply only to formally recognized tribes, and unable to challenge the lack of formal recognition in U.S. courts, the Winnemem Wintu now appeal to the Committee for assistance in their struggle to maintain access to their remaining traditional and cultural sites.

Specifically, the Winnemem Wintu ask that the Committee:

- (1) Recommend that the United States become a signatory to the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.
- (2) Conclude that the United States' implementation of the proposed dam expansion legislation would violate the State Party's obligations under the Convention, including Articles 1(1), 1(4), 2(1)(c), 2(2), and 5(e)(vi) in the absence of meaningful consultation with the Winnemem Wintu, and any other indigenous groups that would be adversely affected by the action.
- (3) Emphasize to the United States that its obligations under the Convention, standing alone and as construed in light of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous People, require that the United States obtain the free and informed consent of indigenous communities prior to approving any project that will affect that community's territory or resources.
- (4) Remind the United States of its obligations as a signatory to the Convention to facilitate and enable the Winnemem Wintu to observe cultural rituals including the BaLas Chonas ceremony.
- (5) Implore the United States to honor the Winnemem Wintu's sovereignty by satisfying the government's long unfulfilled statutory and contractual obligations to the Tribe and by once again formally recognizing the Tribe.

\* \* \* \* \*

The Winnemem Wintu thank the Committee for its consideration of this shadow report. By reminding the United States of its obligations under the Convention, the Committee will contribute to the Winnemem Wintu people's centuries-long struggle for the survival of their culture and community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Pac. Coast Fed'n, supra note 19, 606 F. Supp. 2d at 1152.

May 6, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Jamie L. Crook, Esq.
RELMAN, DANE & COLFAX, PLLC
1225 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036
United States

Counsel for the Winnemem Wintu Tribe

# International Organization for Self-Determination and Equality (IOSDE)

Kopparslagargränd 2 83151 Östersund, Sweden ph: +46 (0) 70 283 4808 info@iosde.org www.iosde.org

July 19, 2013

Tom Tidwell, Forest Service Chief United States (US) Forest Service 1400 Independence Ave., SW Washington, D.C., 20250-0003 United States of America ttidwell@fs.fed.us +1 202 205 8439

Re: Mandatory closure of a portion of the McCloud River for the Winnemem Wintu Balas Chonas Indigenous ceremony

# Dear Chief Tidwell:

The International Organization for Self-Determination and Equality (IOSDE) demands, in support of the claims and requests of the Winnemem Wintu Tribe and Winnemem Wintu Chief Caleen Sisk, the full and mandatory closure to the public of one portion of the McCloud River in the Shasta-Trinity National Forest, for the Balas Chonas traditional Indigenous puberty ceremony. With arguments based in International Law and Human and Indigenous Rights therein, IOSDE demands this mandatory closure, as per the Winnemem Wintu Tribe's requests in detail, for the protection and successful and safe, dignified completion of the Winnemem Wintu Tribe's Balas Chonas traditional Indigenous girls' puberty ceremony.

IOSDE recognizes the Winnemem Wintu as a self-determining Indigenous People practicing their traditional culture, family, inheritance and religion amongst other human and Indigenous customs and values. Such customs and values are Rights of Indigenous Peoples under International Law, as well as being Human Rights in general. The United States and its National- and state-governing and administrative mechanisms are beholden to these International Laws that support Indigenous Peoples and Indigenous and Human Rights, including the rights of the Winnemem Wintu Tribe and their rightful leader Chief Caleen Sisk.

The Winnemem Wintu are an Indigenous People with Human and Indigenous Rights as per International Law, including but not limited to those rights contained within the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 2007, as well as the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and the United Nations International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), both adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1966, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1989, the United Nations International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1966, and the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), adopted by the

IOSDE: an equal future starts with an equal now

United Nations General Assembly in 1979, United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1948, and the Charter of the United Nations, of which the Unites States of American is a Member, and which came into force in 1945. Attached in Annex 1 you will find detailed relevant Articles in International Law, as listed below:

The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), endorsed by the United States of America in 2010, supports the needs and requests of the Winnemem Wintu as and Indigenous People in regards to the closure to the public of the McCloud River for the protection and completion of the Balas Chonas ceremony in the following Articles, found in Annex 1 attached to this letter: UNDRIP Articles 1, 2, 8(1), 8(2)(a), 8(2)(b), 11(1), 11(2), 12(1), 18, 19, 20(1), 22(1), 22(2), 25, 26(1), 26(2), 26(3), 27, 31(1), 31(2), 32(1), 32(2), 32(3), 33(1), 38, 29, and 40.

The UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and UN International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), both signed by the United States of America in 1977 (and with the ICCPR ratified by the U.S.A. in 1992), supports the needs and requests of the Winnemem Wintu as and Indigenous People in regards to the closure to the public of the McCloud River for the protection and completion of the Balas Chonas ceremony in the following Articles, found in Annex 1 attached to this letter: ICCPR Articles 1(1) and 27; ICESCR Articles 1(1) and 15(1)(a).

The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), signed by the United States of America in 1995, supports the needs and requests of the Winnemem Wintu as and Indigenous People in regards to the closure to the public of the McCloud River for the protection and completion of the Balas Chonas ceremony in the following Article, found in Annex 1 attached to this letter: Article 30.

The UN International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD), signed by the United States of America in 1966 and ratified in 1994, supports the needs and requests of the Winnemem Wintu as and Indigenous People in regards to the closure to the public of the McCloud River for the protection and completion of the Balas Chonas ceremony in the following Articles, found in Annex 1 attached to this letter: Articles 2(2), 5(d)(vi), 5(d)(vii), and 5(e)(vi).

The UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), signed by the United States in 1980, supports the needs and requests of the Winnemem Wintu as and Indigenous People in regards to the closure to the public of the McCloud River for the protection and completion of the Balas Chonas ceremony in the following Articles, found in Annex 1 attached to this letter: Preamble and Articles 2(b), 2(d), 2(e), 3, 13(c), 14(1), 14(2)(f).

The UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), voted in favor of by the United States of America in 1948, supports the needs and requests of the Winnemem Wintu as and Indigenous People in regards to the closure to the public of the McCloud River for the protection and completion of the Balas Chonas ceremony in the following Articles, found in Annex 1 attached to this letter: Articles 16(3), 18, 27(1), and 28.

The United Nations Charter, of which the United States of America is a signatory and beholden to, ensures the equal rights and self-determination for all peoples (Article 1(2)), a Human and Indigenous Right that applies to the Indigenous Winnemem Wintu People, as further enshrined in the UNDRIP and other Treaties

as detailed above, and "international co-operation in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights" (Article 1(3)).

These Human and Indigenous Rights apply to the Winnemem Wintu Tribe as an Indigenous Peoples, and a fulfilling and protecting of these rights requires a closure of the McCloud River by the Forest Service for the Balas Chonas puberty ceremony, so that the Winnemem Wintu are able to continue their rightful culture, religion, dignity, and self-determination. The closure is necessary not only for the well-being of the sacred nature of the tribal ceremony itself, and thus the Tribe itself, and protected under International Law as such, but it is also necessary for the well-being of the young girls involved. To allow for psychological vandals in the form of public tourists mocking and/or disturbing the ceremony, driving through the ceremony and such, and violating the right to Self-Determination of the Winnemem Wintu, especially in the context of a young woman's coming of age ceremony, has the potential to degrade the heart and spirit of the young girl for whom the sacred ceremony is performed-leaving memories of a Forest Service, state and United States Nation that permit for emotional violence against her. This can only be remedied by the mandatory closure as requested.

That the authoritative bodies of the United States and its National and state mechanisms, including the Forest Service, have not yet thoroughly learned of and/or applied these Indigenous and Human Rights to their methods of functioning and decision-making is a problem whose cost falls of the dignity and well-being Indigenous Peoples themselves, such as the Winnemem Wintu in the case of the Balas Chonas ceremony, resulting in ongoing undignified hardships and compounded traumatic memories of violation and experiences of trauma for the Indigenous persons and People(s) involved. In this case of the lack of mandatory closure to the public of a specific portion of the McCloud River for a young women's'/girls' Indigenous puberty ceremony, it is specifically girls and young women and their cultural, spiritual, and physical inheritance, well-being and development, amongst other values of the human race, that are violated and treated as unequal in their needs for protection by the Forest Service, and this because they are, specifically, Indigenous and therefore have Indigenous-specific needs in relating to nature. In an era where violence against girls and women, and in particular Indigenous girls and women, and after centuries of surviving genocide and colonialism, Indigenous Peoples such as the Winnemem Wintu and their young women and girls, as sacred to their People, have the Rights by International Law to their full engagement in their traditions, religions and cultures as they so chose for the continuation of their traditions, Peoples, Self-Determination, and, therein, to their protection as such.

ISODE would like to invite the U.S. Forest Service and Shasta-Trinity National Forest Headquarters to ask any questions regarding the duties of the Forest Service under International Law. However, IOSDE would also like to point out that it is, ultimately, the responsibility of the U.S. Forest Service to educate itself and update its own internal curricula and knowledge so as to meet the requirements of Human and Indigenous Rights under International Law. To not do so is to cause unjust suffering, unnecessary acts of defense, and trauma on an Indigenous People who have already suffered ongoing trauma and degradation at the hands of others since United States and California colonialism. Today, we have International laws against such violations, and these laws exist for the purpose of re-aligning the United States and all other Nations and States with the tools for healing, dignity and honor of all Peoples and persons.

As stated, a non-closure of a portion of the McCloud River, for the Winnemem Wintu Balas Chonas puberty ceremony and as requested by Winnemem Wintu and Chief Caleen Sisk, is a violation of the United Nations Treaties and International Laws therein, including but not limited to the UNDRIP, ICCPR, ICESR, CRC, CEDAW, and UDHR, all of which detail rights to culture, amongst other relevant rights (as detailed in

Annex 1), and the UN Charter which enshrines the right of Peoples to Self-Determination along with the beforementioned Treaties.

IOSDE supports that Self-Determination means access to decision-making by a People, and of a People, beyond the scope of colonialism and colonial territory claims and development by States and Nations, including those of the United States used against Indigenous Tribes and Peoples. An Indigenous People's unity, culture, traditional territories, traditional religions and practices therein, and their Human Rights must be upheld by the U.S. Forest Service, according to the treaties of International Law. Anything less is racist, damaging and undignified, and must be immediately re-examined and audited by the Forest Service itself.

IOSDE welcomes the U.S. Forest Service to take the necessary actions, as detailed above, so as to comply with International Law. In fact, such a measure taken by the U.S. Forest Service will act as a great example of Human Rights and Indigenous Rights fulfilled.

Sincerely,

India Reed Bowers, B.A. LL.M Founder & Director, International Organization for Self-Determination and Equality (ISODE)

Attachment: Annex 1: Applicable International Treaties and Articles