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SUMMARY

The following obstacles/problems have been identified:

- Lack of overall data on breastfeeding and use of different definitions and indicators from the WHO recommended ones, except from the recent national Epifane 2012-2013 study;
- The breastfeeding rates in France are very low in comparison with other European countries;
- 38% of maternities in France do not have a reference person for breastfeeding support;
- At national governmental level there is virtually no promotion or support for breastfeeding;
- The International Code on Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes is not fully implemented;
- There is no National Breastfeeding Committee; the CoFAM (Association pour la Coordination Française de l’Allaitement Maternel) organizes the World Breastfeeding Week and other public events, but on a purely voluntary basis and without governmental support;
- Only 37% of the total health facilities provide specific and systematic training on breastfeeding for new recruited personnel;
- According to the most recent data, only 3.5% of births in France take place in hospitals/maternities that have ever been certified as “baby-friendly”;
- Maternity leave duration is only 16 weeks of which 6 weeks are to be taken before the birth and 10 weeks after the birth; women are entitled to breastfeeding breaks, however the legislation does not specify if the breaks are paid or not;
- Recent legislative changes related to parental leave make it difficult for mothers to stay home and breastfeed their child after 6 months, since the father is obliged to take parental leave when the child is aged 6 months;
- National guidelines recommend stopping breastfeeding for women tested HIV positive; the guidelines have not been revised following the new 2010 WHO recommendations on HIV and infant feeding;
- There are no emergency preparedness plans and guidelines with specific reference to infant and young child feeding;
- The “sustainability” and “adequacy” dimensions of the right to adequate food and nutrition are not met in French policies on agriculture and nutrition. The PNNS and derived programmes focus on the quantity rather than on the quality of foods and they neither advise nor support parents to prepare home-made complementary foods with local products grown using agro-ecological methods, which have proven to be safer and healthier;
- There is no legislation in place to ensure that French companies are held responsible for the violations of human rights perpetrated abroad by subcontractors or subsidiaries that they control and through which they draw the majority of the economic benefits.

Our recommendations include:

- Ensure systematic collection of disaggregated data on breastfeeding which correspond to the official core indicators and definitions;
- Promote optimal breastfeeding practices to the population through national, targeted campaigns;
• **Strengthen baseline training of health professionals**, including in particular doctors (GPs and paediatricians), but also midwives, nurses and dieticians; ensure training is independent and free from commercial influence and conflicts of interest;

• **Provide adequate coverage of skilled personnel on breastfeeding in all maternities**;

• **Fully implement the International Code and subsequent relevant WHA recommendations in all its provisions**;

• **Create a National Breastfeeding Committee or designate the CoFAM as the mandated National Breastfeeding Committee, reinforcing its role and funding**;

• **Strengthen the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative to ensure compliance with international BFHI criteria, and extend implementation of BFHI throughout the country**;

• **Strengthen maternity protection legislation by ensuring paid breastfeeding breaks for all working women and extending the duration of maternity leave for all working mothers**;

• **Collect data on HIV mother-to-child transmission** and train health professionals on infant feeding and HIV/AIDS issues, taking into account the new WHO 2010 and 2013 HIV and infant feeding recommendations; consider adding a clause to the national recommendations taking into account cases of HIV positive women who choose to breastfeed;

• **Provide integrated response to ensure protection and support of breastfeeding in emergencies through the implementation of a national plan and designation of persons to coordinate activities**;

• **Amend the government’s approach to nutrition by including the criteria of food quality**, as well as by **advising and supporting parents to provide their infants and young children with healthy and sustainable diets**, i.e. home-made complementary foods prepared using local products grown using agro-ecological methods;

• **Promote agro-ecological methods of production and local sourcing** on both national and European levels, in particular for foods intended for infants and young children, and to ensure availability and access to safe, healthy and nutritious food for them;

• **Put immediately on the formal agenda of the French National Assembly the law proposal related to due diligence of parent companies and subcontracting companies** for a second reading.
1) General points concerning reporting to the CRC Committee

In 2016, the CRC Committee will review France’s 5th periodic report.

At the last review in 2009 (session 51), the CRC Committee referred specifically to breastfeeding in its Concluding Observations. In particular, it recommended that France “fully implement the International Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes. The State party should also further promote baby-friendly hospitals and encourage breastfeeding to be included in nursery training.” (§ 75, emphasis added)

2) General situation concerning breastfeeding in France

**General data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual number of births, crude (thousands)¹</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>791.5</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth rate, crude (per 1,000 people)²</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)³</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)⁴</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under-five mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)⁵</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal mortality ratio (per 100,000 live births)⁶</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Delivery care coverage:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skilled attendant at birth⁷</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional delivery</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-section⁸</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


³ UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME), 2014. Available at: [www.childmortality.org/](http://www.childmortality.org/);

⁴ UN IGME, 2014, op. cit.

⁵ UN IGME, 2014, op. cit.


⁷ World Health Organization data. Available at: [http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.country.country-FRA?lang=en](http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.country.country-FRA?lang=en)

⁸ UNICEF country statistics, op. cit. Data refer to the years 2008-2012.
**Breastfeeding and nutrition data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early initiation of breastfeeding</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiation of breastfeeding (within two hours from birth)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55.4%</td>
<td>60.7%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive or predominant breastfeeding at 3 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive or predominant breastfeeding at 6 months</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods (3 months)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods (6 months)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breastfeeding at age 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration of any breastfeeding (in weeks)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median duration of exclusive and predominant breastfeeding (in days)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General considerations**

Until 2014, there was a serious lack of national data on breastfeeding. The Epifane study 2012-2013, published in 2014, was indeed the first comprehensive study carried out at national level on breastfeeding. This study has enabled a more comprehensive analysis of the situation of infant and young child feeding in France. However, it did not distinguish between exclusive and predominant breastfeeding and thus, provides no data for exclusive breastfeeding at 6 months (international WHO indicator).

The Epifane study shows that breastfeeding rates in France are extremely low compared to international recommendations and to other European countries statistics. Breastfeeding protection, promotion, and support need to be strengthened at all levels. Therefore, the study finally recommends strengthening information to future and new mothers, as well as strengthening training of health professionals in perinatal, paediatric as well as in mother and child care services.

The lack of support and counselling on breastfeeding within health facilities is certainly one of the main causes of such low rates. As shown in the 2010 Report on maternities in France, 38%...

---


of health facilities of the country (i.e. 247 out of 650) do not have a reference person for breastfeeding support in the maternity service.\textsuperscript{12}

**Initiation of breastfeeding**

The 2011 ‘Report on births in 2010 and their evolution since 2003’\textsuperscript{13} shows the evolution of the rate of initiation of breastfeeding within 2 hours after birth (40.5 % in 1995, 45% in 1998, 55.4% in 2003 and 60.7% in 2010) based on data collected during mothers’ hospital stay. However, the rate of early initiation of breastfeeding within one hour after birth has not been monitored.

The 2014 Epifane study reveals that in 2012-2013, 74\% of infants have been breastfed at birth, but only 59.7\% exclusively. Indeed, more than 40\% of newborns have received breastmilk substitutes at birth.\textsuperscript{14}

In addition, a study published in 2014 and based on face-to-face interviews to mothers during their hospital stay reveals that 70.5\% of children were exclusively or partially breastfed and 59\% were exclusively breastfed on the day of the interview (mothers were interviewed when their children had an average of 1.97 days of age).\textsuperscript{15} The study also shows a significant difference in the feeding choices depending on the mothers’ place of birth: 89.2\% of the mothers born abroad breastfed their children versus only 66.3\% of those who were born in France.\textsuperscript{16}

**Exclusive breastfeeding**

In 2012-2013, only 39\% of infants were still breastfed at 3 months, of which only 10\% exclusively. At 6 months, less than 2\% of infants were exclusively or predominantly breastfed and only 1 infant out of 4 was still receiving any breastfeeding.

**Continued breastfeeding**

In 2014, only 9.6\% of infants aged 1 year were still breastfed. However, the rate of children who were still breastfed at 2 years of age has not been monitored.

---


\textsuperscript{12} In 2009/2010, there were 650 hospitals and maternities in France. See the section on the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative for more information.

\textsuperscript{13} Les naissances en 2010 et leur évolution depuis 2003, op. cit. p. 3

\textsuperscript{14} Epifane 2012-2013, op. cit. p. 453


\textsuperscript{16} Idem, p. 442
**Bottle feeding**

In 2010, more than 4 children out of 10 have received infant formula in the maternity, and about 8 children out of 10 were fed with formula at 3 months of age. In 2014, these rates have been confirmed by the Epiphane study.

**3) Government efforts to encourage breastfeeding**

**National policies**

In 2002, several documents including a report, recommendations and guidelines on exclusive breastfeeding up to six months of age were issued by the French National Authority for Health (HAS).

Although there are recommendations in the report calling for a better data collection system on breastfeeding initiation and duration, it does not refer to important breastfeeding indicators such as early initiation of breastfeeding within one hour from birth and continued breastfeeding at 2 years of age. In addition, these documents and guidelines are largely dated and need revision and updates.

In 2006, the HAS published another document entitled ‘Encouraging Breastfeeding; Process – Evaluation’ (‘Favoriser l’Allaitement Maternel: Processus – Evaluation’ in French). The document includes suggestions on how to promote breastfeeding and how to evaluate the implementation of the recommendations on breastfeeding.

In 2009, the Institute for Prevention and Health Education (INPES) and the Ministry of Health and Sport published a breastfeeding guide for mothers. This guide, developed in collaboration with key actors, provides useful information to mothers on where to find breastfeeding support, for example by contacting peer-to-peer support associations such as La Leche League France and Solidarilait.

The National Nutrition and Health Program 2011-2015 (PNNS 2011-2015) sets measures aimed at promoting breastfeeding. It also sets the objectives to increase by 15% the rate of children breastfed from birth, by 25% the proportion of children breastfed exclusively from birth, by 2 weeks the median duration of breastfeeding and by one month the median age for

---

17 The mentioned documents are available at: [www.has-sante.fr/portail/jcms/c_272220/fr/allaitement-maternel-mise-en-oeuvre-et-poursuite-dans-les-6-premiers-mois-de-vie-de-lenfant](http://www.has-sante.fr/portail/jcms/c_272220/fr/allaitement-maternel-mise-en-oeuvre-et-poursuite-dans-les-6-premiers-mois-de-vie-de-lenfant)


introduction of complementary foods. However, although the PNNS lists breastfeeding promotion as one of the public health goals, it does not mention the importance of creating an environment favourable to breastfeeding; neither does it mention the necessity to protect breastfeeding through strengthened maternity protection and full implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes and subsequent relevant WHA resolutions. In addition, the PNNS does not call for strengthened breastfeeding support and better training of health professionals. Finally, the PNNS does not mention the need to promote breastfeeding optimal practices as recommended by the WHO.21 Given the very poor breastfeeding rates in France, these omissions are regrettable.

In 2010, the Breastfeeding Action Plan of 2010 (Plan d’Action: Allaitement Maternel)22 was developed by a working group of health professionals in the framework of the PNNS 2011-2015. This Action Plan provides an analysis of the situation of breastfeeding 5 years ago and proposes several actions to improve the initiation of breastfeeding rate and the duration of breastfeeding. However, it does not stress the need to monitor the official WHO definitions and indicators. Furthermore, there is no monitoring information available about any of the proposed actions.

Promotion campaigns

The PNNS 2011-2015 states the importance of communication and awareness-raising of mothers on infant and young child feeding options.23.” However, to date, no national promotion campaigns has been designed, even if there have been some sporadic campaigns launched at regional level by local constituencies (such as Conseils généraux) in collaboration with mother and child health care services.

The CoFAM (Coordination Française pour l’Allaitement Maternel) association24 plays a fundamental role in organizing and coordinating activities during the celebrations of the World Breastfeeding Week. In this perspective, the CoFAM prepared a list of specific goals related to the promotion of breastfeeding which aims to provide guidance in preparing events and

---

21 See http://www.who.int/topics/breastfeeding/en/
22 Breastfeeding Action Plan, 2010, see above
23 The PNNS 2011-2015 recommends to “communicate with mothers, inform them and raise their awareness regarding feeding methods for newborns, whilst including an objective view of breastfeeding (including the benefits and the necessary conditions) to allow mothers to make an informed decision” and to “ensure that maternity wards really do provide women with personalised and objective information for making their decision. Establishments that meet these conditions must state so in the certification process”.
IBFAN – International Baby Food Action Network

seminars during this special week. The CoFAM has created posters and video sequences for raising breastfeeding awareness, but funding has been woefully insufficient for widespread distribution or any broadcasting on television, reducing the impact on the general public. The CoFAM is developing tools for awareness on breastfeeding and precarity, as well as breastfeeding and work.

**The International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes**

According to IBFAN’s 2014 *State of the Code by Country*, only few provisions of the Code and subsequent WHA resolutions have been implemented in France. Being part of the European Union, the French legislation is required to align to the 2006 EU Directive on Infant Formulae and Follow-on Formulae, with the possibility to adopt stronger measures. By July 2016, the 2006 EU Directive will be replaced by another Directive of the European Commission.

In 2008, France adopted a new legislation referring to infant and follow-on formulae. However, this legislation implements poorly the Code and is not sufficient to protect mothers and caregivers against the misleading messages delivered through advertisements for infant and follow-on formulae.

The Code remains unfamiliar to both the general public and many health professionals. It is common to find advertising flyers and leaflets for baby foods including infant formula and growth milks in mother-baby health centres (PMI). Free samples of formula continue to be distributed to mothers “in need”, whether or not they are breastfeeding. Some centres even provide prescriptions or “milk coupons” – *bons de lait* – for free formula, obtainable in designated pharmacies and paid by the Conseil general, for months.

**Monitoring**

Although the 2010 expert plan of action listed among its strategies the creation of a **National Breastfeeding Committee and Coordinator**, to date there is no information on its existence and activities.

---


The CoFAM is the main association in charge of breastfeeding promotion and support at national level, through the coordination of events and awareness-raising initiatives in France. However, the CoFAM functions mainly on a voluntary basis and suffers from insufficient funding and a lack of clear mandate from the government.

**Training of Health Professionals**

According to the 2010 report on maternities in metropolitan France, only 37% of the total health facilities provide specific and systematic training on breastfeeding for newly recruited personnel, while only 18% of the facilities reported that all of their health personnel attended a course on breastfeeding in the 5 years preceding the survey. The poor rate of training of the newly recruited personnel reveals a lack of compliance with the WHO/UNICEF Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding, in particular with Step 2, which states that every facility providing maternity services and care for newborn infants should train all health care staff on breastfeeding.

Moreover, the Haute Autorité de Santé (HAS) portal provides some specific contents, including reports and recommendations aimed at informing paediatricians on their role in promoting effectively optimal breastfeeding practices. However, it is unclear how such documents are distributed among paediatricians and what commitment they have to implement the relevant recommendations.

Some independent training institutions provide specific training on breastfeeding for health professionals of several levels and categories, such as the institute Allaitement Maternel Formation Am-f, the associations Co-Naître, IPA and ARPAL, the research and training centre CREFAM and the lactation consultant association ACLP.

---

29 Idem
30 The Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding are available at: [www.tensteps.org](http://www.tensteps.org)
32 [www.allaitement-maternel-formation.com](http://www.allaitement-maternel-formation.com)
33 [http://www.co-naitre.net/](http://www.co-naitre.net/)
However, although the importance of training health professionals on breastfeeding was clearly stated in the 2010 Breastfeeding Action Plan\textsuperscript{38}, it needs \textit{stronger and more effective measures to become effective and to provide significant results}.

\textbf{Counselling}

The status of lactation consultant (with IBCLC certification)\textsuperscript{39} is somewhat recognized in France, although not officially as a medical or paramedical qualification. Unfortunately, the number of lactation consultants is limited and highly variable according to the region. What is more, their services are not covered by the health insurances.

There are some \textbf{strong mother-to-mother support associations} in France, such as La Leche League France and Solidarilait.\textsuperscript{40} These associations provide free information and support to breastfeeding mothers, through websites, mother-to-mother support groups, telephone calls and meetings.

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{38} Breastfeeding Action Plan, 2010, see above, pp. 28-29
\textsuperscript{39} More information available at: \url{http://consultants-lactation.org/}
\textsuperscript{40} \url{www.lllfrance.org} and \url{http://www.solidarilait.org/}
\end{flushright}
4) Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI)

At the end of 2014, **22 hospitals and maternities were certified as ‘amis des bébés’** (‘baby-friendly’), **covering 3.5% of births in France**.\(^{41}\) In January 2013, 26 health facilities had engaged in the process of obtaining the certification and they became 40 in January 2015, of which 26 public facilities and 14 private facilities. Currently, 8.2% of births occur in maternities that are in the process of obtaining the ‘amis des bébés’ certification.\(^{42}\)

The French ‘Initiative Hôpitaux Amis des Bébés’ (IHAB) is supported by the French Committee of UNICEF and uses its logo on their award.

**However, the French IHAB does not fully meet the international Baby Friendly-Hospital Initiative criteria** and therefore, the French IHAB association is not allowed to use the WHO logo when accrediting maternities.\(^{43}\)

5) Maternity protection for working women

The French legislation related to maternity protection includes various acts and regulations.\(^{44}\)

**Maternity leave**

**Scope:** Maternity protection in the Labour Code covers all persons employed on the basis of a contract of employment in the private and public sectors, the liberal professions, trade unions and associations of all kinds, as well as domestic workers.

**Duration:** 16 weeks, of which, in general, 6 weeks before and **10 weeks after the expected date of birth**. At her request and if her state of health as certified by a medical practitioner allows it, the woman may reduce the pre-natal leave to 3 weeks with a corresponding increase of the post-natal leave (maximum post-natal leave: 13 weeks).

**Compulsory leave:** 8 weeks of the maternity leave are compulsory, of which at least **6 weeks must be taken after childbirth**.

**Extension:** Extension of the maternity leave is provided in case of birth of twins, multiple births, for the third and each subsequent child, when confinement occurs before the expected date and on medical grounds arising out of pregnancy.


\(^{42}\) Idem

\(^{43}\) Personal communication and letters from IBFAN and LLL France to WHO and IHAB France (available on request).

**Paternity leave**

Fathers are entitled to **11 consecutive days of paternity leave** or to 18 consecutive days in case of multiple births. Moreover, all workers are also entitled to a **special leave of 3 days** for family reasons for the birth or adoption of a child. Paternity leave must be used during the 4 months following the birth. However, it can be postponed and used after these 4 months in the following cases: if the child is hospitalised (it has to be taken during the 4 months following the end of the hospitalisation), or if the mother dies (it has to be taken during the 4 months following the end of maternity leave which the father can take if the mother dies).

**Benefits:** For maternal and paternal leave, benefits are paid by the Social Security, Health Insurance Funds.

**Parental leave**

**Scope:** The mother and the father (or adoptive mother and father) are entitled to take parental leave or to work part-time.45

**Duration:** Parental leave or part-time work is granted for an initial period of 1 year, which may be extended twice (total 3 years). The duration of parental leave with paid indemnities was modified as of January 2015. For the first child, each parent in turn receives indemnities for 6 months. This may make breastfeeding past 6 months more difficult for the mother, if she returns to work.

**Breastfeeding breaks**

During **1 year after birth**, breastfeeding mothers are entitled to **2 breastfeeding breaks of 30 minutes each per day**. One should be taken in the morning and the other one in the afternoon and time should be determined agreement between the worker and the employer. In case no agreement is reached, breastfeeding breaks should be taken in the middle of each half day of work. However, **national legislation does not specify whether or not these breaks are paid**. In a recent case, a mother saw her salary reduced by 100 EUR/month because she was taking two breastfeeding breaks per day (personal communication).

**Breastfeeding facilities:** The mother may always breastfeed her baby in the enterprise. Employers employing more than 100 women above the age of 15 years can be requested to install **special breastfeeding rooms** in or close to the enterprise. **The nursing facilities must satisfy certain conditions:** they must be separated from the working premises, be provided with a sufficient quantity of water or be placed near a washbasin, be provided with chairs.

---

45 For more information on the parental leave, see [http://www.editions-tissot.fr/actualite/droit-du-travail-article.aspx?secteur=PME&id_art=6029&titre=Le+cong%C3%A9+parental+d%E2%80%99%C3%A9ducation](http://www.editions-tissot.fr/actualite/droit-du-travail-article.aspx?secteur=PME&id_art=6029&titre=Le+cong%C3%A9+parental+d%E2%80%99%C3%A9ducation)
appropriate for breastfeeding, and be maintained at a suitable temperature under hygienic conditions. Pregnant women and breastfeeding mothers must have the possibility to lie down and rest under suitable conditions.

It is important to notice that, despite the above-mentioned legislation, **working women are not enabled to continue breastfeeding when they go back to work after their maternity leave**. As stated in the Breastfeeding Action Plan, obstacles to breastfeeding in the workplace include: lack of support from the employer and the colleagues; lack of a room where to express milk; lack of a fridge where to store the milk; lack of flexibility in the working hours in order to express milk during the working day.\(^46\) This is due to a poor implementation of the existing legislation on this issue from certain companies.\(^47\)

### 6) HIV and infant feeding

In 2009, HIV prevalence in the French population was of 0.4%\(^48\) while UNICEF estimated that in 2013 the number of **pregnant women living with HIV in France** was comprised a few hundreds and 2,300.\(^49\)

The government discourages breastfeeding for HIV positive mothers.\(^50\) Unlike UK\(^51\), France has not adapted their recommendations to the WHO 2010 and 2013 recommendations on HIV and infant feeding and on HIV treatment to take into account HIV positive mothers with a repeatedly undetectable viral load who choose to breastfeed.\(^52\)

---

\(^46\) Breastfeeding Action Plan, 2010, see above, p. 17
\(^47\) Idem
\(^48\) [http://www.indexmundi.com/g/g.aspx?c=fr&v=32](http://www.indexmundi.com/g/g.aspx?c=fr&v=32)
\(^50\) Modes de transmission du VIH et mesures de prévention contre le VIH, 21 octobre 2014, [http://www.sante.gouv.fr/modes-de-transmission-du-vih-et-mesures-de-prevention-contre-le-vih.html](http://www.sante.gouv.fr/modes-de-transmission-du-vih-et-mesures-de-prevention-contre-le-vih.html)
\(^52\) Les directives 2010 recommandent que les autorités nationales de chaque pays décident quelle pratique d’alimentation du nourrisson privilégier et appuyer, par exemple l’allaitement avec une intervention ARV pour limiter la transmission ou la suppression de tout allaitement, en tant que politique de santé nationale unique recommandée par leurs services de santé maternelle et infantile. Cela diffère de l’approches précédente où le personnel médical devait conseiller individuellement toutes les mères infectées par le VIH sur les diverses options d’alimentation de leur nourrisson, et il appartenait alors aux mères de décider quelle option choisir. La recommandation nationale pourra par exemple varier en fonction des conditions locales de la prévalence du VIH, de la mortalité infantile, de la sous-nutrition, des services de santé, etc. [http://www.unicef.org/french/nutrition/index_24827.html](http://www.unicef.org/french/nutrition/index_24827.html)
7) Infant feeding in emergencies (IFE)

In 2007, the IFE Core group developed an Operational Guidance on Infant and Young Child Feeding in Emergencies that aims to provide a “concise practical but mainly non technical guidance on how to ensure appropriate infant and young child feeding in emergencies”. In 2014, the NGO Action Contre la Faim issued guidelines on breastfeeding/infant and young child feeding in emergencies and the Humanitarian Aid and Civil Protection Unit of the European Commission (DG ECHO) released a Guidance for programming on Infant and young children feeding in emergencies.

Despite these recent guidelines, it appears that France has not adopted any plan and policy with specific reference to infant and young child feeding in emergencies. Therefore, France should ensure integrated response to protect and support breastfeeding in case of emergencies through the implementation of a national plan and designation of persons to coordinate activities, in line with international and European guidelines.

8) Complementary feeding and the right to adequate food and nutrition

Referring to the definition of the right to adequate food as laid out by the former Special Rapporteur on the right to food Olivier De Schutter, it is to be noted that in France the normative content of this right has not yet been converted into legal entitlements and that France’s agricultural policies are far from ensuring production and consumption sustainability and diet adequacy.

In regard to infant and young child feeding, different agencies (mostly placed under the authority of mainly the Ministries of Health, Environment and Agriculture) are given a mandate related to food safety, nutrition and/or health. The PNNS is the result of the joint work of

53 [http://www.ennonline.net/operationalguidanceiycfv2.1](http://www.ennonline.net/operationalguidanceiycfv2.1)
54 Baby friendly spaces, a holistic approach for pregnant, lactating women and their very young children in emergency, ACF international manual, 2014. Available at: [http://www.actioncontrelafaim.org/fr/node/100939](http://www.actioncontrelafaim.org/fr/node/100939)
56 Final report of the former Special Rapporteur on the right to food Olivier De Schutter to the Human Rights Council, 24 January 2014, page 3: « The right to food is the right of every individual, alone or in community with others, to have physical and economic access at all times to sufficient, adequate and culturally acceptable food that is produced and consumed sustainably, preserving access to food for future generations. (...) each person should have access to a diet that “as a whole contains a mix of nutrients for physical and mental growth, development and maintenance, and physical activity that are in compliance with human physiological needs at all stages throughout the life cycle and according to gender and occupation”.2 Thus, the normative content of the right to food can be summarized by reference to the requirements of availability, accessibility, adequacy and sustainability, all of which must be built into legal entitlements and secured through accountability mechanisms. »
57 To mention a few, one founds the French Agency for Food, Environment, Occupational Health and Safety (Anses), the French National Food Council (CNA) and the Haut Conseil de la Santé Publique (HCSP):
these agencies in consultation with non-state experts (paediatricians, nutritionists, etc.) and reflects the governmental approach to nutrition, i.e.: “the balance between food intake and expenditures induced by physical activity”. It is of concern that the focus is placed on quantity rather than on quality, a fact confirmed by the programmes set up under the PNNS, such as the “Guide for Parents: from birth until 3 years old” which is meant to be an educational tool for parents. There is no mention of the quality of the complementary food given to infants and young children, of its origin or of the transformation and preparation processes it underwent.

Regulation exists as to the levels of pesticides used to grow products used for baby food, both at the national and the European level. A series of controls is compulsory to guarantee the safety of food labelled and sold as baby food. However, this system rests on the models of intensive agriculture and industrialisation of food. Very little is done to provide adequate information to parents on complementary feeding and to support them in preparing home-made complementary foods with agricultural products that are sourced and sold locally in the perspective of achieving more sustainable diets. Though the recently adopted agriculture and food policy proclaims that sustainable and agro-ecological methods of production should be encouraged, it falls short of making human rights-based policies compulsory. Instead, the government supports the development of a “factory-farm” where antibiotics are used in massive quantities on the animals in order to produce corresponding quantities of milk, provoking widespread criticism throughout the country.

---

58 We translate. See the PNNS’ website: www.mangerbouger.com.
61 Here, supporting measures are understood as measures that enable parents to prepare adequate complementary foods for their children, i.e. sufficient maternity leave and adequate wages and social protection floors.
9) Extraterritorial obligations of States

After recognizing gaps in human rights protection that derived from the territorial limitation of State obligations, the Maastricht Principles were adopted by a group of renowned experts in order to clarify the extraterritorial State obligations to realize economic, social and cultural rights. With regard to transnational corporations (TNCs), which are not territorially confined while they remain subjects to domestic laws only, the Maastricht Principle 25 reiterated that States have an obligation under international law to ensure that companies based in their territory do not infringe the economic, social and cultural rights of people in other countries. This extraterritorial obligation of States was further emphasized in the CRC General Comment No 16 (§ 42).

Thus, in application of the article 24(e) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and following authoritative interpretation given by the CRC Committee in its General Comment No 15 (§ 44 and 81), States should be held accountable for adopting binding regulations and measures to ensure that companies domiciled in their territory comply with the International Code and subsequent relevant World Health Assembly resolutions in all context and wherever they operate. However, IBFAN monitoring highlighted evidence of continuous and systematic violations of the International Code from French baby food companies, such as Danone, Lactalis and Novalac.

In 2014, an orientation and programming law related to development policy and international solidarity has been adopted. This law imposes an obligation on French companies to provide information on their activities and the ones of the companies they control as well as on their impact on the environment (e.g. on climate change) and the society (e.g. on health, education and working conditions). However, this law provides no sanction for companies that would violate human rights and only creates an obligation to inform: a company can state that it has done nothing in order to improve its activities’ impacts on the environment or human rights, without facing any sanction. In March 2015, the French Parliament has adopted a law proposal

---

64 Maastricht Principles on Extraterritorial Obligations of States in the Area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Available at: www.etoconsortium.org/nc/en/library/maastricht-principles/?tx_drblob_pi1%5BdownloadUid%5D=23
65 Maastricht Principle 25: “States must adopt and enforce measures to protect economic social and cultural rights through legal and other means, including diplomatic means, in each of the following circumstances: [...] c) as regards business enterprises, where the corporation or its parent controlling company, has its centre of activity, is registered or domiciled, or has its main place of business or substantial business activity, in the State concerned”
related to due diligence of parent companies and subcontracting companies at first reading.\textsuperscript{68} This new law would introduce an obligation for these companies to implement and enforce due diligence measures aimed at identifying and preventing risks of human rights violations, infringements of fundamental freedoms, substantive physical and environmental damages as well as health risks that can be caused by the activities of its subsidiaries and subcontractors in France and abroad. The absence of publication or implementation of these measures could be sanctioned by a civil fine of up to 10 millions of Euros and the parent company could be civilly prosecuted to repair the damages caused by the activities of its subsidiaries or subcontractors, if it has failed to dress or implement the preventive measures. In addition, the judicial decision could be published. However, this law has not yet been adopted and business associations have already expressed their opposition to it.

\textsuperscript{68} Proposition de loi relative au devoir de vigilance des sociétés mères et des entreprises donneuses d’ordre. Available at : \url{http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/14/propositions/pion2578.asp}
ANNEX 1

Evidence of violations of the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes and WHA subsequent resolutions

Evidences of Code violations by French companies: DANONE

Promotion to the public and in shops

Articles 5.1 to 5.5 of the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes prohibit advertising and all other forms of promotion of products under the scope of the Code, including giving samples and gifts to mothers, using promotional devices at retail level, and seeking direct and indirect contact with pregnant women and mothers on the part of marketing personnel. However, IBFAN monitoring has shown that Danone has repeatedly violated these articles in several occasions and countries (such as in Morocco, Liberia, Laos, Myanmar, Vietnam, the Netherlands, China, France, Hong Kong, Ireland, New Zealand, Romania, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Croatia, Germany, Switzerland, UK and Singapore).

Website promotion:

In the Netherlands, Nutrilon organizes a photo contest for babies aged 6 months. The winner gets a photo shoot session, while the runners-up get a 12-box supply of Nutrilon. This gives Nutricia an opportunity to distribute free formulas and receive free publicity at the same time.

---

69 IBFAN-ICDC, Breaking the rules, Stretching the rules, 2014, see above, pp. 34-72
70 Groupe DANONE owns the following products: Almiron, Aptamil, Blédina, Cow & Gate, Dulac, Dupro, Farex, Mamex, Mamil, Karicare, Malukta, Nutrilon, SGM.
Promotion in shops:

In UK, a Cow & Gate store campaign breaks all the rules with promotional claims such as “Balanced nutrition babies love”, “Helping you make the right choice”. The stand is manned by a sales rep wearing a Cow & Gate t-shirt. A competition to win a ‘2-in-1 kitchen’ is an added draw.

Misleading text and pictures which violate the Code

Article 4.2 requires all information material to advocate for breastfeeding not contain pictures or text which idealise the use of breastmilk substitutes. For health professionals, Article 7.2 of the Code allows only product information that is factual and scientific. Finally, WHA resolution 58.32 [2005] prohibits nutrition and health claims unless specifically provided for in national legislation. The monitoring undertaken by IBFAN-ICDC revealed that Danone has violated these provisions in Bahrain, Ethiopia, France, Singapore, the UAE, China, Ireland and UK.

A TV ad in Ireland running on the theme “feed their personalities” explains an Irish survey revealing how 23% of one year olds don’t get enough iron and how the majority of toddlers don’t get enough vitamin D. The ad tells mums they can make a difference to their children’s vitamin D and iron intake with Cow and Gate Growing-up milks.
**Promotion in health facilities and to health workers**

Article 6.2 bans the promotion of products within the health care system; Article 6.3 prohibits the display of products, placards and posters or the distribution of company materials unless requested or approved by the government; Article 7.3 provides that there should be no financial or material inducement to health workers to promote products. Additionally, WHA resolution 58.32 [2005] calls on countries to ensure that financial support and other incentives for programmes and health workers do not create conflicts of interest. DANONE Group violated the above-mentioned provisions in Cameroon, Ethiopia, Egypt, France, Greece, Luxembourg, Kuwait, Myanmar and UK.

In France, for example, utility items such as tongue spatulas with containers, band-aid dispensers and boxes of tissue, all bearing the Milumel brand name, are distributed widely in mother and infant health centres.

**Labels**

Article 9 of the Code requires labels to NOT discourage breastfeeding and inform about the correct use of the product, the risk of misuse and abide by a number of other points. Additionally, WHA resolution 54.2 [2001] advises exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months which means that the recommended age for use of complementary foods cannot be under 6 months, and resolution 58.32 [2005] prohibits nutrition and health claims unless specially provided for in national legislation. DANONE Group violated such provisions in Laos, the Netherlands, Greece and the UAE.

In Laos, the labels of Hi-Q and Dupro infant formulas and follow-up formulas are in the Thai language. They are chocked with claims regarding additives in the products such as prebiotics and DHA/ARA, which purportedly bring benefits such as improved intestinal immune system, and brain and visual development.
**Inappropriate promotion**

WHA resolution 63.23 [2010] calls on governments to end all forms of inappropriate promotion of foods for infants and young children. This covers complementary foods and toddler or growing-up milks (GUMs). Marketed for young children 1 to 3 years, GUMs are the fastest growing segment of products, due largely to aggressive marketing. Non-existent when the Code was introduced in 1981, GUMs were developed to circumvent Code restrictions. With the global recommendation for breastfeeding to continue up to 2 years and beyond, GUMs come under the scope defined by Article 2 of the Code. In October 2013, the European Food Safety Authority said that the use of GUMs does not bring additional value to a balanced diet for young children. DANONE Group, on the contrary, keep violating such provisions in several countries: Belgium, Bahrain, Cameroon, Malaysia, Myanmar, Pakistan, Singapore, Thailand, Greece, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Paraguay and Laos.

For example, in Myanmar an ad uses two famous local celebrities and their first child to promote a range of Dumex growing-up milks.
Evidences of Code violations by French companies: LACTALIS and NOVALAC\textsuperscript{71}

These two companies based in France have also an important responsibility in their systematic violations of the Code in several countries. In particular, the Lactalis Group, comprising many other companies acquired over the years, violated the Code provisions for what concerns promotion of breastmilk substitutes to the public in Cameroon and Lebanon, and for what concerns promotion to health professionals in the Ivory Coast, UK and Vietnam.\textsuperscript{72}

Similarly, Novalac violated the Code by promoting its products to the public in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, France, Lebanon, Malaysia and Slovenia. Other Code violations in the form of promotion of products to health professionals were reported during a conference in London and through other initiatives across the Middle East.

\textsuperscript{71}IBFAN-ICDC, Breaking the rules, Stretching the rules, 2014, see above, pp. 151-153; pp.158-162

\textsuperscript{72}The Lactalis Group comprises the following products: Celia Develop, Celia Expert, Celia Nutrition.
Examples of Code violations occurring in France

In May 2011, Nestlé launched a new product range called the “BabyNes nutrition system”. Although only available in Switzerland and France, Nestlé press releases ensured the gadget was reported worldwide by the media. BabyNes swallows pre-packaged pods and in 60 seconds, emits a warm formula feed. BabyNes comes in 6 different compositions for babies 0-36 months old. The machine costs USD 284 and the single serve pods are priced at USD 2.20 per feed – double the price of conventional powdered milk feeds. Expensive, but a “must have” for affluent gadgety-minded parents looking for a quick formula fix. From the Code’s perspective, ICDC judges the idealising text and images on the BabyNes website, to be promotional.

In its response, Nestlé said the BabyNes website constitutes a sales channel allowing consumers to purchase the product on-line just like a shop. Besides, according to Nestlé, the website has a notice saying that ‘Breast is Best’. This notice, although required by the Code, does not give Nestlé license to require that parents fill in contact details to get information about the 0-6 month pod. Companies are not allowed to seek contact with mothers.

In addition, the water temperature used in the machine is far below the 70°C indicated in WHO guidelines for the safe preparation of powdered infant formula. This example shows that France does not properly implement the WHA resolution 58.32 calling States to ensure that appropriate information on the safe preparation, use and handling of powdered infant formula in order to minimize health hazards is conveyed through an explicit warning on packaging.

Small post-it note pads promote the full range of Nidal formula products with pack shots and the slogan ‘nutrition founded on evidence’ or ‘proven nutrition’.

A letter by Guigoz, given upon discharge, says: “In the maternity your baby was fed on: Guigoz 1 or on Guigoz Confort 1, or Guigoz Hypoallergénique 1 or Pré Guigoz + AGPI-CL. (tick a box). Because of the law, we can “no longer give you free tins. So make sure you buy one before going home”. “Do not change formula unless on doctor’s advice”.
Mother received a bright red zippable document holder for baby's papers. Inside the folder is a car sticker with both rabbit and Guigoz logos as well as a book providing ‘tips for mum and baby’ with 4 pages advice on breastfeeding and 10 pages on bottle feeding. “If you cannot or don't want to breastfeed, with today's technology infant formulas are close to breastmilk”.

Measuring tapes with the Guigoz and Nidal brand names are some of the cheap service items Nestlé doles out to health workers for use when handling patients.

This gift of a night light with the Guigoz name and bunny logo continuously registers the company name with mothers during night feeds.

A Guigoz Laboratories poster with the heading ‘they have so much to tell us when they feel fine’ cleverly avoids any product promotion but the association made between the well-being of happy and healthy babies with Guigoz products is obvious.
Bottle and teats:

In accordance with Article 4.2 of the Code, materials put out by companies producing feeding bottles and teats should emphasise the fundamental importance of breastfeeding to the normal health, growth and development of infants. They must also describe the ways in which bottle feeding can undermine the health of infants, i.e. the risks associated with artificial feeding and health hazards. Such information is very often absent from company produced materials.

In addition to offering free DVDs and other information materials, an Avent booklet in France entitled, ‘De la grossesse aux premiers pas’ (From pregnancy to first steps) provides mothers with ‘help’ in the choice “of breastfeeding, bottle feeding or mixed”. It is fairly obvious which option mothers are being led into because, clearly, involving the father and an Avent bottle makes a happier family.
ANNEX 2

Evidence of violation from the Happy Baby Book, parental guide distributed to new mothers in maternities

In a parental guide included in a “gift box” distributed to new mothers in some French maternities, an advertisement asks “Breast or feeding bottle? And why not both of them?” and promotes mixed feeding. This is very problematic as the Happy Baby Guide is revised by a proofread committee and sponsored by the French government through its Ministry of Labour, Employment and Health.