Areas of Concern:

The Committee Against Torture (CAT), in General Comment 2, elaborated that there is no clear definitional threshold between other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (hereinafter “ill-treatment”) and torture, but each State party obliges to take actions and measures that will prevent torture and to apply them to prevent ill-treatment as well because conditions that give rise to ill-treatment facilitate torture.1 The CAT strongly reinforces jus cogens norm in absolute and non-derogable prohibition against torture.

International law strictly limits the circumstances in which children can be placed in detention while awaiting trial or while under investigation pre-charge. Pretrial detention should only be used in exceptional circumstances, where it is necessary to ensure the child’s appearance at the court proceedings, or where the child is an immediate danger to himself/herself or others.2 Pretrial detention is only permitted as a measure of last resort and for “the shortest appropriate period of time.”3 However, there is no clarity around what is meant by an “appropriate period of time.” The Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), in General Comment 10, recommended that children who are detained should be formally charged within 30 days and once charged, that a final decision should be made by the court within six months.4 The CRC additionally recommended that any such detention should be reviewed regularly by a competent body.5 These recommendations can and should be strengthened.

Defendants in pretrial detention are more likely to be abused, mistreated and tortured,6 and children are particularly vulnerable. In many countries, the lack of adequate facilities, food and sanitation, insufficient access to education and training, and compromised contact with family and friends makes even short periods of time in pretrial detention traumatic for children. Detention has been shown to significantly increase the risk of
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3 G.A. Res. 44/25, Convention on the Rights of the Child, art. 37(b) (Nov. 20, 1989) [hereinafter CRC].
5 Id. ¶ 83 (specifying that regular review is “preferably every two weeks”).
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The 2018 report “Children in Pretrial Detention: Promoting Stronger International Time Limits” is the first study of how long countries allow children to be detained awaiting trial. Looking at the legal limits in 118 countries, the report found that 26% of countries have no time limit and 40% of countries allow exceptions to their time limit, risking indefinite detention. Nevertheless, the length of time that children actually spend in detention awaiting trial is data that countries have not been collecting or reporting.

The Children in Pretrial Detention: Promoting Stronger International Time Limits report serves as a baseline for the CRC to expand on country practices regarding times of pretrial detention of children.

Methodology:

This alternative report is based on the Children in Pretrial Detention report on pretrial detention times of 119 CRC State parties. Such report was conducted by reviewing the respective current statutes or court rules in force at the time that the data was collected. In defining the statutory time period of pretrial detention, when possible, the report applied the statutory maximum for pretrial detention based on the time allowed in detention from arrest until a sentence was established. However, varying jurisdictions defined pretrial detention differently or set limits based on alternative procedural milestones. Where the statute did not provide a length of days or it was unclear, the number of days was based on the national law’s definition of pretrial detention.

Most jurisdictions did not indicate any time limit for children in pretrial detention pending appeals. For the sake of uniformity, only limits based on pretrial detention before the first adjudication were used. State practices are unclear when a case is appealed, and
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the child is in pretrial detention, such as if the clock is suspended or if the time limit still applies.

**Cameroon**

Cameroon has a generally applicable and crime-based pretrial detention limit for youth of 180 days with applicable exceptions that could amount to an average of 545 days for pretrial detention. Children aged twelve to fourteen can be placed on pretrial detention only in cases of homicide. Children aged fourteen to eighteen can be placed on pretrial detention only if this measure appears indispensable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutory Citations</th>
<th>Code de procédure pénale of 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Article 221(1) – La durée de la détention provisoire est fixée par le Juge d'Instruction dans le mandat. Elle ne peut excéder six (6) mois. Toutefois, elle peut être prorogée par ordonnance motivée, au plus pour douze (12) mois en cas de crime et six (6) mois en cas de délit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 223(3) – Après la mise en liberté, et si d circonstances nouvelles rendent la détention nécessaire, le Juge d'Instruction peut décerner un nouveau mandat de détention provisoire.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 704 – Le mineur de douze (12) à quatorze (14) ans ne peut faire l'objet d'un mandat de détention provisoire qu'en cas d'assassinat, de meurtre ou de coups mortels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article 705 – Le mineur de quatorze (14) à dix-huit (18) ans ne peut faire l'objet d'un mandat de détention provisoire que si cette mesure paraît indispensable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statutory Citations**

11 Code de Procédure Pénale (Loi No. 2005/007), art. 221 (Cameroon).
12 Id. at art. 704.
13 Id. at art. 705.
14 Unofficial translation in English:
   Article 221(1) – The duration of detention on remand . . . cannot exceed six (6) months. However, it may be extended, by reasoned order, not more than twelve (12) months in the case of a crime and six (6) months in the case of an offense.
   Art. 223(3) – After release, and if new circumstances make detention necessary, the investigating judge may issue a new remand warrant.
   Art. 704 – Minors aged twelve (12) to fourteen (14) can be the subject of a mandate of pretrial detention only in case assassination, murder, or death.
   Art. 705 – The minor of fourteen (14) to eighteen (18) can be the subject of a mandate of pretrial detention only if this measure appears indispensable.
Under the laws of Cameroon, a child can be detained awaiting trial for up to 18 months. The Committee on the Rights of the Child, in General Comment 10, recommended that children who are detained should be formally charged within 30 days and, once charged, that a final decision should be made by the court within six months.

Pretrial detainees are extremely vulnerable to torture and abuses because they are entirely in the power of authorities, whose interests are often to gain information and a confession. Children are particularly vulnerable to coercive interrogation practices and susceptible to make false confessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JJIA Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- JJIA recommends that Cameroon invests efforts in promoting non-custodial measures for cases of children accused of criminal offenses and use detention only as a last resort and for the shortest possible period of time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- JJIA recommends that Cameroon works towards a statutory pretrial detention limit for children of no more than 30 days. The duration of pretrial detention should only be allowed to be extended once by a judge for up to 30 more days based upon the procedural needs of the case at hand, and upon (1) a request by the defense that justifies the extension; (2) a request by the prosecution and a showing that more time is absolutely essential to secure evidence that is necessary and cannot otherwise be obtained, or; (3) a showing of other exigent circumstances in the present case that justifies the extension. When extending the period of pretrial detention, the judge should limit it to the shortest time that is absolutely necessary, based on the procedural needs of the case and justified by the parties, rather than as a rule to extend the pretrial detention time by 30 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cameroon should ensure that children are separated from adults while in custody.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cameroon should ensure all fundamental legal safeguards to all children deprived of their liberty, including their rights to access an independent lawyer immediately after the arrest and to contact their families and relatives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lines of Inquiry related to torture and ill-treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Data on the actual times children spend in pretrial detention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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15 Code de Procédure Pénale, art. 221.
16 CRC General Comment 10 at ¶ 83 (emphasis added).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Link to Global Study</strong></th>
<th><strong><a href="https://jjimexico.org/ptd-report/">https://jjimexico.org/ptd-report/</a></strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- Disaggregated statistical data by sex, age, and ethnicity of children in confinement, including in pretrial detention.
- Data on the number of children confined along with adults.
- Interrogation rules, instructions, methods and practices as well as arrangements for the custody and treatment of children subjected to any form of arrest, detention or imprisonment with a view to preventing any cases of torture.
- Data on the conditions of detention places for juveniles, including access to rehabilitation and education opportunities.
- Annual number of juveniles that die in custody and causes of death.