

**BRIEFING ON SWITZERLAND FOR THE COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE PRESESSIONAL WORKING GROUP, 62nd session (Nov/Dec 2017)**

*From the Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children,* [*June*](mailto:info@endcorporalpunishment.org) *2017*

**This briefing describes the legality of corporal punishment of children in Switzerland. In light of the obligation under international human rights law to prohibit all corporal punishment of children, the recommendations of the UN Secretary General’s Study on Violence against Children, the relevant recommendations made to Switzerland during the UPR in 2008 and 2012, by the Committee Against Torture in 2010 and by the Committee on the Rights of the Child in 2002 and 2015, and the new global commitment to ending all violence against children in the context of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, we hope the Committee Against Torture will:**

* **raise the issue of corporal punishment of children in its List of Issues Prior to Reporting for Switzerland, in particular asking what progress is being made on ensuring that legislation which explicitly repeals the “right of correction” and prohibits all corporal punishment, however light, in every setting, is drafted and enacted as a matter of priority, and**
* **recommend, in the concluding observations on the eighth state party report, that Switzerland enact legislation explicitly prohibiting all corporal punishment of children in all settings and repealing the “right of correction”, as a matter of priority.**

**1 The legality of corporal punishment of children in Switzerland**

1.1 ***Summary:***In Switzerland corporal punishment of children is unlawful in day care, schools and the penal system, but it is not fully prohibited in the home and in all forms of alternative care. The Government has claimed that existing law adequately protects children from corporal punishment but in fact jurisprudence confirmed a “right of correction”.

1.2 ***Home (******lawful):*** Corporal punishment is lawful in the home under the parental “right of correction”. According to the Civil Code 1907 as amended, parents must take care of their child “with his or her best interests in mind” (art. 301) and must “safeguard the child’s physical, mental and moral development” (art. 302). The Code provides for the intervention of the child protection authority where a child’s wellbeing is threatened, including “to remind parents, foster parents or the child of their duties, issue specific instructions regarding care, upbringing or education” (art. 307); when such measures fail, parental authority may be withdrawn (art. 311). In 2014, article 311 of the Civil Code was amended to specify violence as one of the reasons for the withdrawal of parental authority. The Penal Code 1937 punishes the causing of physical injury (arts. 122, 123 and 125) and assault (art. 126) and specifies that repeated assault of a child (or other specified vulnerable person) by a person having care of that child (or other specified vulnerable person), will automatically lead to prosecution (art. 126). But neither of these Codes explicitly prohibits all corporal punishment in childrearing. In reporting to the Committee on the Rights of the Child in 2013, the Government stated that “assault” in the Penal Code “means any act inflicted on a human being that does not cause bodily harm or harm to health but that nonetheless *exceeds what is generally tolerated by society*” and that “slaps, punches, kicks, violent shoves and the throwing of projectiles *should* be classified as assault” (emphasis added).[[1]](#footnote-1) This is not a clear message that all corporal punishment, however light, is prohibited.

1.3 In the 1970s, article 278 of the Civil Code 1907 which provided for parents’ “right of correction” (“droit de correction”) over their children was removed from the law. The purpose of this law reform was not to prohibit corporal punishment but was because it was considered unnecessary to explicitly provide for this right in the legislation. In its message on the reform of the Civil Code, issued in 1974, the Federal Council confirmed (unofficial translation): “Parental authority includes the right to correct the child to the extent that his/her education requires. However, there is no need to mention this right expressly in the law.”[[2]](#footnote-2)

1.4 When the Penal Code was amended in 1985 to strengthen children’s protection from abuse, the message of the Federal Council again referred to the right of correction. The Council confirmed that the “right of correction” did not provide a defence in cases when bodily harm had been inflicted on a child (“the education of a child never justifies inflicting bodily harm”).[[3]](#footnote-3) The amendments included new provisions against repeated assault in article 126 of the Penal Code, intended to protect children from the damage caused by repeated assault even when the harmful effects are not immediately visible. The Council reported that it had received many requests to expressly confirm parents’ right of correction, but believed this was “superfluous” because “the right is deduced in particular in article 302 of the Civil Code”.[[4]](#footnote-4) The Council sought to “dispel the doubts expressed by those who fear a pair of slaps already constitutes assault prosecuted ex officio. As we have explained, the term ‘repeated occasions’ requires much more than that”.[[5]](#footnote-5)

1.5 Subsequent case law has confirmed the “right of correction”. For example, a 2003 Federal Court judgment ruled that repeated and habitual corporal punishment is unacceptable but did not rule out the right of parents to use corporal punishment, stating that “the perpetrator, who struck his girlfriend’s children a dozen times in the space of three years and who regularly boxed their ears was committing repeated assault under article 126, paragraph 2, of the Criminal Code and was thereby exceeding what was admissible in accordance with the possible right to inflict corporal punishment”.[[6]](#footnote-6)

1.6 The Government has repeatedly asserted, to human rights treaty monitoring bodies as well as during the second UPR of Switzerland in 2012, that existing legislation on bodily injury and assault adequately protects children from all corporal punishment.[[7]](#footnote-7) The Government rejected the recommendation made during the 2012 UPR to explicitly prohibit corporal punishment in the home.[[8]](#footnote-8) While there have been amendments to criminal and civil legislation which strengthen the protection of children from assault, including from corporal punishment, the “right of correction” has not been explicitly repealed and some level of corporal punishment in childrearing remains legally and socially acceptable in Switzerland. Several legislative initiatives to repeal the right of correction and explicitly prohibit corporal punishment have been quashed. Most recently, parliamentary motion Galladé “Abolition of corporal punishment” (motion 15.3639) which was tabled for discussion in June 2015 [[9]](#footnote-9) was rejected by the National Council after the Federal Council argued against it, stating that the “right of correction” had disappeared in the 1970’s and that the current legislation was sufficient.[[10]](#footnote-10)

1.7 ***Alternative care settings (partially lawful):***Corporal punishment is considered unlawful in alternative care settings under Federal Court judgement BGE 117 IV 18 (see below), but there is no explicit prohibition in law. Corporal punishment is possibly lawful as for parents in alternative care provision involving family placements.

1.8 ***Day care (?unlawful):***Corporal punishment is considered unlawful in early childhood care and in day care for older children under Federal Court judgement BGE 117 IV 18 (see below), though it is not explicitly prohibited.

1.9 ***Schools (?unlawful):***Corporal punishment is considered unlawful in schools but there is no explicit prohibition. In 1991, the Federal Court ruled that corporal punishment may be permissible in some cantons in certain circumstances if it does not exceed the level generally accepted by society.[[11]](#footnote-11) A ruling in 1993 (BGE 117 IV 18) stated there can be no customary law that would allow teachers or other persons taking care of children to exercise corporal punishment against them. In some of the 26 cantons, corporal punishment is explicitly prohibited in school laws; in others it is prohibited in regulations, and in others there are school rules which state that it should not be used. The Government has acknowledged the fragmented nature of legislation relating to corporal punishment in schools.[[12]](#footnote-12)

1.10 ***Penal institutions (unlawful):*** Corporal punishment is considered unlawful as a disciplinary measure in penal institutions but there appears to be no explicit prohibition. The Federal Act on Juvenile Criminal Procedure 2011 does not explicitly prohibit corporal punishment.

1.11 ***Sentence for crime (unlawful):*** It is not available as a sentence under theCriminal Code, the Criminal Procedure Code (in force 2011), the Federal Act on the criminal status of minors (in force 2007) or the Constitution.

**3 Recommendations during the UPR**

3.1 ***CRC***: In 2002, the Committee on the Rights of the Child recommended prohibition of corporal punishment in all settings in Switzerland.[[13]](#footnote-13) The Committee reiterated its concerns in 2015 and again recommended prohibition in all settings.[[14]](#footnote-14)

3.2 ***CAT***: In 2010, the Committee Against Torture recommended that Switzerland specifically prohibit corporal punishment in its legislation.[[15]](#footnote-15)

3.3 ***UPR***: Switzerland was examined at the Universal Periodic Review in 2008. The Government accepted a recommendation to consider prohibiting corporal punishment but stated that domestic law already protected children’s “corporal integrity”.[[16]](#footnote-16) At the second cycle UPR in 2012, the Government accepted the recommendation concerning awareness raising on the negative effects of corporal punishment but rejected the recommendation to prohibit corporal punishment in the home.[[17]](#footnote-17)
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